```
(Open court, defendant and jury present.)
 1
 2
                    THE COURT: Be seated, please. Good morning,
      ladies and gentlemen.
 3
                    THE JURORS: Morning.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fuller.
 6
                    MS. FULLER: Thank you, Your Honor. May I
 7
      proceed?
 8
                    THE COURT:
                                Sure.
 9
                              ELI CISNEROS,
10
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)
11
               (BY MS. FULLER) Good morning, Sergeant Cisneros.
          0.
12
          Α.
               Good morning.
               Are you the same Sergeant Cisneros who testified
13
          Q.
14
     yesterday in this matter?
15
          A.
               I am.
16
          0.
               All right. And you're still under oath; is that
17
      correct?
18
          Α.
               That's correct.
               Okay. When we left off yesterday, we were talking
19
          0.
      about the interrogation or interview style that you've
20
2.1
     developed for yourself; is that right?
22
          Α.
               That's correct.
23
               Okay. And we started to talk about June 28th,
24
      2010, the date in which you met the defendant and actually
25
      interviewed him; is that right?
```

1 That's right. A. 2 All right. And I believe when we left off we admitted the actual statement of the defendant itself; is 3 that right? 4 Α. 5 That's correct. 6 0. All right. Now, prior to you going into that 7 interview with the defendant, did you know whether or not those remains that were found out at 6100 Frisco had been 8 identified? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. So, you were notified by whom of the identification? 12 By Doyle with the Harris County ME's Office. 13 A . 14 Okay. And so, going in, you knew that the remains 0. had been identified? 15 16 Α. That's correct. Okay. When -- going into that interview, had the 17 0. 18 ME's Office given you any other further information? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Did you know the manner and means at which that person who had been identified died? 21 22 A. No. 23

MS. FULLER: May I approach the witness, Your

0.

24

25

Okay.

Honor, or the bench?

```
THE COURT: You may.
 1
 2
                   MS. FULLER: Permission to publish State's
 3
      Exhibit 98.
                  Your Honor, at this time may I publish State's
      Exhibit 98?
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: Sure.
 6
                    MS. FULLER: And Your Honor, just to let you
 7
     know, this is going to be very loud from the speaker.
 8
                    THE COURT: Okay.
                    (State's Exhibit No. 98, the videotaped
 9
                    statement of the defendant, was played.)
10
               (BY MS. FULLER) Sergeant Cisneros, I want to go
11
     back to the beginning of the interview and talk about some
12
     of the things that you saw when you were conducting this
13
14
      interview. Let's -- you mentioned yesterday the
15
     rapport-building phase. And you mentioned that it wasn't
16
     videotaped. What can you tell us, from your memory,
17
     occurred during the rapport-building stage with this
     defendant?
18
19
               Well, it's like I said -- is this on? Like I said
         A.
20
     yesterday, the -- I don't think this is on.
2.1
                    MS. FULLER: Your Honor.
22
                    THE COURT: It's not on.
23
                    THE WITNESS: How about now?
24
                    THE COURT: Mine is on, unless it's turned off
25
     from that, I don't know. But mine is on.
```

1 MS. FULLER: Yeah.

THE COURT: Try that.

- A. Okay. With the rapport building was just me entering the room and introducing myself, letting him know who he was about ready to speak to, what I wanted to talk to him about, to offer restroom, drink or food, which he accepted the drink, which is a bottle of water, and to let him know that's what I wanted to talk to him about. Also said it was apparent that he was very nervous. The whole objective during the rapport building is to, of course, you know, introductions, like I just said, and to bring the anxiety level down because what I want to do is just get a quick, cursory look of what his body language is like and his nonverbal behavior in a relaxed state is what I'm attempting to do at that point.
- Q. (BY MS. FULLER) At that point were you able to get a baseline on his relaxed state?
- A. As relaxed as one can get, of course, being inside a homicide interview room.
- Q. I believe you testified yesterday that he told you that he was scared.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Can you describe for the jury what his demeanor is like at this point?
- A. If you noticed at the beginning of the video, where

he was kind of with his head down in the head-down position, arms crossed in front with his head hanging down, he was very similar to that but was able to make eye contact and talk to me face-to-face at that point.

- Q. Okay. How was his body language or his baseline different in that rapport-building phase versus what we see in the interview and the interrogation phase?
- A. Okay. During the interview phase of this, as everybody just saw, the moment I walked in, he was with the head-down position there, not fidgeting around at all. As soon as I walk into the room and sit down and actually start speaking, the clusters start occurring, which I was referring to yesterday, which is the nonverbal behavior in clusters.
- Q. Okay. Can you describe for the jury what kind of clusters you saw at that point?
- A. Throughout the entire interview phase is what I was concentrating on, some of the clusters were the head down, covering the eyes, rubbing or pinching the nose area kind of with his hands over his face and some of them are real subtle and you have to really pay attention to them and they're fast but those are considered what we call clusters, nonverbal behavior in clusters.

Some of the other ones were the rubbing of the face. Looking down was another cluster. Looking down,

fidgeting with the water bottle was another one. The mental escape, as I mentioned, covering the eyes, that is what we call mental escape and that is the -- it's kind of a natural reaction that a person has when they're under a lot of stress or a lot of anxiety is mentally they're trying to remove themself from the situation because it's -- the anxiety is high. I observed a lot of them throughout.

- Q. Okay. And that was different from what you observed when you very first introduced yourself during that rapport-building phase?
  - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, anything else that you recall from the rapport-building phase that we haven't talked about?
  - A. No.

- Q. Now, when you moved into the interview phase, that's when you start to find those body language clusters; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. And tell us what your goal is with the defendant during this interview phase.
  - A. Well, again, I'm talking about the specifics about the case itself and as I do so, what I try and key in on is any movements or any nonverbal behavior that's different and not consistent with what I originally saw when I went in and through the initial rapport building, that quick cursory

- glance that I looked at, that kind of gives me -- again, this is an investigative tool for me in the interview phase and what it basically does is it lets me know in conjunction with what I've learned throughout the investigation up until that point if I need to proceed on from the interview phase to an actual interrogation phase.
- Q. Okay. So, you're using the statements that you've already obtained from other witnesses in the case, what you know about the scene as you saw it, in addition to the questions that -- and answers that you're getting from the defendant and that's telling you whether or not you need to move into this next phase.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And let me make sure I have this right, too. Also to give you another tool to know whether or not this witness is now becoming a suspect, you're also watching his body language to see if you find these deceptive body clusters.
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, and we saw at the very beginning of this you read him his rights; is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And he was able to tell you that he wanted to waive those rights and speak with you?
- A. Yes.

Q. When you started to do the interview portion, you

started asking questions, does Ruben say anything to you that, in your training and experience, triggers more questions that you need to talk about?

A. Yes.

- O. What is that?
- A. During the interview, without asking any questions, he offered: I didn't hit her or nothing. And that immediately got my attention because I didn't ask any questions, anything related to that, hitting, pushing, anything. That was offered up. That got my attention. It was very quick. If you don't pay attention, you'll miss it but he did say that, I didn't hit her or nothing.

During the statement when he says that she was convulsing, that got my attention as well.

- Q. Why did that get your attention?
- A. I had worked a previous double murder case and in that case the victims were strangled and I did obtain a confession in that case as well. And the person admitted -- the suspect in that case admitted that as he was strangling this individual, that just prior to death, that the victim's body started convulsing violently. So, hearing that, that got my attention.

