```
excused?
 1
                     MS. JOHNSON: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
                     MR. DAVIS: He may be excused for all
 3
     purposes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
 6
                     Then you're free to go if you wish.
 7
                     THE WITNESS:
                                   Thank you.
 8
                     THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
 9
                     MS. REYNA: State calls Dr. Sarah
10
     Doyle.
11
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
                     THE BAILIFF: Judge, this witness has
12
     not been worn.
13
14
                     THE COURT: Hello, Dr. Doyle. If you
     would walk around to the witness stand. And if you
15
16
     will look at the jury and raise your right hand, I
     will give you the oath.
17
18
                     (Witness Duly Sworn)
19
                     THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
20
     seated.
2.1
                          SARAH DOYLE,
22
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
23
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
         Q.
                (BY MS. REYNA) Good afternoon, Doctor.
     Could you please introduce yourself to our jury?
25
```

- A. Yes, ma'am. I'm Dr. Sarah Doyle.
- Q. And how are you employed?

- A. I'm an assistant medical examiner for the Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences.
- Q. And what kind of educational background do you have that qualifies you to be an assistant medical examiner?
- A. Well, I'm a medical doctor, and to get that degree, I received my undergraduate degree in cell biology from Tulane University in New Orleans. Then I received my M.D., or Medical Doctorate, from LSU Medical School, also in New Orleans. I completed a residency training program, which was five years of residency, in pathology at the Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City. And then I did a subspecialty year in forensic pathology training in the New York City Office of the Chief Medical Examiner.

I also studied for and passed the American Board of Pathology Examination, no specialties that I went I'm certified in anatomical clinical and forensic pathology.

- Q. And how long have you been an assistant medical examiner here in Harris County, Texas?
- A. My 10-year anniversary will be this July.

  Almost 10 years.

And did you spend any time doing the duties 1 Q. of a medical examiner anywhere else before Harris 2 County? 3 Yes. After my training in New York, I 4 A. 5 spent one year as city medical examiner there, along 6 with doing a subspecialty fellowship in forensic 7 neuropathology. 8 Q. Can you tell the jury what forensic pathology is? 9 Forensic pathology is the branch of 10 11 pathology that details with determining how someone 12 died, their cause and manner of death. And it 13 involves performing autopsies on a dead person's body 14 to try and determine how they died. 15 So, in your capacity as an assistant Q. 16 medical examiner here in Harris County, do you perform autopsies? 17 18 A. Yes. 19 In your career, approximately how many Q. 20 autopsies have you performed? 2.1 It's somewhere between 2700 and 3000 now. A. And have you testified in court before, 22 0. 23 including courts here in Harris County, as an expert in forensic pathology?

24

25

Α.

Yes.

- And has it been on few or many occasions? 1 Q. 2 A . Many. Can you tell the jury what an autopsy is? 3 0. An autopsy is the examination of a dead 4 A. 5 person's body where you look at their body surfaces 6 to see if they have any evidence of disease or 7 injury, and it also includes an internal examination 8 in which incisions are made on the person's body, and
  - Q. And when a case is brought into the Harris County morgue, is that body or the case assigned to -- the body assigned a unique case number?

organs are examined to see if they have any injuries

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And on October 2, 2012, did you have occasion to conduct an autopsy on the body of a Michelle Leigh Warner?
  - A. Yes.

or disease.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And what autopsy -- unique autopsy case number was assigned to that body?
  - A. It was assigned Case No. 0C12-019.
  - Q. How was the complainant's body identified?
- A. Her body was identified by comparison of her fingerprints that we took of the body at the morgue, which was compared to known fingerprints of

1 Michelle Warner. And when you received the body, was she in 2 such a state that she would be identified by a family 3 member by looking at a picture of her? 4 5  $\boldsymbol{A}$  . No. 6 Q. So, she had to be identified by 7 fingerprints? There are other ways of identification if 8 Α. fingerprints fail, but she couldn't be visually 9 10 identified. 11 Okay. And among your duties as an assistant medical examiner, are you also a custodian 12 of records? 13 14 A . Yes. 15 And as a records custodian, do you have Q. 16 care, custody, and control of autopsy records there? 17 Α. Yes. And are those records made and kept in the 18 0. regular course of business of the Harris County 19 Institute of Forensic Sciences? 20 2.1 A. Yes. 22 And are these records made by someone who 23 has personal knowledge of what goes into those 24 records?

2.5

Α.

Yes.

Doctor, I want to show you what I have 1 Q. marked as State's Exhibit No. 193. Ask you to look 2 at that. 3 (Witness complies.) 4 A. 5 Is that a complete copy of the autopsy 0. 6 report that was generated in this case? 7 A. Yes. And it also includes copies of the 8 toxicology report and an anthropology consultation 9 report which was not prepared by me. And were those reports -- those 10 11 investigations ones that you ordered? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And where is the original autopsy report? 14 A . It's right here. I brought it with me. 15 Okay. And this is a fair and accurate copy Q. 16 of the original? 17 A. Yes. 18 MS. REYNA: Your Honor -- Your Honor, at this time State offers State's Exhibit 193, after 19 20 tendering to Defense counsel. 2.1 MR. DAVIS: May we approach, Your 22 Honor? 23 THE COURT: You may. 24 (At the Bench) 25 MR. DAVIS: Judge, I object to the

report because her opinions were made based on --1 opinions contained in the report are based on 2 information from other witnesses who have not -- at 3 least the defendant doesn't have the opportunity to 4 5 cross-examine. THE REPORTER: 6 I'm sorry? 7 MR. DAVIS: Conclusion and findings 8 are based on findings that she got from the anthropologist and, of course, toxicologist and --9 but the anthropologist, in particular, who is --10 11 piece of the report is here, and so you understand, 12 that Mendez/Diaz case, we object to it as containing hearsay, as well as containing or consisting of a 13 confrontation clause violation. The defendant 14 15 doesn't have the right to confront those people who 16 are here, who are part of the reason she reached a 17 conclusion. I argue the whole report is not admissible because the conclusions are, in large 18 19 part, based on those people's opinions. 20 THE COURT: Response? 2.1 Judge -- Judge we will be MS. REYNA: 22 calling the forensic anthropologist next after Dr. Doyle. So, they will have an opportunity to 23 24 cross-examine him.

