Steven Arhelger - November 29, 2012 Redirect Examination by Ms. Barnett

```
MS. BARNETT: Yes, Judge.
 1
                     MR. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
                     THE COURT: Call your next witness.
 3
                     MS. BARNETT: State calls Deputy
 4
 5
     Borowski.
 6
                     (The witness was sworn.)
 7
                     THE COURT: You may proceed.
 8
                     MS. BARNETT: Thank you.
 9
                          JOHN BOROWSKI,
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
10
11
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. BARNETT:
12
                Would you state your name for the record?
13
         Q.
                John Borowski.
14
         Α.
15
                How are you employed?
         0.
16
         Α.
                Harris County Sheriff's Office, burglary
     and theft.
17
18
         Q.
                And how long have you worked with the
     sheriff's office?
19
20
         Α.
                Since 1991.
2.1
                And how long have you been with that?
         0.
22
         Α.
                Since 2003.
23
                What do you do in burglary and theft?
         0.
24
                I investigate residential burglaries and
25
     home invasions.
```

- Q. How did you get involved in this case?
- A. I was contacted by HPD's Sergeant Richards.
- Q. Do you remember the date that you were contacted by Sergeant Richards?
 - A. October 12th.

 $\label{eq:ms.barnett:} \textit{MS. BARNETT:} \quad \textit{Your Honor, may I}$ approach the board?

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) About what time did Sergeant Richards contact you on October 12th?
- 11 A. It was late afternoon, I think close to 3
 12 or 4 o'clock.
 - Q. Did Sergeant Richard call you directly?
 - A. He was given my number by another sergeant.

 He called one of our sergeants in robbery that he knew and in turn gave him my number and Sergeant Richards called me.
 - ${\it Q.}$ I'm going to say called burglary and theft. Harris County Sheriff's Office burglary and theft. What did he want you to do?
 - A. He asked me to run a name of a person, a credit card he had found, he had a last name. In HPD reports system he had no reports. He thought maybe it was a county case. He asked if I would check that name.

1 Q. What name was it?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

19

20

22

23

- A. Crozier. Sue Crozier.
- Q. Did you, in fact, run it?
- A. Yes. I checked it in our report system and located a burglary that occurred with her as a complainant.
- Q. Was the burglary with Ms. Crozier as the complainant any time close to the October 12th dates that Sergeant Richards called you, September 28th?

MR. JONES: Object to any additional questions of this witness pursuant to our previous motion relative to extraneous conduct in regard to burglary at Ms. Crozier's residence.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. JONES: May we have a running

16 | objection, Judge?

17 THE COURT: (Shaking head).

18 MR. JONES: Thank you.

- Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Did you give Sergeant Richards that information?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not he did anything with that information?
- A. He asked for her phone number, her case number, her name. I gave him that information. Him

- or one of his officers that worked for him contacted her, had her meet at their office to identify some property.
 - Q. Now, do you know whether or not any property that was found with Sue Crozier's property belonged to sheriff office's cases?
 - A. Yes. There were two additional cases.
 - Q. And did you take a part in investigating those cases?
 - A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. In what kind of way?
- A. The residential burglaries in that area are assigned to me. What I did was when HPD gave me some of the names, some of the serial numbers, some of the property I started researching and see if I could cross reference and match certain pieces of property with certain cases.
 - Q. Okay. Is HPD -- let me stop for a second if I can understand this. HPD and the sheriff's office have two different areas that they patrol; is that right?
- A. They patrol inside the city limits, we patrol everything outside the city limits.
- Q. At Hayes and Olympia where Sergeant
 Richards -- the stop is made of the defendant, is

- 1 | that located in Harris County, Texas?
- A. Yes, it's in the city of Houston inside
 Harris County.
- 4 Q. Y'all's area outside city limits also 5 Harris County, Texas?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