When I'm viewing this nonverbal body language that in my opinion was consistent with the person being deceptive and the statement that was made by the defendant,

- I didn't hit her or nothing, as well as that statement about her convulsing, and my prior experiences, that led me to believe that there was probably more to this than what was being said.
  - Q. Okay. So, based on all of that, you then, in your mind, based on your training and experience and what you know about the case up to this point, you think that perhaps you should start down the line of questioning with strangulation?
    - A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. Is that why you start to suggest perhaps 12 strangulation or --
  - A. Right. I kind of incorporated that into the themes that I presented.
  - Q. Is there anything else that you learned during the interview phase from the defendant?
- 17 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. So, at this point, armed with all the information that you have and what you're seeing with Ruben's body language and what he's telling you, what do you decide to do next?
- A. At that point I decided that this definitely warrants a interrogation and I kind of switch gears and moved on into that.
  - Q. Okay. And when you switch into the interrogation

- phase, what do you do specifically with Ruben to get into that next phase?
  - A. Well, I started offering themes and I believe I offered about four different themes.
  - Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about themes yesterday but can you tell me specifically in this case did you develop those themes as you were -- as you were talking to him in the interview phase?
    - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So, you don't -- you didn't go into this interview planning what you were -- what these themes were going to be?
- A. No. As -- during the interview phase, I'm kind of paying attention to what's going on. I have this previous knowledge of the case as I'm going into the interview and based on what I'm seeing, based on what I'm hearing, I'm kind of formulating these themes in my mind as I'm going through the interview and should the investigation be warranted, I use these themes and present these themes during the interrogation phase.
- Q. And are these themes that you believe to be true?
- A. No. Some of the themes I may believe are true, like in this case about the hand over the throat part, I believe that based on what I'd heard up until that point or

suspected that, had pretty good suspicions about that. Some of the others I don't believe, like, perhaps maybe it was an accident or he didn't mean to do it. I don't have to believe it. They don't have to be -- sometimes it's not the truth. It's the -- the whole purpose of that is to justify or minimize the actions of the person whom I'm interviewing at that time -- I'm interrogating at that time. Sometimes, again, I even shift the blame from the offender himself to the victim in the case.

- Q. Okay. Tell us what the themes are that you used during this interrogation phase.
- A. One of the themes I used was it was an accident and he didn't mean to do it. You didn't mean for it to happen like that. Sometimes sex gets a little rough and some women like it a little rough.
- Q. Okay. At any point did he -- when you offered, let's say, the first theme, when you offered: It was an accident, wasn't it? We saw in the video but does he, in fact, agree with you that it was an accident?
  - A. No.

- Q. When you put forth a theme that it just got a little bit out of control, did he agree with that?
  - A. No.
- Q. When you put forth a theme that things got a little bit out of hand -- excuse me -- that things got a little

- 1 | rough, did he initial -- did he agree to that?
  - A. Initially, no.
  - Q. And the final one, you said some women like sex a little rough. Did he agree to that?
    - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. So, once you put forth those themes, even if they -- even though the defendant did not agree to those, do you continue using those themes in your interrogation as you proceed forward?
- A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Okay. And why is that?
- A. It takes more than one round of presenting themes. Sometimes it can go on for hours of presenting themes and if you have pretty good suspicions that the person committed whatever act it is you're investigating, if those themes aren't working, then sometimes you even change themes as you go along. You might use a couple of them as you continue. Sometimes as you go along, you come up with new themes to keep presenting.
  - Q. What -- I'm sorry.
- 21 A. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
- Q. What is the longest interview that you've conducted with a suspect?
  - A. About six or seven hours.
- 25 Q. Okay. And do you know approximately how long this

interview lasted for?

- A. It was pretty quick, maybe about 25 to 30 minutes, I quess.
- Q. Okay. So, in the -- in your experience was this on the longer or shorter side of an interview?
  - A. This was extremely short.
- Q. Okay. Tell us what you observed in the defendant during the interrogation phase.
- A. Well, one of the things I noticed was the crying part of that. And I've done many, many interviews and many, many interrogations. The crying, in my opinion, was not sincere, was what we refer to in homicide as crocodile tears.
  - Q. Okay. What else did you observe?
- A. His behavior was very mild and meek as opposed to the end of the interview or interrogation, rather.
- Q. What did you notice -- what change did you notice from the beginning of the interrogation phase to the end of the interrogation phase in his body language?
- A. Well, towards the end of the interrogation, once the admission had already been made, where he becomes angry after the fact, you had the very controlled Ruben attempting to plead his case and at the end he becomes -- he goes into what we call mental escape again where he laid his head down on the table and was -- just removed himself from the

- situation itself and up until the point where he stood up and was defiant at that part and in my opinion that is the real Ruben at that point.
- Q. Okay. I want to go back to the themes. When you are suggesting these themes to him, do you believe that these themes are true? For instance, do you believe that it was an accident?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay. Again, the themes are used more as a tool?
- A. It's a tool. Again, it's meant to minimize or justify the person's actions in their mind.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. Sometimes that makes it easier for a person to admit to, when you minimize what it is that was actually done or again, like I said, you sometimes move the blame from the person or the offender to the victim.
  - Q. Okay. Now, there's some things that he -- were there things that the defendant offered on his own to you during that interrogation phase, information that he offered on his own?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. What were those pieces of information?
- A. Well, once the admission had been made and he said it got a little crazy, well, that wasn't one of the themes that I suggested. I said, You know, it may have -- well,

you didn't mean for it to happen like that, sometimes it gets a little rough, well, first he said, Well, it got a little rough and then said, It got a little crazy after that and actually demonstrated by placing his right hand up. And when I asked a question, you know, Were you on top of her, he responded yes. When I asked how long he had his hand around her neck, he offered, Three minutes. First he said a second. And I clarified, Well, how long? And he offered up -- you know, I didn't suggest, hey, was it three minutes you had your hand around her neck? No, he offered that up on his own.

- Q. Okay. Now, while you're in this interrogation phase, there are a couple of lies that you say to him; is that correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. For instance, talking about the fact that you knew she didn't want to have sex with him.
- 18 A. Right.
  - Q. Okay. Why did you do that?
  - A. Legally it's permissible for us to lie during an interrogation. Sometimes when we suspect that a person has committed an offense, if -- we may lead them to believe we have more information than what we actually do have on that and sometimes that will cause the person to admit to whatever offense it is that they did.

- Q. Okay. One of the other things that you said to him that was a lie was that you know she didn't die from convulsing.
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And that was a lie at that point?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Again, had you heard from the Medical Examiner's Office how she had died?
- 9 A. I had no idea what the cause of death was at that 10 point.
  - Q. Okay. You also said to him that I have evidence that you put your hand around her neck. Again, is that a lie that you say to him at that point?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. These are all tools that you're using that you're permissibly allowed to use in order to see if he'll tell you the truth.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 20 Now, you say that -- before I move on, is there anything else in the interrogation phase that you noticed that we have not covered?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Now, you state that at the end of the interrogation phase that he starts going to mental escape.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Tell us what we saw when you cued in that he was going into a mental escape. What does he do?
- A. Well, he completely removed himself from the interrogation by turning around and placing his head on his hands on the table there. As I mentioned yesterday, one of the ways that we bring a person out of mental escape is by referring to them by name, calling their name, and that typically will snap the person out of this mental escape.

When he actually stood up and walked away, I interpreted that as, you know, there's no bringing him back to the table at that point to continue with anything. So, it was my understanding that the interrogation was going to be over at that point and I stopped it.

- Q. Okay. Now, there were a couple of things that the defendant says to you during -- up to the point where you stop the interview. One of the things that he says is, You tricked me; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Did you trick him into saying it was an accident?
- 20 A. No.

- O. In fact did he ever say it was an accident?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Did you trick him into saying that you didn't mean for it to happen?
- 25 A. No.