MR. DAVIS: I think the issue might be

```
an issue of order. Judge, I think if the
1
     anthropologist is called first, I don't have any
 2
     objection. But I think with them not being called
 3
     first and her called, she is testifying to things
 4
 5
     that would ultimately be hearsay and things that
     would be confrontation clause violations. I think
 6
     it's a matter of order. If they call the
 7
8
     anthropologist first, I wouldn't have any objection
     and withdraw my objection.
 9
                     THE COURT: Well, I don't see any harm
10
11
     if the anthropologist is here and available for
12
     cross.
             I would stop and really look at that
13
     objection carefully; but since the anthropologist is
14
     here, I'm going to overrule it. So, that's admitted.
15
                    MR. DAVIS:
                                 Okay.
16
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
                     (End of Bench Discussion)
17
                                 I believe that was Exhibit
18
                     THE COURT:
     193?
19
20
                    MS. REYNA:
                                 Yes, ma'am.
2.1
                     THE COURT: Admitted.
22
         0.
                (BY MS. REYNA) Doctor I'm going to show you
23
     what's been marked as State's Exhibits No. 194
24
     through No. 211, and ask you to take a look at those.
25
                (Witness complies.)
         A .
```

Are you familiar with the items depicted in 1 Q. these photographs? 2 3 A. Yes. And were they taken during the course of 4 Q. 5 the autopsy at the Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences? 6 7 They were taken -- some were taken during A . 8 the course of the trace evidence collection portion, 9 and some were taken during the course of the autopsy. 10 And would they assist the jury in 11 understanding your testimony as to the cause of death of Michelle Warner? 12 13 A . Yes. 14 MS. REYNA: Your Honor, at this time 15 the State would offer State's Exhibits 194 through 16 211 into evidence, after tendering to Defense 17 counsel. 18 MR. DAVIS: May we approach, Your 19 Honor? 20 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 2.1 (At the Bench) 22 THE COURT: Should I go ahead and 23 take -- should I send the jury out? 24 MR. DAVIS: That might be a good idea. 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

(End of Bench Discussion)
THE COURT: We're going to ask the
jury to step back to the jury room, please, for just
a moment.
(Jury released)
(Sidebar discussion outside hearing of
the Court Reporter)
THE COURT: Thank you. Please have a
seat.
Since the jury is out, you want to
just make your objection?
MR. DAVIS: Yes, Judge. I think
Ms. Reyna told me that these are the only autopsy
photos that she is planning on introducing and. And
I already pulled them out. The ones that I would
have objections to are 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, and
204.
MS. REYNA: To be clear, we will do
the forensic anthropologist as to the bones.
MR. DAVIS: Probably won't have
objections to those.
THE COURT: Sorry. There will be
other photos coming in with the next witness?
MS. REYNA: With the forensic
anthropologist.

MR. DAVIS: I think those are the 1 pictures of the bones, to show the breaking of the 2 bone structures. 3 MS. REYNA: Yes, ma'am. 4 5 (Brief pause) THE COURT: And your objection to 6 7 those exhibits? 8 MR. DAVIS: Similar to the objections, Judge, that I think that obviously in terms of 9 showing the mechanism of injuries, those pictures 10 11 don't show the mechanism of injury or cause of death. 12 They are pictures of a decomposing body that is 13 covered with maggots -- at least those pictures I 14 picked out, and don't have anything to do with the mechanism or manner of death. 15 16 I think the jury has already seen 17 pictures associated with the recovery of her body 18 that have some maggot activity and showed that the 19 body was in a field decomposing. These photos are photos that don't depict the state in which the body 20 was left, first of all. 21 22 And second, they depict the body not at the time of the offense but at the time of 23 recovery and aren't relevant to the explanation in 24 25 regards to the autopsy as to the manner and mechanism

## Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Direct Examination by Ms. Reyna

of death, cause of death. So, I would argue that the probative value is low of those photos.

Now, the photos are gruesome, Judge. They are photos of a body that's in terrible state, covered with maggots; and we run the risk of showing the photos to the jury that they go to a place of anger and make a decision not based on facts but -- based on the law, but based on pure emotions, Your Honor.

And I argue that the danger of prejudice substantially outweighs any probative value that these pictures might have. And I look to the case of State Vs. Reece, which was a case where the Court found that in a situation where it was one that was different than the circumstances of what the defendant had done to the body. Decomposition is something the body -- that wasn't the state of the body at the time the defendant left it.

If the probative value was low, the Court found reversible error in that type of situation where it was activities and circumstances that affected the body after the defendant's contact. And here, nature and elements, water and rain have all affected the decomposition of the body.

Previous witness, Sherry Rice,

testified that the decomposition may have been accelerated by the fact that the body may have been in water, which are all things that are part -- apart from the defendant's leaving the body.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The State's response?

MS. REYNA: Judge, the photographs are relevant. First of all, as to the trace evidence collection, how they received the body, the trace evidence that was collected as to the head, the feet, how it was received.

Also, a lot of those pictures of the mouth, for instance, they show petechiae that

Dr. Doyle observed, which are completely relevant as to the cause of death of strangulation. That's one of the many factors she used to determine what the cause of death were, to look at some of the others.

But ones that -- just overall body shot, Judge, which is relevant to identifying the body.

And we have picked, Judge, only seven body shots out of over 100 photographs that we chose, the ones that were less graphic. And because of all that, we do not believe that the probative value is outweighed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

## Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Direct Examination by Ms. Reyna

MR. DAVIS: Judge, 199 is a shot of 1 the body that's a body covered with maggots; and it 2 can't be for purposes of identifying. 3 She was identified by photographs. The testimony has been 4 5 that that body could not have been identified. 6 shot has no relevance. There have been other photos 7 that have been shown that showed a bag on the head, 8 that showed the body with the tape on it, that sort of corroborates things that Mr. Castellano said. 9 10 So, this photo would be cumulative. 11 In addition, because there are other photos that 12 depict the same thing except it is not laying on a 13 gurney like that. That photo shows it laying on a 14 gurney and laying on a gurney in a state different than it was when the defendant left the body where it 15 16 was. This photograph --17 THE COURT: Hold on just a moment. 18 Are there other photos that you're asking to be 19 admitted that you see are similar? 20 MR. DAVIS: Some that had been admitted similar in terms of? 21 22 THE COURT: At the field? 23 Field, yes, ma'am. MR. DAVIS: 24 THE COURT: Okay. Show the same information. 25 MR. DAVIS:

## Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Direct Examination by Ms. Reyna

```
THE COURT:
                                 Thank you. I beg your
 1
     pardon. I interrupted you. Did you finish?
 2
 3
                    MR. DAVIS: You're okay. I was
     finished.
                Thank you.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. Any other
 6
     comments?
 7
                    MS. REYNA: No, Judge. These are all
 8
     pictures we're going though. There will be no
     redundant photos.
 9
10
                    THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
11
                                I will provide to the
                    MS. REYNA:
12
     Court.
13
                    THE COURT:
                                 Thank you. Your objection
14
     is overruled. 194 through 211 are admitted. Thank
     you. Then we're ready for the jury.
15
16
                    MR. DAVIS: Yes, ma'am.
                    (End of Bench Discussion)
17
18
                    THE BAILIFF: Ready, Judge?
19
                    THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
20
                    (Jury enters the courtroom)
21
                    THE COURT: Thank you. Please be
22
     seated.
23
                    Excuse me just a moment.
24
                    For the jury, Exhibits 194 through 211
25
     have been admitted.
```

- Q. (BY MS. REYNA) Dr. Doyle, I want to talk about how the body was received at the morgue. Can you tell the jury what's shown in State's Exhibit No. 194?
- A. That is a photograph of a body bag and the case number assigned to Michelle Warner's remains is on the front of the bag, OC12-019 and it is a closed body bag prior to us examining her body and doing any type of evidence collection.
- Q. State's Exhibit No. 195, tell the jury what this is.
- A. That was an additional bag that was with the paper bag that was with the red body bag that also contained parts of the skin that was labeled with the case number, as well.
- Q. And also reads: "Signed by Sherry Rice
  with the FBI," correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What is depicted in State's 196?
- A. That is the seal of the bag as well as the case number of the bag that we actually opened up to start the examination and the trace evidence checks.
- Q. And, again, Harris County case number is also written on that bag, correct?
- A. Yes.