6

7

8

17

- Q. Okay. Now, what did you start to do to investigate the burglary?
- First, I started checking our report system 9 Α. 10 because Houston Police report system and Harris 11 County report system were two totally different 12 systems. They don't cross check anything. I started 13 looking on our end, they faxed me a copy of their 14 report. I started looking at serial numbers or 15 descriptions of property or names that were inside 16 the properties to match to other cases.
 - Q. Were you able to find a couple complainants?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you remember who they were?
- 21 A. There was a Whitlow and Herbert.
- Q. Now, do you know whether or not did they
 come and talk to you about the property or they did
 not or what did you do with them?
- 25 A. I talked to Ms. Herbert's son in reference

```
to his iPod, the songs and games that were placed on
 1
     it, that's how we were able to determine it was his
 2
     iPod.
 3
         0.
               What about Mr. Whitlow?
 4
 5
         Α.
               Never spoke to him.
 6
         0.
               Was there information given to you about a
 7
     maroon Impala?
 8
                     MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to
 9
     object, that's leading.
                     THE COURT:
10
                                 Excuse me?
11
                     MR. JONES: I'm going to object to
12
     that as leading.
                     THE COURT:
                                 That will be overruled.
13
14
         Α.
               Yes. Sergeant Richards advised me that's
15
     the car they had stopped.
16
                     MR. JONES: Object to that as hearsay.
                     THE COURT: That will be sustained.
17
               (BY MS. BARNETT) Did the Chevrolet Impala
18
19
     have a license plate for you to utilize?
20
                     MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to
     object to that. There's been no testimony -- well, I
21
22
     think I better take that back because the Court
     overruled my objection, Judge.
23
24
                     THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
25
         Α.
               Yes.
```

(BY MS. BARNETT) Was there a license plate? 1 Q. Yes, there was. 2 Α. Was it a paper plate or metal plate? 3 0. I believe it was a metal plate. 4 Α. 5 Q. Was there a number then that you could 6 trace? 7 Yes, run registration. Α. 8 0. Did you run registration on it? I believe I did. 9 Α. What did it come back to? 10 0. 11 I think Latara Pearce. Α. 12 0. And Latara Pearce --Yeah, I could be saying it wrong. 13 Α. 14 0. And I could be saying it wrong. I don't 15 know why I repeated that. Anyway, did you try and 16 make contact with Latara Pearce? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you know what the relationship is 19 between Latara Pearce and Travoy Hollie, if there is 20 one? 21 MR. JONES: Object to that as hearsay? 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 (BY MS. BARNETT) When you ran registration 24 for Latara Pearce, what did you do? 25 I went to the listed address, attempted to A .

- speak with her. I spoke with her mother who said she 1 was at school at the time. 2 Did you leave your name and number? 3 0. Yeah. I left her my business card, I 4 Α. 5 advised her why I was there, why I need to talk to 6 her. 7 What did you tell her? 0. 8
 - A. I told her that the, the maroon Impala, inside it there were several pieces of property that was identified as being stolen in a few different burglaries and I needed to speak with her. She told me --

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to object to what anybody told her.

15 THE COURT: That will be sustained.

- Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Was -- did you have any information that Miss Pearce had been driving the vehicle at the time that Sergeant Richards had stopped the vehicle?
- MR. JONES: Object to that, that is leading.
- 22 | THE COURT: Rephrase the question.
 - Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Did you know any of the names of the people that were with the Chevrolet, with the Impala at the time it was stopped?

1 A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Did you know those names at the time that you talked to Miss Pierce's mom?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you use any of those names when you talked to Miss Pierce's mom?
 - A. Yes, sir, I did.
 - Q. How did you use them? What did you say?
- A. When I advised her of the property being stolen that I needed to get her side of the story, why stolen property was in her vehicle --
- 12 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to 13 object, it's not responsive to the question.
- 14 THE COURT: That'll be overruled.
- 15 Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Go ahead, sir.
- A. When I told her that, she advised me -
 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to

 object what she advised him.
- 19 THE COURT: That will be sustained.
- Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) When you told her you
 needed to connect the property with who was driving
 the vehicle, did you and she have a conversation
 about that?
 - A. Yes, we did.
- 25 Q. Without giving us any names, did she give