0. In fact does he ever say that he didn't mean for it 1 2 to happen? 3 Α. No. Did you trick him into saying sometimes sex gets a 4 0. 5 little rough? 6 Α. No. 7 Did he ever say sometimes sex gets a little rough? 0. 8 Α. No. 9 Did you trick him into saying that some women like Q. it a little rough? 10 11 A. No. Did he ever admit that Linda liked it rough? 12 0. 13 Α. No. 14 He also says to you at the end, I thought you were 0. 15 going to help me, man. In your mind what is he talking about? 16 He's referring to when I walked in during the 17 Α. rapport-building stage, when I first met him, I made the 18 introductions and he said, I'm scared and I told him, Look, 19 20 I need you to relax, calm down, and I'm going to help you through this, meaning the process that we was about to go 21 22 through, which was the interview phase. 23 Okay. Now, he also keeps repeating, I didn't kill

her, man, and you've already convicted me. Did you ever

accuse him of killing her during your interview or

24

interrogation?

- A. No.
- Q. He also is worried about being convicted. Did you ever tell him that he was going to be charged with the murder of Linda Hartsough during the interview or interrogation?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Where do you think that came from?
- A. As far as the -- I don't know. That -- the question, I can't answer. As far as being tricked, you know, when we -- a person comes into the interview room and they've committed an act, that person will come in typically with their game face on and in their mind they have no intentions of admitting what it is that they've done.

  When -- at the end of the interrogation, once it finally leads to that, when the person finally admits that they did whatever it is that they did, probably in their mind they think, How did this guy get me to say or admit to this and in their mind they probably believe that they were tricked because they didn't want to say that to begin with in the first place, you know, so that's --
- Q. At any time did you ever promise the defendant that you'd give him anything to -- or help him with anything if he gave you a statement?
- A. No.

1 0. Okay. The only thing you'd tell him is, I'm going 2 to help you through this interview process? 3 Α. Right. Q. 4 Okay. 5 MS. FULLER: May I approach the witness? 6 THE COURT: You may. 7 (BY MS. FULLER) At one point during the interview 0. 8 you showed the defendant a photograph of Linda Hartsough; is that correct? 9 10 Α. That is correct. I'm going to show you what has been marked as 11 State's Exhibit 4, previously been admitted. Do you 12 recognize that? 13 14 Α. I do. 15 Is that the photograph that you showed the 0. 16 defendant when you were making sure that the two of you were talking about the same person? 17 Α. 18 Yes. Okay. And did he, in fact, say that this was Linda 19 0. 20 Hartsough, the person he was talking about? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. All right. In his statement he also talks about 23 getting two for 10. Is that lingo familiar to you? 24 Α. Yes, it is.

What does that two for 10 mean?

25

Q.

- A. It means two crack rocks, \$10 rocks.
- Q. Okay. So, for \$10 you get two rocks?
- A. Well, one \$10 rock is a \$10 rock. Two for 10 is one \$10 rock that's, like, broke in half.
  - Q. That's what he says that he purchased for him and Linda?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You were on patrol for many years. In your training and in your experience as a police officer, is that a lot of crack cocaine?
  - A. It's a very small amount of cocaine.
- 12 Q. And when you've got two people or more sharing that
  13 amount, makes it even smaller?
  - A. Makes it even smaller and when you break away another portion of this to give away, which in this case happened, it's even a smaller amount.
  - Q. Okay. All right. So, this interview happened on what day?
    - A. I believe it was the 28th.
  - Q. Okay. And did you do anything else in terms of the investigation on that day? On the 28th?
  - A. At the conclusion of the interrogation, I made contact with the Harris County DA's Office and spoke with Assistant District Attorney Katherine McDaniel.
    - Q. Okay. And any other -- anything further on that

1 day?

- A. He was charged on that day. The District

  Attorney's Office accepted charges based on that statement
  that he made. Charges were filed.
  - Q. And also the other pieces of evidence that you told them on the phone.
    - A. Of course, yes.
  - Q. Okay. On June 28th -- excuse me -- Tuesday,

    June 29th, do you do anything further with the case the next
    day?
  - A. Now that we have this new information from the interview and interrogation --
- Q. Let me stop you there. What is that new information?
- A. Well, that -- his admission to placing his hand around Linda's neck on that date, the date this incident happened.
- Q. Okay. So, what do you need to do with that information?
- A. Well, that's information the Harris County ME's

  Office should be aware of and a call was placed to

  Dr. Pinneri, who was the medical examiner in charge at that
  time, and this information was left on the voice mail.
- Q. In your experience, why is that information important to get to the ME's Office?

- A. The Medical Examiner's Office always wants to know, well, any important information or pertinent information that might be useful to them in helping to determine what cause of death is.
- Q. Is it unusual for you as a homicide investigator to speak with the Medical Examiner's Office?
- A. No, it was not. And specifically in this case right now that we're talking about, we had a skeleton where bones were strewn about. Another reason we left this information or an important reason we left this information with Dr. Pinneri was that now we had this information or this admission that he placed his hand around her neck typically in strangulation type matters that I've worked, the hyoid bone, which is located in the neck, is either fractured or broken and because there were bones strewn about, I wanted to ensure that we did have the hyoid bone or that she had the hyoid bone.
- Q. Okay. Is it unusual in your experience for -- and I'm talking any homicide case that you're investigating -- for you as the homicide investigator to accompany the medical examiner while they're performing the autopsy?
  - A. It's very common.
- Q. Is it fair to say you and the Medical Examiner's Office have to work together in order to solve a case?
  - A. Sometimes.

1 0. Now, after -- did anything else occur on June 29th? 2 Α. No. And can you tell me what happened further in this 3 0. case in your investigation? 4 5 Well, that was June 29th, on a Tuesday. On 6 July 8th of 2010, we received a phone call from Dr. Pinneri, 7 informing us that --8 MR. CORNELIUS: Objection to the hearsay at 9 this point, Judge. THE COURT: Sustained. 10 11 0. (BY MS. FULLER) At that point were you notified of her findings? 12 Α. 13 Yes. 14 Now, you said on June 29th, were you actually able 0. 15 to speak to somebody or was a message left? 16 Α. I believe a message was left. Okay. So, between June 29th and July 8th, did you 17 0. 18 have any phone calls where you actually spoke with 19 Dr. Pinneri? 20 Α. No. Now, in your experience investigating homicide 21 0. 22 cases, have you found that a hand can be used as a deadly 23 weapon? 24 Α. Yes. 25 How so? Q.

- A. Well, specifically when a person -- I've worked strangulation cases before where the hand has been used as a weapon to strangle the other person.
- Q. Okay. And in those cases was the hand the cause of death?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. In your investigation of homicide cases, have you found any other instances where a hand was placed around somebody's neck and it didn't cause harm to that person?
- A. Not -- my cases are homicide specific. So, in my types of cases, no.
- Q. Okay. So, in your dealings, if somebody's putting their hands around somebody's neck, it's to cause serious bodily injury or death?
  - A. In my cases, yes.
- Q. Now, did you request DNA testing of the evidence that was collected at the scene?
  - A. Yes.
- O. Do you recall what you requested to be tested?
- A. The clothing that was located near the skeletal remains, DNA was requested on that. The debris, some of the debris that was around there, which were bottles and cans, DNA was requested off of that. And, of course, her toothbrush that was submitted and my understanding, no DNA was located. Also two fingernail scrapings off of the

```
1
      victim's fingernails and my understanding, no DNA was
      recovered off of that.
 2
               Okay. Were the victim's fingernails recovered?
 3
          0.
               Well, I requested the scrapings. I wasn't sure
 4
          Α.
 5
      what they actually had in terms of fingernails or not.
 6
      the event that fingernails were recovered from the bones, I
 7
      had requested DNA from that.
 8
          0.
               Did you request any kind of contact or trace DNA?
 9
          Α.
               Not that I'm aware of.
               If you hadn't -- didn't, was there a thought
10
11
     process behind not doing so?
12
          Α.
               Yes.
                     The amount of time that the clothing had
      remained there would, from my experience, ruin any chances
13
      of recovering any type of contact DNA.
14
15
          0.
               Okay.
16
                    MS. FULLER: May I have just a moment, Your
17
      Honor?
18
                    THE COURT: You may.
19
                    MS. FULLER: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
20
                    THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let's go
21
      ahead and take our morning break. We'll be in recess until
22
      11:25, please.
23
                    (Jury not present.)
24
                    (Recess.)
25
                    (Jury present.)
```