197 that was a tag included with the bag, 1 Q. 2 correct? Yes. And the presumptive name of who we 3 A. thought the remains belonged to prior to the 4 5 identification process. 6 Q. 198 is just another shot of that body bag, 7 correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 When a body comes in like that in Q. Okay. 10 the Harris County morgue, what is the first thing or 11 the first step that's taken? 12 A. The first step that's taken is a set of 13 photographs will be done after the body bag is opened 14 to document the condition of the body exactly as we received it. 15 16 Showing you State's Exhibit No. 199. 17 is depicted in this photograph? 18 A. That is the -- a photograph from the first 19 set of photos; and it includes our case 20 number, 120019, with the out of county case board 21 and --22 Q. At the top? 23 Yes. And just the condition of Michelle's A . body as we received it with her clothing still

partially on -- or on and the plastic bag over her

24

1 head.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And where ankles also appeared to be --
- A. Right. There was tape around the ankles.
- Q. And that's shown in State's Exhibit 200?
- A. Yes. That's a photograph of the tape around the ankles and the trace evidence technician performing some swabs on the tape.
- Q. And tell us about the trace evidence process.
- A. It's a process in which a specially trained DNA trace evidence technician, along with the pathologist who is supervising the process, looks at the body surfaces and decides and swabs areas that they feel may be most likely to yield DNA from a perpetrator as well as that can collect particles also that they may feel are relevant.
- Q. And State's 201?
- A. And that's a photograph of the bag that was over Michelle Warner's head, just the tie of the -and the tie of the bag.
- Q. Okay. So, after the trace evidence collection team comes in, what do y'all do next with the body?
- A. In this particular case, the body would have X-rays performed to look for any types of

foreign objects such as bullets that might be in the 1 body. 2 And were any foreign objects found during 3 0. X-rays of Michelle Warner's body? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Q. Okay. What was done after the X-rays? 7 Then we continue to take photographs and A . 8 there are more sets of photographs that are taken and the clothing and other material on the body surfaces 9 is removed so that we can examine the body. 10 11 And let me ask you this: Were you 12 performing this autopsy by yourself? 13 A . No. I was training a fellow pathology fellow at the time who performed it with me. 14 15 Q. Okay. So, you performed it together? 16 A. Yes. 17 And did you personally observe every part Q. 18 of the autopsy? 19 Yes. A. 20 Q. And were you supervising and giving 21 instructions to the fellow? 22 A . Yes. 23 And was -- is the body washed off in any Q.

It is, after the evidence collection

24

25

way during the process?

A.

1 portion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Showing you State's Exhibit 202. What is Q. depicted in this photograph?
- That is a photograph of Michelle Warner's face after the bag had been removed and the body cleaned as best that it could be.
- Okay. And we have got the unique case Q. numbers written -- or shown here, as well, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Now, did she have -- was she bald like that 0. when she was received at the morgue?
- A. No. Part of -- part of the decomposition process is that the hair and skin can easily fall and slip from the body; and so, during the process of removing the bag and the clothing, that occurred.
- Okay. Was there hair that was recovered and set aside during the process?
  - A. Yes.
- And, obviously, does it appear to have some insect activity, as well?
- A. Yes.
- And did you remove the bag and the tape 23 that was found on the victim's body when she was 24 brought in?
  - A. Yes. The fellow and I did it together.

Q. And did you know how that bag was placed on the complainant's head?

- A. Yes. It was placed over her head, and the ties were looped. The -- sorry. Corners of the bag were looped across the back of the neck and drawstring was tied at the front of the neck and the bag was against the skin but didn't indent the skin. So, it was not tightly compressing the neck.
- Q. Okay. And did you find anything inside of the bag?
- A. There was a small amount of some decomposition fluid inside the bag.
- Q. And tell us about the general condition of the complainant's body overall, as far as decomposition.
- A. In general, as we saw on the photograph of Michelle Warner's face, her skin was discolored, dark gray to green to brown in some areas and had irregular decomposition over the surfaces that was more advanced in the area not covered by the plastic bags. So, the plastic bag preserved her -- the skin of her face and upper neck a little more so than that over the rest of her body surfaces.
- Q. And what state of decomposition was she in?
  How would you describe it?

- A. We referred to her as -- referred to the state of decomposition as being moderate, I believe. It's moderate to marked.
- Q. And is there any way to tell, based on decomposition like this, how long someone has been dead?
  - A. No, there isn't.
- Q. Okay. So, let's talk about the next steps you take in examining Michelle Warner's body.
- A. Well, the body surfaces -- we look at all the body surfaces and photograph any areas that we think may be significant and also make diagrams regarding those areas. And then the internal examination is performed where incisions are made.
- Q. Okay. So, let's talk about evidence of injury. Did you document any evidence of injury in your report?
  - $\mathbf{A}$ . Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And what was the first thing that you documented?
- A. The first thing that is described in the injury paragraph in the report is that there were petechiae on surfaces -- inner surfaces of her lips.
- Q. And can you explain to the jury what petechiae are?

Petechiae are small dotlike areas of 1 A . bleeding that can happen in the skin or in the 2 linings of the mucous membranes. And they can happen 3 in a number of situations, but they happen frequently 4 5 in people who die from asphyxia or lack of oxygen. 6 So, we see them in hangings, people who hang 7 themselves. We see them in cases where -- neck 8 compressions, say asphyxia due to neck compression 9 occurs. They can occur in other things, but we see 10 those. It's, excuse me, one of the clues that we may 11 need to look for injuries in a person's neck. 12 Q. So, by itself, if you see petechia, you 13 can't really say it's due to a particular cause of death, correct? 14 15 That's correct. A. 16 Q. So, you look at the total picture? 17 That's correct. A. 18 Q. Including evidence of injuries around the neck area? 19 20 A. Yes. 21 THE COURT: Excuse me. Do you need 22 some water? 23 THE WITNESS: That would be great. 24 THE COURT: We will get you some. 25 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

## Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Direct Examination by Ms. Reyna

THE COURT: Do you need a break just a 1 minute? 2 I think I'm okay. 3 THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Kind of like -- you know, 4 5 like being in church or something, when you try not 6 to cough, it just makes it worse. 7 Okay. Let me know if you need to 8 stop. 9 THE WITNESS: I think I'm okay. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 (BY MS. REYNA) And where did you mention 12 you found petechiae in this case? 13 A . On the inner surfaces, inner surfaces of 14 Michelle Warner's lips. 15 I'm showing you State's Exhibit No. 203. 16 Can you tell the jury what's depicted in this exhibit? 17 That's a picture of the inner surfaces of 18 A . 19 her lips, and you would need to zoom in to be able to 20 see the petechiae. Probably better seen on the photograph itself. Some of it is debris, but there 21 22 are small dotlike hemorrhages that are the more faint 23 little tiny dots that could be seen in the mucosa. Showing you State's Exhibit No. 204. 24 0. is this a photograph of? 25

- It's a photograph of the interface of the A . upper lip. The previous one was her lower lip.
- And did you note any petechiae in the upper 0. lip?
- It is difficult to see on the screen. Yes. Probably easier on the photograph itself. But there are some small little dotlike hemorrhages on the mucosa of her lips.
- Do petechiae present anywhere else in the Q. body?
- Yes, they can. A .
  - Q. Where else can they present?
- Most commonly in the linings of the eyes A . 14 and in the skin around the eyes.
  - Did you check the body of Michelle Warner Q. for petechiae in her eyes?
  - Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And tell me about that process.
- So, the eyelids are -- you basically look A. under the eyelids for petechiae. And in people that are decomposed, as in Michelle Warner's case, her -the linings of her eyes were discolored, dark red. So, I was not able to see any petechiae there. doesn't exclude that they may have been there.
  - So, there may be petechiae there; but the Q.