you have any names? 1 Α. 2 Yes. And did any of the names match any of the 3 0. people that were in that car? 4 Yes, it did. 5 Α. 6 0. Was that something that you knew about 7 previously? 8 Α. Correct. Now, did you also look up -- did you also 9 Q. know a name Taylor Metoyer? 10 11 Α. Yes. MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to 12 13 object being leading, it's not predicated at this point for the basis of the question. 14 15 THE COURT: Overruled. 16 Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Did you -- were you provided the offense report from Sergeant Richards or 17 did you talk to Sergeant Richards about what had 18 19 happened that day? 20 Α. They did fax me over a copy of the police 21 report. 22 0. To the best of your knowledge was Taylor 23 Metoyer some way involved with the original stuff?

What was your interest with Taylor Metoyer?

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

```
She had a pawn history.
 1
         A .
                     MR. JONES: Specifically that we're
 2
     going to object to anything relevant -- first of all,
 3
     it is not relevant as to the charges against Mr.
 4
     Hollie. Secondly, it is volitive of our previous
 5
 6
     motion in this case, we'd like to draw specific
 7
     attention to -- concerning extraneous conduct.
 8
                     THE COURT: He may discuss what he has
 9
     personal knowledge of.
                                 Thank you, Judge.
10
                     MR. JONES:
11
                (BY MS. BARNETT) Did you determine the pawn
12
     history that Taylor Metoyer had?
13
         Α.
               Yes.
14
                     MR. JONES: Object to that being
15
     irrelevant.
16
                     THE COURT: Overruled.
               (BY MS. BARNETT) What do you mean by pawn
17
         0.
     history? What does that mean?
18
19
         Α.
               She had pawned DVDs, I think 29 was the
20
     number of DVDs, it was listed in HPD's report.
21
               Were you ever able to get ahold of Taylor
         0.
22
     Metover?
23
         Α.
               No.
24
         Q.
               Did you interview her friend Zoya Banks?
               No, I didn't.
25
         Α.
```

Did you run Zoya Banks to see if she had 1 Q. pawn history? 2 I believe I did. I don't think she had 3 4 any. 5 This is just a yes or no, do you know if 6 there is a relationship between Latara Pearce and 7 Travoy Hollie? 8 MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to 9 object to that, eliciting hearsay on top of hearsay. 10 THE COURT: If he has personal 11 knowledge he can talk about that, if he doesn't then he can't. 12 13 Q. (BY MS. BARNETT) Do you have knowledge 14 whether or not there is a relationship between Travoy Hollie and Latara Pearce? 15 16 Α. Yes. MR. JONES: Your Honor, I'm going to 17 object. The Court has admonished counsel of the fact 18 19 it has to be a personal knowledge. I would object 20 any knowledge he has as to any relationships to anybody he doesn't personally know or has not talked 21 22 to would be based upon hearsay and I object to it. 23 MS. BARNETT: May we approach? 24 (At the Bench)

MS. BARNETT: I didn't want to say

```
this, he learned it from the defendant. That's
 1
     admissible. He learned it from the defendant.
 2
                    MR. JONES: Not if he's in custody and
 3
     hasn't been read his rights.
 4
 5
                    MS. BARNETT: He was.
 6
                    MR. JONES: That's another hearing.
 7
                    THE COURT: If he has personal
 8
     knowledge he can testify, if he doesn't then he
     can't.
 9
10
                    MR. JONES: That's not personal
11
     knowledge.
12
                    MS. BARNETT: Yes, it is.
                    (End of Bench Discussion)
13
14
                    MS. BARNETT: Pass the witness, Judge.
                    THE COURT: Mr. Jones.
15
16
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
     BY MR. JONES:
17
18
               Did you arrest anyone in connection with
19
     the events that occurred on 10 -- did you arrest
20
     anyone in regard to any burglaries?
2.1
         Α.
               No.
22
                    MR. JONES: Pass the witness, Judge.
23
                    THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
24
     down. Call your next witness.
25
                    MS. BARNETT: Judge, other than a
```