1 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 2 And Mr. Cornelius, you may proceed. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Sergeant Cisneros, we have met 4 0. 5 and talked about this case and other cases before, haven't 6 we? 7 Α. Yes, we have. Just sitting here listening to you testify today 8 0. and yesterday, you know a lot about lying, apparently. You 9 can tell when people are lying, right? 10 11 Well, I went over the interview and interrogation 12 techniques that I use. Do they work on you? 13 Q. 14 Did it work on me? Α. I mean, would they work on you? I mean, for 15 0. 16 example, when you're lying to the suspect, do you cover your 17 eyes or put your head down or cry or cross your arms or any of that stuff? 18 19 I'm not the one being questioned. It's the person A. 20 whom I'm interviewing or interrogating. That stuff, all your technique stuff really 21 0. 22 wouldn't apply to you because you don't do any of those 23 things when you're telling lies. 24 Α. It applies the other way around because it is a person being questioned and responding to the questions that 25

1 are being asked. 2 That's convenient for you, isn't it? 0. Objection to argumentative, Your 3 MS. FULLER: Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 0. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Okay. When you began your 7 interview with Mr. Juarez, you knew that he was a crack 8 user, right? 9 Α. No. Roger didn't tell you that? 10 0. 11 That he was a cracker user? Α. 12 0. Uh-huh. He didn't tell you in your statement that's part of your offense report --13 14 I stand corrected on that. Yes, I did know that. A. 15 All right. So, from your experience, 19 and a half 0. 16 years in the police department, being on the streets a large part of that and the training that you've had, you know that 17 people that smoke crack one time are addicted to it. You 18 19 know that, don't you? 20 Α. Sometimes. 2.1 Well, is there a doubt about that? 0. 22 Α. Yes, sir, yeah, there is. 23 Okay. And is there a stronger -- from your

experience and training -- a stronger, more addicting drug

other than maybe smoking methamphetamine than crack cocaine?

24

A. Perhaps heroin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. You think heroin's more addictive than crack?
- A. It's been my experience on the streets that it's probably as addictive.
- Q. Okay. So, if you knew that my client habitually smoked crack at least with Roger and Linda, you knew he was a crackhead, right, a crack addict?
- A. Well, a person that smokes crack doesn't necessarily make that person a crackhead. It depends on how you define crackhead, habitual user or occasional user.

  It's been my experience that not -- every person that smokes crack is not a crackhead or an habitual user.
- Q. Didn't it appear to you from your interview with Roger and what you knew about this case at the time you started your interview with Mr. Juarez that they were all habitual crack users?
- 17 A. No.
  - Q. You didn't know that?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. You didn't think they lived to drink alcohol and smoke crack?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. You think that -- you know that now, though, right?
- 25 A. I still don't know that.

- Q. Okay. What kind of training and education were you aware of, if any, that Mr. Juarez had in his life at the time you sat down to interview him?

  A. I wasn't aware of any.

  Q. Certainly had not been to all the schools that
  - Q. Certainly had not been to all the schools that you've been to, teaching you how to interview people and get them to confess to something, right?
    - A. I would not know what schools he attended.
  - Q. Okay. Couple of things before we get into the statement. You got the toothbrush.
  - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

- Q. Who did you get that from?
- A. From Roger.
- 14 Q. That was Roger's wife's -- common-law wife's toothbrush?
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And you got that to try to get DNA from it, correct?
    - A. In the event that it was needed, yes.
- Q. And do you know if the Medical Examiner's Office tried to get DNA from it or maybe the Houston crime lab or --
- 23 A. DNA was requested from there. I'm not sure if they
  24 got it or not. The remains were identified prior to and
  25 that was the sole purpose of the DNA.

## Eli Cisneros - January 11, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

- 1 Q. That's not my question.
  - A. Okay.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

- Q. My question was: Do you know if either the Medical Examiner's Office or the Houston crime lab attempted to get a DNA profile from the toothbrush?
  - A. I'm not aware of any.
    - Q. You're not aware of any attempt to do it?
  - A. Any attempt, yes.
  - Q. Well, what you told the jury not more than 30 minutes ago was, at least you left the implication, that they weren't able to get DNA from it. Isn't that what you said?
- A. Well, referring to the evidence itself, it was asked, the clothing, the --
  - Q. Talking about the toothbrush?
  - A. Well, if the toothbrush was mentioned in there, I'm not aware of the crime lab extracting DNA from the toothbrush.
    - O. Or even attempting to.
- 20 A. Or even attempting to.
- Q. Okay. The reason for that would have been to get a
  DNA profile on the alleged victim, right?
- 23 A. In the event that it was needed, correct, to 24 identify the remains.
- Q. Okay. And if you couldn't have gotten DNA from the

toothbrush itself, and it was -- if it was important to somebody to actually identify by DNA the victim, you could have gone and gotten her clothing. There would be an infinite number of things that could have been attempted to develop a DNA profile from, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. You made some comments about another case that you handled where somebody was strangled and they convulsed?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Wouldn't you think just in common sense that somebody that is being strangled would convulse?
- A. Common sense? No. In fact, this was, you know, this was the first case I've heard of someone actually convulsing. I've never been present when a person was being strangled to say what they do or don't do.
- Q. Well, in the old westerns when people got hung, usually we didn't get to see all that. All we get to see is their legs convulsing. Remember those movies?
  - A. Sure.
- Q. Can you imagine anybody just laying there passively getting strangled unless they were already unconscious?
- A. Well, from what I do know about strangulations is typically when the blood is cut off from a person's neck, the first thing that happens is a person passes out and when you're, of course, unconscious, you lose control of your

#### Eli Cisneros - January 11, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

- body itself and what it does after that, I mean, of course,
  I don't know.
  - Q. Okay. Do you think that people, from your experience, convulse when they have a heart attack?
  - A. I've been present when a person has literally fallen down in front of me. I performed CPR and that person -- actually it's happened twice and I performed CPR twice and both of those individuals died right in front of me and neither of those two individuals convulsed.
  - Q. Okay. That's two. Do you think that people convulse? Think it's possible people convulse when they have a heart attack?
- 13 A. I don't know. It's possible. It's not -- I mean,
  14 I'm not a doctor.
  - Q. What about a seizure?
- 16 A. Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 17 | Q. A seizure? Somebody having a seizure?
- 18 A. Sure.
- 19 Q. A seizure is a form of epilepsy, correct, remember 20 that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So, that's convulsions.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What about a drug -- a bad reaction to drugs?
- 25 A. I've never seen anyone have a bad reaction to

### Eli Cisneros - January 11, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

1 drugs. 2 Think somebody could seizure because of that? 0. Yes. 3 Α. What about a cerebral hemorrhage? 4 0. I wouldn't know. 5 Α. 6 0. All right. Do you think the Medical Examiner's 7 Office is part of law enforcement? 8 Α. They're their own separate entity. 9 Q. I mean, they don't really report to the police, right, or the sheriff's department? 10 11 Α. That's correct. 12 They're medical doctors. 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 And they're trying to determine, if they can, 0. 15 medically, the cause of death. 16 Α. Yes. And do you think it's their job to try to conform 17 0. their findings to what you believe are your theme as to how 18 19 a case happened? 20 Α. Absolutely not. 2.1 All right. From your experience, and I'm sure 0. 22 you've seen pretty much everything, you think that chronic 23 alcohol, crack or other kind of drugs, marijuana, has a

positive or negative effect on someone's mind?