- decomposition, would that have prevented you from being able to make that determination concretely?
  - A. It can, yes.
  - Q. And in this case, did it?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Let's talk about, besides the petechiae in the mouth, what other evidence of injury did you document?
- A. There were fractures of the airway of Michelle Warner, two areas of fracture. One area of the fracture was in a part of the windpipe called the cricoid cartilage. It's a ring of cartilage that's just below the Adam's apple. And another area of fracture --
- Q. Let me stop you there. Let's talk about the fracture of the cricoid cartilage.
- How did you -- how did you begin to do the examination of that area?
- A. We made -- I made incisions on the base of the neck, and then I inspected the muscles in a layer-by-layer-wise fashion of the neck until her windpipe is exposed.
- Q. And are you actually able to extricate that part of the body that you're looking at from the body?

- A. Yes. We removed the larynx, and basically we removed the windpipe from the body in order to examine it.
- Q. Showing you State's Exhibit No. 210. Can you tell the jury what is shown in 210?
- A. Yes. The top part of the picture, I'm going to put my dot where a person's Adam's apple would normally be. It's approximately here. And then -- so, her windpipe is -- or trachea is the structure that you put in between the two lines. And then the cricoid cartilage is a ring of cartilage around the top. And there is a fracture -- I'm drawing an arrow to point to the fracture of the cricoid cartilage. It's a crack or a linear split in the cartilage on the left-hand side.
- Q. And you called that a complete vertical fracture, correct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And can you tell the jury -- explain to them what would happens if someone's cricoid cartilage is fractured like that? Just one single -- let's say one blow. Let me ask that. Can one blow to the neck actually cause that fracture?
- A. Yes, it could.
  - Q. Okay. And if someone was to receive a blow

- to the -- if someone was to receive a blow to the neck and receive that specific injury, what would result?
- A. In general, a person would still attempt to breathe through their injured airway and may have symptoms as if they were choking; but in a cricoid cartilage fracture, in and of itself, shouldn't cause a person to die.
- Q. So, in and of itself, this injury does not cause death?
- A. Mildly, if there is a lot of bleeding and swelling from it, it may, without medical attention, cause death.
- Q. So, it can cause someone to aspirate blood as the blood is flowing into -- would that be the trachea?
- **A.** Yes.

- Q. Okay. And was there evidence of that sort of aspiration here?
- **A.** No.
- Q. Would that cartilage fracturing like this possibly result in some sort of noise?
- **A.** Yes.
- Q. So, could you hear a pop or crack or something of that nature?

1 A . You could, yes. Or snap, as well? 2 Q. 3 A. Yes. Now, you talked about a second fracture. 4 Q. 5 Can you tell the jury where that fracture was? 6 A. That fracture is located in the hyoid bone, 7 and that's a bone that's actually high up in the neck 8 just beneath the jawbone. It's a U-shaped bone in the front of the neck. 9 Let me show a diagram of a neck. 10 11 included in State's Exhibit 193. It would help the 12 jury. And this is a diagram that's included in State's Exhibit 193, correct, Doctor? 13 14 A. Yes. So, on this diagram of the neck where she 15 Q. 16 is looking up, where would the hyoid bone be? 17 A. The hyoid bone would be there, just under 18 the jaw and above the Adam's apple. 19 Okay. Now, were you able to remove the Q. 20 hyoid bone from Michelle Warner's body? 2.1 A . Yes. 22 0. Showing you State's Exhibit No. 211. 23 you tell the jury what's depicted in that photo? 24 A. That's a photograph of the hyoid bone of

Michelle Warner.

And where would the fracture -- or where 1 0. was the fracture of the hyoid bone? 2 The fracture of the hyoid bone was on the 3  $\boldsymbol{A}$  . right side of the hyoid bone. 4 5 So, in this picture, hard to tell, but what side would that be? 6 7 A. Yeah. It would be here (indicating.) 8 Q. Okay. You can't actually see the fracture in this 9 A. 10 photograph because the soft tissue is still on the 11 hyoid bone. Doctor, I'm also going to show you some 12 Q. 13 more diagrams in the anthropology report included in State's Exhibit 193. What is depicted there? 14 15 That is a diagram of the hyoid bone. A. 16 0. Okay. So, on this diagram, where would the fracture have been? 17 The diagram indicates the fracture of the 18 A. 19 hyoid bone on the right side of the hyoid bone. 20 0. And could the -- would the fracture of the hyoid bone in and of itself, as seen in Michelle 21 22 Warner, would that cause someone's death? 23 A. Not necessarily. Okay. So, did you do any other examination 24 Q.

of the neck of Michelle Warner?

- A. All of the soft tissues of the neck are also examined during this process.
- Q. And did you examine the exterior of Michelle Warner's neck, as well?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Showing you State's Exhibit No. 205. What is State's 205?
- A. That's a photograph of the underneath of the chin of Michelle Warner.
- Q. And did you look for any skin contusions or any other sort of discoloration around the neck of Michelle Warner?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And why would you do that?
- 15 A. Just to see -- basically, to document any 16 injuries that were there.
- 17 Q. And were you able to document any injuries
  18 on the exterior of Michelle Warner's neck?
  - A. I couldn't document any definite injuries. There are areas that are more darkly discolored than other areas, which in some cases with decomposition may indicate that there was bruises there. But with the decomposition, you can't really say if there is bruises or not.
    - Q. Fair to stay because of the decomposition,

- you can't tell what's decomposition and what's bruising or injury?
  - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did -- and you said you examined other tissues in the interior of the neck. Did you report or document any other evidence of injury to those other tissues?
- A. It was similar to the skin surface. There were areas that were relatively darker, discolored in the internal muscles of the neck, which may indicate that there had been some hemorrhages there. However, due to the decomposition, I couldn't tell if it was solely represented to be decomposition or if there was also hemorrhage.
- Q. And did you examine the spinal cord or spinal column in the neck of Michelle Warner?
  - A. Yes. Both.
- Q. And what were the results of that examination?
- A. There were no injuries to the bones of her spine of her neck, and there were no injuries of her spinal cord.
  - **Q.** Okay.
- A. And so, her neck wasn't broken, per se.

  The spine of her neck was not broken. Her airway or

- windpipe was fractured, the cartilage area of the windpipe, as well as the hyoid bone.
  - Q. And a fracture and injury to the spinal cord actually could cause immediate death; is that correct?
  - A. Well, it could cause immediate incapacitation and usually death shortly thereafter, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And were there any other notations you made, as far as a layered examination of the throat?
    - A. Not that I know of.
  - Q. So, I want to talk to you about the defendant's statement in this case. Now, you met with Ms. Johnson and myself previous to your testimony here today, correct?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. And during that meeting, you observed a small portion of the defendant's confession in this case, correct?
- A. That's correct.
  - Q. And you observed a short demonstration between the defendant and an officer as to what he says happened during this event, correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.