I'm sure it has a negative effect.

24

25

A.

- Q. We don't know where the defendant was or how he got arrested. Did you arrest him, by any chance?
  - A. No.

- Q. So, we don't know what he was doing when he got arrested. Are you under the impression that you were conversing with him within 40 minutes or so of the time he was arrested?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. I mean, you got -- you weren't there when he was arrested but you got a call saying he was arrested or in custody or whatever?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you think you were talking to him within 40 minutes.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. People don't sober up in 40 minutes, do they?
- A. I've never seen anyone sober up in 40 minutes.
- Q. When you're talking to a witness and you're trying to find out what that witness knows and you're honestly trying to find out what the witness knows, you're not trying to tell them or signify what you want to hear; you want to know what they know. For example, if you want to find out which was the first one that came into a convenience store, in a robbery, let's say, if you say to the witness you're talking to, Wasn't it the guy in the red shirt that came in

#### Eli Cisneros - January 11, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

- first, and they agree with you, that's a leading question,
  right?
  - A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. It has the answer in the question.
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. You wouldn't have the same level of comfort in knowing that witness really knows the one in the red shirt came first, you wouldn't have the same level of comfort as you would if you asked the witness, Which one came in the store first, the one in the black shirt or the one in the white shirt or the one in the red shirt and they told you, The one in the red shirt, correct?
- A. In that specific scenario that you just gave, I would agree with you.
- Q. Okay. Well, in any scenario where you're trying to find out what a witness knows, in a homicide investigation, you're trying to find out what they know. Wouldn't it always be better and more convincing to you if they gave you the information freely and you didn't have to suggest to them what the information was?
  - A. Are you referring to this case or just --
- 22 Q. Any case.
- 23 A. Any case?
- 24 Q. Any witness, any case.
- 25 A. If it was a situation to where they were given the

opportunity to speak and they laid out all of the information as it was in this case right here without asking any questions, it's different than the scenario that you're giving there. When you're not asked any questions and you're saying what happened and the individual lays it out and spells it out for you and you're able to determine as in this case that there was nobody else left to talk to, that he was, in fact, the last person with her, that he was in the woods with her when she died, I would have to disagree with you in the scenario that you're giving.

- Q. I don't know what you're disagreeing with. I mean, if -- in this case if you had said, Ruben, what happened, and he told you what you believed to have happened, wouldn't that be more believable than you having to suggest it to him and doing it that way?
- A. In the time I've been in homicide and all the other investigators that I've worked with, there has never been, as far as I'm aware of, anyone coming into the homicide office that has committed a crime that would sit there and meet with the investigator and spell it out exactly what happened the way it happened.
  - Q. Let me ask you to rethink that for a minute.
  - A. Okay.

Q. How often is it do you have people come into homicide and confess to murders they didn't do?

- A. I've never seen anyone do that.
- Q. You've never heard of that?
- A. No. Well, let me rephrase that. I've heard of this happening in, like, on TV shows or things of that nature but I've never seen a person or in my office heard of anyone confessing to a murder they did not commit.
- Q. You've never had a murder case where more than one person confessed? You never had a wife say she did it when the child did or a husband say he did it when the wife did? Give me a break.
  - A. I have not.

- Q. Okay. All right. Well, if you had a situation like that and you were just trying -- you didn't know who did it -- somebody did it. You didn't know who did it and you got more than one person confessing, wouldn't you be more comfortable when you were questioning them to find out what -- the details that they know -- not you suggesting to them what the details are.
- A. Again, in the interrogation, if you have a reluctant person that you're speaking to that's reluctant to admit to what it is that they did, no one's going to spell it out for you, as you're saying, as to what happened unless you go through these techniques.
- Q. Okay. Well, when you talked to Roger Rowland, okay, how do you know he didn't do it?

- A. Again, speaking at that point in the investigation, what we're trying to do is locate the last individual that was seen with Linda.
  - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. So, with the information that I received from Roger, the last individual to be seen with her was Ruben.
  - Q. Okay.
- A. So, now we're going to proceed on to Ruben and talk to him and --
  - O. Well --
- A. As I said before in my testimony, that I could have went to Ruben and Ruben said, well, yeah, I saw her with Joe later that night and I would have interviewed Ruben, got his statement and I would have went to look for Joe.
  - *Q*. Okay.
  - A. And maybe it goes on and on and on.
- Q. Is the reason that you believe that Ruben is the last person to be with her is because Roger told you that?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So, all you have is Roger's word for that.
- A. As far as who Linda was last seen with.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. Then, of course, Ruben confirmed that.
- Q. Okay. But I'm talking about when you're interviewing Roger.

A. Okay.

- Q. I didn't notice when you interviewed Roger that you put in your report this part about rapport building. In fact, the only person in your report that you divided out your interview to rapport building and the rest of the interview was with Ruben.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, you already thought when you began your interview with Ruben, you already thought he was guilty, right?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Well, it looks like you kind of set up your review here like you're trying to get a statement from him, right?
- A. We're getting ready to conduct an interview at this point.
  - Q. Why didn't you do that with Roger?
- A. Because the last individual that was seen with Linda, from what the investigation had showed up to that point, was Ruben. So, it's different. It's not the same with Roger or any of the other individuals we spoke to. The last person who was seen with this female, who is now deceased in the bushes, is Ruben. We're going to go speaking to him in a different way. We're going to interview him in this manner.

- Q. Maybe I'm not making my question clear. You didn't know that until after you spoke with him, right? You didn't know what Ruben was going to say until after you talked to him, right?
- A. Exactly. The information I had at that point was that he was the last individual.
- Q. Okay. All right. So, you didn't know what he was going to say.
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. So, why did you have to build rapport with him and you didn't have to build it with Roger? You didn't know what Roger was going to say either until you talked to him, right?
- A. Okay. Again, the investigation -- of course I can't go into what the individuals said. I realize that. I knew that -- this is a different type of witness that I'm talking to at this point, okay. The circumstances are different. This is the last individual who was seen with Linda. The information that I had at that point was that they left together and that they did not return together. So, the way I went about the interview was different.
- Q. But what if he'd have said in the interview, after you build all this rapport with him that, yeah, I left her at the filling station. Last time I saw her was at the filling station.

- A. Okay. Then what I would have done was, again, based on the interview and what I'm looking at -- and we went over that with the nonverbal behavior, as I'm talking to him, if it indicated to me if he was showing signs of deception, then maybe I would have questioned him further. If he didn't exhibit those signs of nonverbal behavior like that, then I would have tooken his statement and went back to the gas station and see if maybe I could round up somebody else that perhaps saw her.
  - Q. Did you put anything in your report about his nonverbal behavior? All this stuff that you told the jury today?
  - A. No, I did not.
- 14 Q. Have you ever told it to anybody before other than the prosecutors?
- 16 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. And in another hearing you've testified fully in this case, right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
  - Q. You never said one word about that, did you?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. Not one word?
- A. Not one word.
- Q. You testified for hours in that hearing, didn't you?

- A. That's not something I generally like to put out.

  I don't like to educate anyone on the -- I mean, there's

  enough of these shows that are out there on TV right now.

  It's just something that I feel that I don't like to put out

  there.