And was that when you saw Sergeant Harris 1 0. on the table and the defendant standing over him? 2 I don't recall the sergeant's name, but 3 there was a police officer who was enacting to be 4 Michelle Warner. 5 6 Q. All right. And you heard him say that he 7 put pressure on the complainant's neck for a minute and a half to two minutes; is that correct? 8 I don't recall if he said a minute and a 9 Α. 10 half to two minutes or one to two minutes; but, yes, 11 approximately that. 12 Q. And based on watching that interview, is 13 that consistent with what you saw appear in this 14 autopsy? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. And what -- hold on. Let me back 17 up. 18 Did you form an opinion as to what the cause of death of Michelle Warner was? 19 20 A. Yes. 2.1 And what was that? Q. 22 A . Strangulation. 23 And how did you come to the determination Q. that it was strangulation? 24

That determination is based on the -- all

25

Α.

- of the information that I had from the autopsy as well as police investigative information; and that includes the findings of a young, otherwise healthy, person left out or buried in suspicious circumstances.
- Q. Let me stop you there. You talked with an officer to obtain this information?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And besides the circumstances of her being left in a field, what else did you use?
- A. That there aren't any gunshot wounds or any other obvious causes of death. She has fractures in her neck that indicate that her neck was compressed, and she had petechiae hemorrhages of her face. And the combination of those things in a healthy person leads to the diagnosis of strangulation.
- Q. Okay. So, there was nothing otherwise that you found in your examination that could have lead to Michelle Warner's death?
- **A.** No.
  - Q. And when we say strangulation, is that consistent with someone putting their hands around Michelle Warner's neck and squeezing?
- **A.** Yes.
  - Q. And when a person dies as a result of

strangulation, what is the actual mechanism of death?

- A. The most significant thing that occurs during strangulation that causes death is that the blood flow to the brain is occluded; and so, the brain is not getting enough oxygen mainly because of blood flow occlusion, which can also be attributed directly to the airway is occluded; but you can actually strangle someone without breaking anything in the airway, just by cutting off the blood supply to their brain.
- Q. And let me ask you this: Would the cricoid cartilage, in and of itself, without any sort of prolonged pressure, would that have caused a lack of blood or oxygen to the brain?
- A. It may have caused some impairment of getting air through the windpipe, but it wouldn't -- you know, it wouldn't impair the blood flow to the brain, just the fracture itself.
- Q. So, the fracture itself would not be sufficient to cause Michelle's death?
  - $\mathbf{A}$ . No.

2.1

Q. Now, if the fracture had occurred, let's say after a prolonged period of compression of the neck, say squeezing the complainant's neck for a minute and a half to two minutes and then the

- fracture occurs, could that have caused the complainant's death?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And what is important in that scenario, as far as the cause of the complainant's death?
- A. The fact -- the fact that she underwent compression of her neck that occluded the blood flow to her head.
- Q. Let me ask you this: Is a victim's tongue sticking out of their mouth, is that a possible indicator of strangulation?
- A. It's not necessarily an indicator of strangulation. We can sometimes see someone's tongue protruding from their mouth when the structures of their neck, like the hyoid bone that are attached to their tongue, are pushed upward. So, that can cause the tongue to protrude from the mouth. And it happens -- we see it in people who hang themselves or if pressure being applied to the neck in an upward direction, it can cause the tongue to come out.
- Q. So, if one is applying pressure upwards to the neck, that can cause the tongue to extrude from the mouth?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And that same sort of pressure, can

- that be -- could that be what caused a hyoid fracture such as the one we saw in Michelle Warner?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Doctor, I want to give you a scenario. If a person were to grab someone by the neck, throw them down on a bed and fall on them, hear a pop and let go without a prolonged period of compression, would that cause a loss of consciousness?
  - A. Unlikely.
- Q. Would that cause beyond that, somebody's death?
- A. Unlikely that it would occur immediately unless, like I said before, the fracture continued to bleed or swell; but then the person would still breath and try to pass air through their fractured airway.
- Q. Okay. Because that would cause the trachea to be completely obstructed, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So some air is getting through?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. And based on your examination of the
  complainant, you can't tell this jury how long
  Michelle Warner's neck was actually compressed, can
  you?

Objection to leading, Your 1 MR. DAVIS: 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. You may 4 answer. 5 No, I can't tell how long her neck was  $\boldsymbol{A}$  . 6 compressed based on the autopsy. 7 (BY MS. REYNA) Are there any studies to 0. show how long it would take to compress somebody's 8 neck before a loss of consciousness would occur? 9 There are experimental studies in which 10 11 healthy police volunteers are -- their vascular -- or 12 blood vessels in that neck are compressed using an 13 arm for -- called a carotic sleeper hold. And in 14 those people, they lost consciousness in about 10 to 15 15 seconds. But there aren't any experimental 16 studies -- obviously, you can't do those experiments in how long it takes to kill someone. 17 So, in the studies, it's 10 to 15 seconds 18 Q. 19 before the volunteers just lose consciousness? 20 Α. That's correct. 2.1 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take the afternoon recess until 3:30. 22 23 All rise for the jury. 24 May I see the lawyers before you get 25 away, please, at the bench?

1 A JUROR: Judge, can we walk around the courthouse? 2 3 THE COURT: Yes. (Jury released) 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. I just wanted 6 to add something. I just wanted to add something to 7 the record with regard to the last photos which were 8 admitted, the ones you objected probative and 9 prejudicial value because I failed, I think, to put on the record -- even though I went through the 10 11 mental process, that I did find that the probative 12 value outweighs the prejudicial value. I think I failed to actually make a finding even though we were 13 14 all discussing it. Thank you so much. Thank you. 15 (Recess taken) 16 THE BAILIFF: All rise for the jury. 17 (Jury enters the courtroom) THE COURT: Thank you. You may have a 18 19 seat. 20 You may begin. 21 Thank you, Judge. MS. REYNA: 22 0. (BY MS. REYNA) Okay. Doctor, back to the fracture of the cricoid cartilage of the neck. I 23 24 want to give you another hypothetical. If a person were to grab the complainant by the neck to try to 25

- subdue them and then fall into a bed with them,

  falling, putting pressure on the neck, and that

  caused a pop of the cricoid cartilage, fracturing,

  would that cause her immediate death?
  - A. Not if we're talking about, you know, a quick less than a second or two fall with -- without some sort of prolonged squeezing of the neck that cut off the blood flow.
  - Q. So, it would still require prolonged squeezing or compression of the neck to cause the death of the complainant?
    - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are the two neck fractures, the cricoid cartilage and hyoid fracture, are those consistent with forceful compression of the neck?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And are they consistent with someone putting their hands on the complainant's neck and squeezing?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And those, combined with petechiae you observed in the mouth of the complainant, did that -did that help determine the case of death here?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. I want to talk about just some housekeeping

1 matters on the pictures. 2 Showing you State's Exhibit 206. is shown in this exhibit? 3 That is the T-shirt that was worn by 4 5 Michelle Warner as she came to us. 6 Q. And State's 207? 7 And those are the pants that she was A . 8 wearing. State's Exhibit 208? 9 Q. That's a photograph of the duct tape after 10 A. 11 it had been removed from her ankles. And State's 209? 12 0. 13 A . And that's a photograph of the plastic bag that had been over her head. 14 15 I want to talk briefly about that plastic Q. 16 bag. You did not include that plastic bag as any sort of evidence as to the cause of death, did you? 17 18 A. I didn't include any sort of asphyxia from 19 the plastic bag over her head in the wording of the 20 cause of death, no. 2.1 Q. And why not? 22 A . I felt that she had the neck injuries that 23 indicated her neck was compressed, and I didn't have 24 any good indication that the bag had been placed on

her head when she was still alive. I thought it was

2.5

likely that it could have been placed after she died; and with the evidence that her neck had been compressed by the fractures in the airway and the petechiae, I thought it was more consistent with her being strangled than suffocation by a plastic bag.

- Q. And if someone placed a plastic bag over somebody's head and they are still alive and breathing, would there be some movement in that bag?
  - A. Yes. Usually, yes.

- Q. And looking at State's Exhibit No. 193, I want to talk about the date of death shown there.
  And what date of death does the report show?
- A. It shows the date of death, September 30, 2012.
  - Q. And is that the day we actually think
    Michelle Warner actually died?
  - A. No. No, it isn't. And it in -- in our -the way that we indicate date of death in our office,
    many times people are found dead and pronounced at
    the time they're found dead. And so, that is the
    date that we use for the date of death for legal
    purposes, both for the report and the death
    certificate. It doesn't mean that the person
    actually died on that date.
    - Q. Okay. And so, September 30, 2012 would be

- the day she was found in the oil field and pronounced 1 dead by someone at that scene? 2 3 Yes, that's correct. 4
  - And you mentioned cause of death was Q. strangulation. What is the manner of death?
    - The manner of death is homicide. A.
  - Now, as part of your autopsy, did you order 0. any toxicology tests?
    - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- And could you tell the jury what toxicology 10 0. 11 tests are?
  - Toxicology testing is laboratory chemical A. testing done on body tissues or blood or body fluids of -- in this case, a deceased person. You can do it on live people, too; but it's to look for any evidence of medications, drugs, or alcohol in their system.
    - Q. And you ordered one in this case, correct?
- 19 Yes. A.
- Q. And now, you don't actually do the testing yourself, do you? 21
- 22 A . No, I don't.
- 23 Okay. Now, what were the results of that Q. 24 testing?
- 25 A . That there was amphetamine detected in the

liver tissue of Michelle Warner as well as some 1 ethanol detected in the liver muscle and in the 2 spleen tissue. 3 Okay. I'm showing you on the overhead a 4 Q. portion of State's Exhibit No. 193 or a section of 5 it. What is that? 6 7 A. Sorry. Can you repeat that? Showing you a section of State's Exhibit 8 Q. No. 193. Could you tell the jury what that is? 9 That is a copy of the toxicology laboratory 10 A. 11 report with the results --12 Q. Okay. 13 A . -- of the testing. 14 0. Showing the amphetamine and specimen in the liver? 15 16 Α. Correct. And is that consistent with prescription 17 Q. Adderall? 18 19 A. Yes, it would be consistent with a 20 prescription or pharmaceutical form of amphetamine. 2.1 Were there any other drugs other than Q. 22 ethanal found in Michelle Warner's body? 23 None of the drugs tested were found. A. 24 Q. Now, when you received Michelle Warner's 25 body, was any blood still inside the body?

- No, there was no blood in the circulation A . anymore.
- Okay. So, you didn't actually have her 0. blood tested for drugs or alcohol, correct?
- That's correct. And that's just due to decomposition. It's usual that you can't recover actual blood from bodies that have started to decompose.
- Okay. And I want to focus on the ethanal Q. now because we have got three different results, correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Liver, muscle, and spleen. You're looking at a very wide from .07 to .22. And what is ethanol?
- Ethanol is the type of alcohol that's found in alcoholic beverages, but it also can be produced by the body during decomposition.
- Q. Okay. So, tell -- can you tell the jury how it's produced in the body during decomposition?
- Α. I don't know the actual chemical reaction, but it's -- the cell breakdown causes ethanal to be formed.
- 23 Okay. So, as a body decomposes, does the Q. 24 length of time it spends decomposing determine the ethanol that you may find?

- A. I don't know if there is a direct correlation, but it doesn't start forming right away. So, if a person dies and an hour later they're tested, they're not going to already have ethanol. It does take a time period to start forming ethanol.
- Q. Okay. So, if you find a decomposed body that's been left to decompose for six days, would you expect to find ethanol there?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Would you be surprised if there was no ethanol in the body?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, can we say what percentage -- or how much of that ethanol is due to decomposition and how much would be to alcohol having been consumed?
- A. No, I can't tell that. It could all be due to decomposition, but the ethanol that you get from decomposition is chemically the same as the type of ethanol in alcoholic beverages. So, there is no way to sort of know if a person had a drink and then also started to decomposing or had multiple drinks and was decomposing, but this level isn't unusual for decomposition.
- Q. So, no way to tell if this was actually alcohol from drinking and how much of it was in the

system at the time of death? 1 That's correct. Α. 2 Doctor, based on your education, training, 3 0. and experience as a medical doctor, can a person's 4 hands be considered a deadly weapon? 5 6 A. Yes. 7 And are they capable of causing death or 0. serious bodily injury? 8 9 A. Yes. Dr. Doyle, if someone places their hands 10 Q. 11 around someone's neck and squeezes, is that an act 12 clearly dangerous to human life? 13 MR. DAVIS: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for an improper opinion. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 16  $\boldsymbol{A}$  . I mean, depending on the force that's used, yes, if they put their hands around the neck 17 and squeeze forcefully, that's dangerous to human 18 life. 19 20 0. (BY MS. REYNA) And could that also cause serious bodily injury? 21 22 A . Yes. 23 MS. REYNA: I pass the witness, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 Cross-examination?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 Q. 2 (BY MR. DAVIS) How you are doing, Doctor? Good. 3 A. How are you doing? 4 Q. I'm fine. You? 5 Α. 6 Q. Good. Good. My name is Eric Davis. 7 You and I have met. 8 A. Yes, we have. 9 Q. On multiple occasions? 10 A. Yes. 11 In the past over the years --Q. 12 A. Yes. -- right? 13 Q. 14 A . Yes, that's correct. 15 And you just talked about hands being a Q. 16 deadly weapon and squeezing around a neck. Now, obviously, it depends on the force that is being 17 used, right? 18 That's correct. 19 Α. 20 Q. Someone just placing their hands around 21 someone's neck and squeezing isn't by itself an act 22 clearly dangerous to human life. You would agree with that? 23 24 A . I would agree with that, yes. 25 It involves the circumstances, right? Q.