  Q. But it was important to put it out today in front
  - Q. But it was important to put it out today in front of this jury.
    - A. These are a different set of circumstances. We've been there and this is our second time around.
    - Q. Okay. So, this time we have all this conversation and study about nonverbal behavior that isn't in the report, has never been testified to before. This jury gets to hear it.
      - A. This jury gets to hear it.
        - Q. Now, do you remember your interview with Roger?
- 16 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

- 17 Q. It's very hard -- the jury's met Roger. You know 18 that, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
  - Q. It's very hard for me to imagine that Roger didn't have some behavior, nonverbal behavior that you might have been offended by. Do you remember that at all?
  - A. Being offended by?
- Q. Yeah, might think that Roger's not telling the truth. I mean, he's not the kind of guy that gets right up

- on the edge of his seat and looks you right in the eye and talks firmly right at you like you do when you're lying to a suspect.
  - A. Roger, when I met Roger, was very, very upset.
- 5 *Q*. Uh-huh.

4

- 6 A. Was crying.
- 7 Q. He was crying, right?
- 8 A. Yes, he was.
  - Q. Does that mean he was lying?
- 10 A. Roger took the time to meet me back at the Shell
  11 gas station -- he was so concerned about his wife --
- 12 Q. Did you not understand my question?
- 13 A. Maybe I didn't.
- 14 Q. Did that mean he was lying?
- 15 A. I didn't think he was lying.
- 16 Q. Okay. He's crying, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Probably had his head down, too?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So, you interviewed Roger at the gas station?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And then had him brought down or did you take him down yourself?
- 25 A. I think I took him down myself.

- 1 Q. To homicide, downtown? On Travis -- what is it?
  2 1200 Travis?
  - A. 1200 Travis.
- Q. Put him in a little room just like the one Ruben was in?
  - A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. He's sitting in that little room. Is it recorded?
  - A. We took a written statement from Roger.
    - Q. A written statement?
    - A. A written statement.
- 11 Q. So, it wasn't videotaped?
- 12 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. So, when you put Ruben in that room, getting back to my question here, you already thought he was guilty before you ever started interviewing him. I mean, you did everything you could do to get a confession from that guy because he was your suspect, right?
    - A. That's incorrect.
    - Q. In a legal proceeding like this, do you think this court reporter right here can just take down what she wants to? Or you think she's got to take it all down?
    - A. She has to take it all down.
- Q. Okay. If this case went up on appeal, the appellate court would want the entire record, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. So, what makes you think that you can go interview a suspect and turn on the recorder whenever you feel like it?
- A. Okay. I explained -- you're referring to the rapport-building stage, what I described yesterday. The rapport-building stage is me going in, introducing myself --
  - O. You've said all that.
  - A. I have.

- Q. What does that have to do with turning on the video?
- A. I'm trying to explain it to you and that is that it's just me introducing myself to this person, letting them know why they're there. Sometimes offering them -- not sometimes. Offering them something to eat, drink, restroom break. Sometimes they can eat a meal and they'll be a last -- you know, as long as they want it to last, sometimes it lasts 30 minutes, sometimes longer than that. If -- I mean, there's really no point when I'm not talking about the case; so, it doesn't need to be recorded. I mean, we're going to have folks sitting here watching, you know, this person eat a cheeseburger. I just -- I don't do that.
- Q. Okay. That is not at all what I'm talking about and I bet you know it.
- A. No, I don't.
  - Q. When you make statements --

1 MS. FULLER: Objection, argumentative, Your 2 Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. 3 (BY MR. CORNELIUS) When you make statements to the 4 0. 5 person you're interviewing like, Relax, calm down, I'm here 6 to help you through this, you think a jury might want to 7 hear that and see that, see how that came down and see how 8 the person there took that statement? 9 Α. There was a correction to what you said. I did not 10 say, I'm going to help you. What I said was, I need you to 11 relax, calm down, I'm going to help you through this, 12 meaning the process itself. Isn't that what I just said? 13 Q. 14 I thought you said something different there. A . Relax, calm down, I'm going to help you through 15 0. 16 this. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Q. That's what you said yesterday that you said to 19 him. 20 Α. Okay. Yes. 21 0. Okay. 22 Α. Meaning the process. 23 What else did you say to him that isn't recorded? 0. 24 Α. That's it. All right. Let's just take for granted that that's 25 Q.

- it, that's all you said in that regard.
- A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Relax, calm down, I'm going to help you through this. Now, you know what you meant by that or at least you're telling the jury what you meant by that was I'm going to help you give your statement, right?
  - A. No.
  - Q. All right. Tell us what you meant by that.
- A. As I said yesterday, that he made it known he was scared. My response to that statement that he made, I'm scared, relax, calm down, I'm going to help you through this, we'll get through this, meaning the process of being interviewed itself and nothing more.
- Q. Okay. That's what it meant to you, right, when you said that statement?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Now, have you ever had conversations with people, especially drug people, and they just hear what they want to hear? They hear the part that they like and they don't hear the other part of the statement?
- 21 A. I can't say what they hear and what they don't 22 hear.
- 23 Q. Are you married?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Have you ever had that kind of conversation with

your wife? 1 2 MS. FULLER: Objection, that would call for speculation. 3 THE COURT: Or incrimination, one of the two. 4 5 (BY MR. CORNELIUS) I mean, are you telling the 6 jury that you've never had a conversation with people in 7 life -- you have a conversation -- you mean one thing; they 8 hear what they think they hear. 9 Α. Sure. 10 MS. FULLER: Objection to speculation. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) It's not what you meant. 11 0. MS. FULLER: He wouldn't know what other 12 people hear. 13 14 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer. Α. Sure. 15 16 0. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Okay. So, is it surprising to you when the defendant said, I thought you were going to 17 help me, man, that that's what he was referring to? 18 19 Yes, it did surprise me. A. 20 Q. Do you think that he thought, I'm going to help you confess to this murder, that that's what he thought? 21 22 Α. No. He didn't think that at all, did he? 23 0. 24 Α. No. 25 Okay. So, we'll get back to that in a minute. Q.

- What else was said in that rapport-building session that you didn't record?
  - A. Nothing.
  - Q. Nothing?

4

- A. Nothing.
- Q. Well, how long did it take? That statement would only take, what? 10 seconds? 15 seconds?
- 8 A. Just the time that I said, just going in there and doing what I did.
- 10 Q. Well, I think you said yesterday you talked to him
  11 for five to ten minutes?
- 12 A. Five to ten minutes.
- Q. Okay. We've got ten seconds of it. What's the other five to ten minutes?
- 15 A. Just going in there and making the introductions, 16 like I said, getting him to calm down.
- 17 Q. And by "rapport," you're trying to calm him down
  18 and make him trust you.
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You don't tell him you're fixing to lie your off (sic) to him, do you?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 O. You don't tell him that at all?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You don't tell him, look, I'm going to tell you all

- kind of lies to get you to adopt my theme. You don't tell
  him that, do you?
  - A. Well, that's not the intent, no.
  - Q. Now, you could have turned the recording equipment on at any time you were talking to him, right?
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And do you know other homicide investigators that do that? They record the entire interview from the minute they sit down with them until the time they leave?
- A. Maybe some of them do. It's probably, like, a 50/50 split.
  - Q. 50/50 split.
  - A. Yeah.
    - Q. Okay. I'm not talking about recording watching him eat a hamburger. I'm talking about recording when you're talking to them, okay? So, we don't have to worry about wasting time on watching somebody eat a hamburger or drink a Coke unless you're talking to them while they're doing that but my point is this jury could have seen every minute of your conversation with this defendant if you turned the projector on or the camera on, right?
      - A. Sure.
- 23 Q. But you chose not to do that?
  - A. For the reasons that I mentioned.
- 25 Q. You also did not have to tell him a single lie if

- you wanted to be truthful with him. You did not have to tell him a single lie, did you?
  - A. I did. In this case I did.
  - Q. You had to tell him a lie?
  - A. Well, again, we're going to the interrogation techniques and we're talking about the themes that I presented and I explained that.
    - Q. These are your interrogation techniques, right?
    - A. These are interrogation techniques that I have learned through the various schools that I've attended.
- 11 Q. And are you under the impression that the police 12 department requires you to lie to suspects?
- 13 A. It's not required.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Let me get back to my question then. You chose to lie to him; you didn't have to, right?
- 16 A. With the interrogation techniques that I use, yes.
  17 At some points.
- 18 Q. All right. How many lies do you think you told 19 him?
  - A. Maybe about two or three.
- Q. Two lies. Okay. Do you really believe that?