Whether or not it's hazardous to human life 1 A . would involve the amount of squeezing that's done, 2 3 yes. Yes, ma'am. And the circumstances in which 4 Q. 5 one is doing it, true? I'm not sure if it would -- if the 6 A. 7 circumstances would matter more than I think what would matter is the force and duration of the 8 9 squeeze. 10 Q. Yes, ma'am. And that can be indicated by 11 circumstances. Would you agree with that? 12 A. I suppose it could, yes. 13 Q. Now, in terms of drugs in the system that 14 you found, the toxicology report, the toxicology 15 report showed Adderall, right? 16 A. It actually showed amphetamines. 17 Yes, ma'am. Q. 18 A. Which Adderall is a prescription form of 19 amphetamine. 20 Q. Now, you don't know what those drugs were from, prescription or if they were being abused, 21 22 true? 23 Can I -- I don't know what exactly they are A. 24 from, but the -- when you see pure amphetamine in a

person's system, it's not consistent with the street

- type of drug methamphetamine --1
  - Q. Certainly.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- -- that people abuse. It's more consistent with being pharmaceutical or a pill form of 4 amphetamine.
  - Q. You agree with me that people abuse prescription drugs all the time, right?
    - Yes, they do. A.
  - And so, my question to you is: When you Q. see Adderall in the system, you don't know if this is from a legitimate prescription or if it is someone who has been abusing drugs, true?
  - A . Oh, yes, that's correct. I can't tell whether it's a prescription or from abusive prescription medication.
  - So, if someone has a history of abusing Adderall and getting Adderall illegally and you founding it in the system, that would be consistent with someone who abused drugs?
  - A. Yes, it could.
- And in that particular situation, 21 Q. 22 Ms. Warner's body, you actually found the presence of 23 Adderall?
- 24 There was amphetamine, which could be 25 Adderall. Yes, that's correct.

- And this isn't something that's normally 1 Q. produced by decomposition, true? 2 That's a difficult question. You can get 3 some false positive, but this actually was confirmed 4 5 to be amphetamine by the toxicology. 6 Q. Right. So, my question was: This isn't 7 something that's produced as a result of, from what you found, in terms of toxicology, it wasn't 8 something that was produced as a result of 9
  - A. Right. This was actually confirmed to be amphetamine.
  - Meaning the person had it in their system Q. at the time of their death?
    - Yes, that's correct. A.

decomposition, true?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- 0. Now, the alcohol, we don't know one way or the other whether or not the person had alcohol or not, true?
  - Α. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Now, there are some treaties and certain textbooks that you consider to be authoritative, 21 22 correct?
- A. Can you explain what you mean by 24 authoritative?
  - Well, when you went to medical school and Q.

- you did your residency, you used certain textbooks to help guide you and teach you what you were looking for during autopsies, correct?
  - Yes, I did. A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- 0. And there are some textbooks that are considered by other medical examiners across the country as being authoritative, meaning they are used to instruct medical examiners about different situations, correct?
  - Yes, there are. A.
- Most of the science, in terms of what 0. you're doing in terms of pathology, is something that is done through observation and learning, true?
  - A. Yes.
- So, you build on what other people have Q. learned and other people have written about, correct?
- Yes, that's correct. A.
- Q. A lot of findings that you use, a lot of findings that you make, and things you look for in making those findings, are things that can be readily 2.1 found in textbooks, right?
  - A . Yes.
- There are some textbooks that aren't as Q. 24 authoritative -- by authoritative, that aren't as valid or as accepted as others, correct?

- A. There -- yes, there may be some textbooks that aren't as accepted as others.
- Q. And there is a textbook that you use that you find to be very accepted?
- A. Yes. There was a textbook that we used in our training that we talked about before, Spitz and Fisher's textbook that we use predominantly in our training, but that is not the only --
  - Q. Yes, ma'am. I understand.
  - A. -- reference.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. There is also a textbook, a reference book by DeMayo, correct? Am I saying his name wrong?
- 13 A. Yeah. I think you're referring to the textbook by Dr. DeMayo.
  - Q. DeMayo. Thank you. Dr. DeMayo, which is considered by many to be authoritative; but there is a book by Spitz and Fisher that you consider to be authoritative?
  - A. I -- if -- when -- when you are saying "authoritative," you mean that everything in the book is correct. I would disagree with that. But I think there are -- both of those textbooks are useful and have a lot of information.
    - Q. Sometimes --
  - A. -- that's useful.

1 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. 2 THE COURT: Sorry. Let's -- guys, it's real important that the two of you not talk at 3 the same time. It's already happened about three 4 5 times. MR. DAVIS: I will control it going 6 7 forward, Judge. 8 THE COURT: You are a little guick on So, you know, if she is answering the 9 that "I". 10 question, don't come back and elaborate or elucidate. 11 Let her give that answer, and then you can come back and clarify. But if you start trying to clarify 12 13 while she is still giving her answer, it's just very difficult for the court reporter. 14 15 Thank you. So, if you will be both be 16 extra careful. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Thank you very much. 18 19 (BY MR. DAVIS) Dr. Doyle, you and I talked 0. 20 about textbook -- textbooks that you would consider authoritative, and you pointed me to Spitz and 21 22 Fisher, right? 23 A. That's correct. 24 You even provided me with a copy of the 0. chapter on asphyxiation, true? 25

- A. Yes. I didn't; but our office did, yes.
- Q. When we were meeting, you pulled the textbook and we were going to copy it, but I think you had to go into another meeting?
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. In your findings, when you sat down and you looked at it, there were a lot of things that weren't consistent with strangulation; isn't that right?
  - A. In my findings in the autopsy?
- Q. Yes, ma'am.
  - A. Were there things that weren't consistent?
- 12 Q. Yes, ma'am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

18

19

20

21

22

- A. No, I don't agree with that statement.
- Q. All right. Now, usually in strangulation
  cases, one of the things that's almost a touchtone of
  a strangulation case are fingertip injuries; is that
  true?
  - A. They occur frequently in strangulations, yes.
  - Q. And part of the reason is if a person's airway is being cut off or if there is compression to the neck, the person may be grabbing to try to break the compression, true?
- 24 A. No, I don't -- I don't agree with that 25 statement. Fingertip injuries on the skin of the

1 victim?

- Q. Skin of the complainant.
- A. On the skin can be due to the fingertips of a person compressing their neck?
- Q. In terms of their actual fingertips, though.
  - A. It --
  - Q. Their fingertips.
- A. Well, the victim's fingertips can sometimes cause injury if they are trying to remove the other person's hands. But, in general, in strangulations, when you have fingertip injuries on the skin, you're talking about injuries from the person that's doing the neck compressing.
- Q. Yes, ma'am. I'm not talking about fingertip injuries on the skin. I'm talking about injuries to the fingertips of the complainant. One of the things that some of the literature suggests is that injuries to the fingertips is something that you see present in people who have been strangled?
- A. That, I disagree. That is not going to be prevalent in every case of strangulation. In this case --
- 24 THE COURT: Sorry.
  - A. -- the literature does not say that it is.

THE COURT: Sorry. One at a time. 1 Ι was talking. Repeat the last part of the answer. 2 Injuries on the fingertips of the victims 3 of strangulation are not a necessary part of the 4 diagnosis of the strangulation. 5 6 0. (BY MR. DAVIS) And in this case, you didn't 7 find any injuries to the fingertips? 8 A. Her fingertips were sloughed off in the first place, but she didn't -- what was remaining of 9 her, there were no visible injuries. 10 11 And in terms of her neck, you were able to 12 examine her neck, right? 13 A. Yes. 14 0. You talked about there being discoloration around her neck? 15 There is discoloration on the skin of 16 Yes. her neck and internal in her neck. 17 And discoloration made it difficult --18 0. 19 because of the decomposition made it difficult for you to be able to determine whether or not there was 20 2.1 bruising around the neck? 22 A . Yes, that's correct. 23 But you were able to go into layers of Q.

So, that's -- a normal part of the

24

25

muscle, right?

Yes.