  Because that's a lie.
- 23 A. Okay. You asked me how many do I think and that's 24 what I recall.
- 25 Q. How many times do you think you told him it was an

- 1 accident?
- 2 A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. We're going to play it back and we can count them and see.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. How many times do you think you told him that he didn't do it intentionally?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Well, it was more than two on both of those, wasn't 10 it?
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. So, it's a lot more than two lies, right?
- A. You're asking me how many and not the number of times on one particular theme that I presented.
- 15 *Q*. Okay.
- 16 A. That's what I thought you meant.
- Q. Well, just the ones that the prosecutor brought out an hour ago were more than two.
- 19 A. Okay.

- Q. There's a lot more than two. Let's just go over them quickly. You said that you knew for a fact that she didn't want to have sex and you don't know if that's true or not. That was a lie.
  - A. True. That's correct.
- 25 Q. You said that it was probably an accident, right?

1 A. Yes. 2 That was a lie. You said maybe it got a little 0. rough. You didn't believe that, either. That was a lie. 3 And you repeated that several times, didn't you? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. You told him you didn't think he did anything 7 intentionally and you repeated that many times, didn't you? Yes, I did. 8 A. 9 Q. That was part of your theme. 10 Α. Yes. That's a lot of lies so far, right? Lot more than 11 Q. 12 two? I don't know what's lies and what's not. These are 13 Α. 14 themes that I'm presenting --15 0. You know what? That's very strange because you 16 indicated to this jury you're a genius on lying. You know everything about when people are lying or not. You're an 17 18 expert on that. 19 MS. FULLER: Objection, Your Honor. 20 Argumentative. 2.1 THE COURT: Sustained. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Aren't you? You're an expert 22 0. 23 when people are lying, right?

I've never claimed to be an expert.

You can tell how they move their body whether

24

25

Α.

Q.

- 1 they're lying or not, right?
- 2 A. No. What I said was this is an investigator
- 3 technique that I use.
  - Q. We heard that.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Let's talk about the lies for a minute. How many
- 7 lies are we up to so far, do you think?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Doesn't make you a killer. You said that to him,
- 10 right?

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That was a lie, right?
- 13 A. I don't know what it is at that point.
- 14 Q. You were lying when you said that, though.
- 15 A. No, I was not.
- 16 Q. Okay. Don't think you're a bad guy. Let's make
- 17 | this clear. I don't think you're a bad guy. Okay? You
- 18 said it twice. Right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is that a lie or true?
- 21 A. That's true. Well, you're kind of crossing over.
- 22 | Did I say that two times? Yes, that is true. Are you
- 23 asking did I believe that?
- 24 *Q.* Yes.
- 25 A. Once I learned what I learned from the

- 1 investigation, no, I did not think he was a good guy.
  - Q. So, it was a lie, right?
- A. Yes.

2

- Q. Then you went back into, It wasn't intentional, trying to get him to adopt your theme again, right?
  - A. One more time on that question?
- Q. You went back into saying it wasn't intentional.

  You don't think he's a bad guy because what happened wasn't intentional.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. You said that, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that was a lie, right?
- A. Well, I don't know what's intentional or what's not at this point. We're still going through the process.
- 16 Q. Well, you know what, it's a pretty easy question.
- Were you telling the truth when you said that or not?
- A. I'm not clear on what it is you're asking. When
  I'm presenting the theme to them, do I think this is the
  truth or not? I'm presenting these themes to see what he
  admits to. So --
- 22 Q. She asked you this very question.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. And you said -- you admitted it was a lie.
- 25 A. Okay. I --

- Q. When you said you didn't think it was intentional, that was a lie. You've already told the jury that.
  - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

16

24

- Q. So, why are you hedging now?
- A. Well, I'm not clear what it is you're asking. Your questions are kind of jumbled.
  - $\mathcal{Q}$ . You say you have evidence that disputes what Ruben says and you can prove he had his hand around the neck.
- 9 That was a lie, right?
- 10 A. That was a lie.
  - Q. You suggested that it just got out of hand, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You were just -- it was a lie. You didn't think
  that. You were just trying to get him to adopt your theme,
  right?
  - A. I don't know what it is at that point.
- 17 O. You don't know what what is?
- A. Exactly what's going on with this case. I'm
  waiting to see, you know, where he goes with it. Do I
  believe it or not? I don't necessarily have to believe what
  it is, the theme that I'm putting in there. I explained
  that, you know, I'm putting these themes out there and -- to
  see what he does with them.
  - Q. Okay. Well, let's look at that when we see the video again.

1 A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Who is it that introduced into the conversation -we'll see this on the video -- that Mr. Juarez put his hand on her neck?
  - A. I did.
  - Q. Okay. And then he adopted it, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. Almost immediately he adopted it.
  - A. I don't think it was immediately.
  - Q. You were talking so fast there, telling him it was an accident, that it had gotten out of hand, that some like it rough and blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, and you got him to say he put his hand on her neck.
    - A. I didn't get him to say that. He admitted to that.
    - Q. Okay. Once Mr. Juarez understands what he's agreed to you that you've suggested to him, he says to you, You tricked me, didn't he?
      - A. Yes, he did.
      - O. And you did, didn't you?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Yeah, you did.
- A. He admitted to what he did. Whether -- I mean, I

  can't say what the individual -- we discussed this, too,

  that the person, when they go into this interview with their

  game face on, their intentions are not to admit to anything.

- So, after they admit to whatever it is they've done, then I guess perhaps they may feel that they've been tricked into it when in reality they admitted to what they've done.
- Q. He told you about 20 times he didn't kill her. He says even after he put his hands on her throat that he didn't really put his hands on her throat.
  - A. No, he didn't say that.
- Q. And he said, You tricked me, right? You tricked me?
- A. He said, You tricked me.
- Q. What did he say? You tricked me into saying something I didn't do. Is that what he said?
  - A. I don't remember exact verbiage on that.
- Q. We'll see it again.
- 15 A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Then he got ticked and he got up and he said, You said you were going to help me. You sat down with him in that room and built rapport and acted like you were going to be his friend and you were going to help him, right?
- A. I sat down there and built rapport, not acting like his friend. And the video speaks for itself.
  - Q. Well, we don't have a video of that, sir.
  - A. The video of the interview itself.
- Q. We don't have a video of the rapport. We don't know how you acted on the rapport.

```
I'm referring --
 1
         A.
 2
                    MS. FULLER: Objection to argumentative, Your
 3
      Honor, and sidebar.
                    THE COURT: All right. Sustained. Ask the
 4
 5
      question. Let's answer it.
 6
                    MR. CORNELIUS: I'd like to play the video
 7
      over.
 8
                    THE COURT: Go right ahead. Mr. Cornelius, do
 9
      you need to stop and start it or are we going to run it
10
      straight through?
11
                    MR. CORNELIUS: No, we're going to run it
12
      straight through.
                    THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure you
13
14
      didn't need to work that out, stopping and starting it.
15
                    MR. CORNELIUS: Okay.
                    (State's Exhibit No. 98, the videotaped
16
17
                    statement of the defendant, was played.)
                    THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius, before you start,
18
19
      let's go ahead and break for lunch.
20
                    MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, ma'am.
21
                    THE COURT: Since it's 12:30. Ladies and
22
      gentlemen, if y'all will step in the jury room, the bailiff
23
      will be with you in just a few moments to take you to lunch.
24
                    (Jury not present.)
25
                    (Lunch recess.)
```

1 (Jury present.) 2 THE COURT: We're waiting on Sergeant He ran outside very quickly. 3 Cisneros. (Brief pause.) 4 5 THE COURT: Sergeant, right back up here. 6 Sorry about that. The bailiff didn't realize I let you run 7 outside. 8 THE WITNESS: That's okay. 9 THE BAILIFF: Blame the bailiff. THE COURT: He's too good. That's right. 10 11 All right. And Mr. Cornelius, you may 12 proceed. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Sergeant Cisneros, before we 13 0. 14 broke for lunch, you had told the jury that you thought you 15 told the defendant when you interviewed him two lies. 16 that you watched the video, how many lies do you think you told him? 17 I don't know. 18 Α. 19 Pretty hard to count them, wouldn't it be? 0. What's that? 20 Α. It would be pretty hard to count them, wouldn't it? 21 0. 22 Α. Right. Just to clarify when you asked how many, I 23 actually took -- misinterpreted that and was counting each 24 individually as an -- not in one particular lie how many 25 times but I didn't know you were asking the total number.

- Q. Okay. Well, maybe the question was confusing. Yesterday you told the jury that during the rapport part of your interview you told the defendant what you wanted to talk to him about.
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And I noticed in your report you were even more specific and said that you told him that you were investigating the death of Linda Hartsough, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, when he's sitting in that room, he knows that's what you're going to come talk to him about.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, how did you phrase that since we don't have a video of what you actually said? How did you phrase that to him?
- A. I don't remember my exact words but I'm here -when I told him what he was going to be investigated -well, talked to about, rather. Said this is in regards to
  Linda Hartsough.
- Q. Okay. Even that and what you've already talked about wouldn't take five to ten minutes. So, what else did you say to him?
- A. Well, I took that time to, like I said, try and make attempts to bring down his anxiety level.
  - Q. Okay. At the end of the -- right when you turned

- off the tape, remember when you turned off the tape?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. The last thing that we could hear was the defendant saying, You said you were going to help me and he said about three words after that and then it was turned off. Did he say, If I said, and then it was turned off?
  - A. I don't know what he said.
  - Q. You didn't tell him what to say, though, did you?
  - A. No, I did not.
- 10 Q. You just suggested everything you wanted him to 11 say, right?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Well, the word "convulsing," for example, that was your word, right? He never used the word "convulsing"?
    - A. No, I believe he did.
    - Q. Okay. All right. I guess I didn't know for sure because I couldn't understand what all he was saying. But convulsing could mean a lot of different things. I mean, you think everybody knows exactly what convulsing is?
      - A. That's a hard question to answer. I'm not --
    - Q. When you use the word "convulsing," are you using it in a medical standpoint or just your common understanding of what that might mean?
      - A. My common understanding.

- 1 Q. Kicking legs, something like that?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Shaking?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Well, let's go through some of the things that you introduced. You're the one who introduced that this was probably an accident, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You introduced this may have just gotten a little 10 rough?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You've introduced there was nothing done on purpose.
- 14 A. I don't believe I said nothing done on purpose.
- 15 Q. Okay. Well, it's on the tape.
- 16 A. Okay.
- Q. If the jury wants to hear it again, they can play it back.
- 19 A. Okay. That could be.
- 20 Q. He never said that, though, right?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. He never said I did something but it wasn't on purpose?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. Okay. And again, you suggested maybe it was just

1 rough, correct? 2 Α. Yes. You suggested that his hand was on her neck, right? 3 0. Yes. 4 Α. 5 You suggested that he got out of hand, correct? Q. 6 Α. Yes. 7 You suggested he was not a killer or that wouldn't 0. make him a killer, right? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 You suggested again that it got out of hand? 0. 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. Correct? You suggested that he wasn't a bad guy. 13 Several times you suggested that. 14 A. Yes. 15 And wanted to be very clear on that, didn't you? 0. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Again, you suggested it got out of hand, correct? 0. 18 Α. Yes. 19 You suggested it was not intentional, correct? 0. 20 Α. Yes. 21 And you suggested several times that you had 0. 22 evidence, which I'll come back to that, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. You suggested he didn't mean to do it, right? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Again, you talked about your evidence. Then you, again, said or suggested to him he had his hand on her neck, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. You suggested that maybe that some women like it rough, right?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. You suggested again that he had a hand on the neck.
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then he said, Well, just a little. Remember 11 that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And then you started talking about your evidence again, what strong evidence you have to prove that's not true, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
  - Q. And then you suggested that it just got a little crazy?
    - A. Actually that was him that said that, not I.
    - Q. Okay. Well, maybe you're right. You're the one that said he's not being believable. He needs to say it the way you're suggesting; that would be believable, but what he was saying was not believable. You said that, right?
- A. Not in those words.
  - Q. You said it's not believable what he's saying,

1 right?

5

7

8

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, you don't have any other evidence, do you?
- 4 A. No.
  - Q. There is no other evidence in this case?
- 6 A. No.
  - Q. So, whatever you told him about what all evidence that you've got really doesn't exist.
    - A. That's correct.
- Q. There's no witnesses, there are no fingerprints,
  there's not a knife, not a gun, not a rope, there's no DNA
  of any kind of anybody, not the victim's DNA or Mr. Juarez's
  DNA, right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 Q. And you're thinking that the identification in this 16 case or you're told is by dental records, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Not by DNA.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, did you hear him clearly say on the tape the second time that you heard it -- I don't know, you probably heard it many times, haven't you?
- 23 A. Not the -- about three.
- Q. You tricked me into saying something I didn't do.
- 25 Those were his words, right?

1 A. Yes. 2 Did you have him take you, physically take you or show you where this occurred? 3 Α. No. 4 5 0. Okay. And I didn't see you show him any -- any 6 photographs of the area where this allegedly occurred, 7 correct? 8 Α. That's correct. 9 Q. So, he didn't identify any photographs. 10 Α. That's right. 11 Also didn't hear anything about a homeless camp. 12 He didn't say anything about a homeless camp and you didn't say anything about a homeless camp, right? 13 14 Α. That's correct. And how did he describe the area? Bushes? 15 0. 16 A. An area by the feeder is what I remember. Okay. Because there's a lot of overgrown area in 17 0. that -- out there in that area, general area, isn't there? 18 19 Actually there's not. I recall just that corner A. area. A lot of it is --20 2.1 Well, just --0. 22 Α. A lot of it is neighborhood. 23 Just in that one corner, though, there's a lot 24 of -- it's a pretty large, overgrown area, right?

25

It is.

Α.

1 0. And you're saying there's no other wooded area or 2 overgrown area at all other than the one we've seen on the 3 photographs? No, not saying that. 4 Α. 5 Because I think we can see more on the photographs. 0. 6 Α. Right. Just in that immediate area along the 7 feeder I guess is what I'm referring to. 8 0. So, you really never had the defendant or gave him 9 an opportunity to tell you really where this happened, 10 right? 11 Α. Right. 12 MR. CORNELIUS: I'll pass the witness. THE COURT: Ms. Fuller? 13 14 MS. FULLER: One moment, please, Your Honor. Nothing further, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: May this witness be excused on call? 17 18 MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 MS. FULLER: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Sergeant. You are free to go. Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else 21 22 because the Rule has been invoked. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 24 THE COURT: And please call your next witness. 25 MS. FULLER: State calls Dr. Stimson.