A.

procedure is to look at each layer of muscle. 1 Q. And --3 A. Yes. And, yes or no, you were able to pull back 4 Q. 5 and find that there was hemorrhaging in her neck 6 under the skin, true? 7 A. No. I didn't refer to it as hemorrhaging. 8 It's just discoloration that may represent 9 hemorrhaging; but due to the decomposition, I couldn't be sure. 10 11 The discoloration that you saw in the neck 0. was in the front of her neck area, correct? 12 That's correct. Yes. 13 A. In terms of the discoloration that could 14 0. 15 have represented hemorrhaging, it was in this area of 16 her neck. Would that be accurate (indicating)? 17 Α. There was some under her chin, and it was 18 also around her airway. 19 There wasn't any discoloration in her neck 0. in the back area; isn't that true? 20 2.1 That's correct. A. 22 0. In other words, the focal point of all of 23 the hemorrhaging was here (indicating) -- or 24 discoloration that could have been hemorrhaging was

2.5

here (indicating), true?

A. What I saw as discoloration may have represented hemorrhaging, but it may also represent decomposition, was localized to the anterior port of her neck, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And in terms of what may have been decomposition, that wasn't any dark coloration here in the back of the neck that could have represented decomposition or hemorrhaging, correct?
- A. Actually, everything in the body sort of gets a darker discoloration. What I was referring to are areas of more relatively darker discoloration than the rest of the decomposition. So, the back of her neck did have some dark discoloration secondary to decomposition, but it didn't have any areas of more relative dark discoloration like I saw in the front of the neck.
- Q. Yes. So, obviously, if there is some grabbing towards the back of the neck, in terms of gripping around the neck and some pulling from the front and from the back, that would be something that could compress an airway?
  - A. Yes, that could compress an airway.
  - Q. And could cut off airflow?
- A. It could cut off airflow, yes.
- Q. And cut off blood flow?

Yes. That's correct. 1 A . All right. Constant pressure around the 2 Q. front and the back area, pulling, right, would cut 3 off that flow? 4 5  $\boldsymbol{A}$  . Yes, it could. If enough pressure is 6 applied, it could have cut off airflow and blood 7 flow. And in this situation, there wasn't any 8 0. injury that you were able to observe or any 9 discoloration that was darker than any other 10 11 decomposition to the back of the neck? 12 MS. REYNA: Objection, Your Honor, asked and answered. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. But then let's 14 15 move on to something else. 16 MR. DAVIS: Yes, ma'am, I am. Thank 17 you. THE COURT: 18 Thank you. 19 We were saying "thank you" in unison. 20 All right. Thank you. 2.1 MR. DAVIS: You're welcome. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 Now I forgot what the question was. A . 24 sorry. The back of the neck had no relative darker 2.5 discoloration as the front of the neck did.

- Q. (BY MR. DAVIS) So, obviously, with injuries being isolated in the front, that would be consistent with someone falling and applying pressure to the front, true?
  - A. It could be, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. In terms of reaching a decision about this being a strangulation, you really can't say the length of time that there was compression or cutting off of airflow; isn't that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. In fact, the only reason you are able to reach a conclusion of strangulation is because you can't find any other apparent reason why the complainant deceased, true?
- A. It's based on the fact she has got injuries of her neck indicating neck compression, petechiae, and that there are no other causes of death.
- Q. That's right. In terms of being able to look at her body and injuries on her body and thinking go back to what actually may have happened, that's outside your area of expertise, true?
- A. I mean, I can usually say if something is -- a scenario is consistent with the injuries that I find on a body; but to specifically say exactly what happened in a -- in a scenario just from looking

at a body, I can't say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Because you're not an accident reconstructionist?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And, in fact, you're usually able to say if certain things are possible based on the injuries you saw on the body, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And in terms of her cause of death, now, some of the literature seems to suggest that if there is a blow to the neck, it could cut off the airway. A fall or blow to the neck could stretch the ligaments and fracture the skeletal positions inside the neck and cut off the airflow; is that true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So, without compression, someone could sustain a blow -- very forceful blow to the neck that could fracture the ligature -- meaning, I guess, that cricoid ligament -- and maybe some bones, as well, and could cut off the airflow; isn't that true?
- A. A blow to the neck could fracture the airway, but the fracture in the cricoid cartilage was not completely obstructing the airway.
- Q. Now, obviously, you're looking to after the fact, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So, the position that the bone was in terms of the trachea, you can't really say what it was at the time that she died; isn't that right?
- A. I mean, the fracture probably -- I mean, there would be no reason for the fracture to be altered, as far as I know. But, yeah, I don't know exactly what it looked like at the time that she was dead.
- Q. Movement of the body and movement of the head could alter the way the fracture is inside the head; isn't that right?
- A. I don't -- I don't really think that movement can alter the way a fracture is.
- Q. In terms of someone putting a bag over her head, moving her head or twisting her head could cause that?
- A. I mean, the way the fracture is opposed against itself, you would have to put probably more pressure on the neck to alter it in any way. And I think any alteration of it might cause, you know, more obstruction rather than less, after the fact.
- Q. Ten or 15 seconds is what it would take for someone to be rendered unconscious?
  - A. That's what was shown in experimental

situations with healthy volunteers who weren't struggling against that. So, in an actual situation of strangulation, I don't know that that exact number would apply. Ten to 15 seconds is what it took those healthy volunteers to be rendered unconscious when their carotics were compressed by a carotic sleeper hold.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And someone was put in a -- if someone was being -- had their airway compressed, it could be less than 10 or 15 seconds; is that right?
- 11 A. I don't -- yeah, I don't know that. Nobody
  12 knows that.
  - Q. Yes, ma'am. But, basically, from what you know of studies, if the airway is cut off or be obstructed in some manner, a person can faint after 10 or 15 seconds?
  - A. If it's isolated airway compression, most of the literature says it takes longer to cause unconsciousness than if the blood vessels are cut off.
  - Q. And the blood vessels being cut off would just be a tighter grip?
  - A. Not necessarily, no. The airway takes more pressure to compress than the blood vessels.
    - Q. So, in terms of causing death, the airway

would take more pressure -- sorry. Strike that. 1 In terms of causing death, it would be 2 the cutoff of the blood flow to the brain is what you 3 believe would be the ultimate cause of death from 4 5 strangulation? 6 A. It's one of the main mechanisms that's 7 occurring. It's not the only mechanism that's 8 occurring. But, yes, it's prolonged compression of the blood, the blood flow from getting to the brain. 9 And airway compression is also involved. 10 11 Now, you said on a couple of occasions that some cutting off of the airflow and the popping or 12 13 fracturing of that cartilage in her neck would have 14 caused death; is that right? 15 I -- can you repeat that? Would have or A. would not have? 16 Could potentially have caused her death? 17 Q. What I said was that a quick compression 18 A. with an isolated cricoid cartilage fracture, I do not 19 20 believe would cause immediate death is what I said. Right. But it could cause death over time. 2.1 Q. 22 I remember that. 23 But my question to you is: Could that

pressure to the neck causing the -- on the fracture

of the cartilage with the addition of some

24

```
compression cause death?
 1
         A .
 2
                Yes.
                Do you know how much pressure would have
 3
     caused death in this situation?
 4
 5
         Α.
                No.
 6
         0.
                If someone's involved in a struggle and if
 7
     they are -- they have been attacked and they are
 8
     grabbing and they start to squeeze and then they have
     a fall and there is force to the neck area and the
 9
     airway is crushed, is it possible that that could
10
11
     cause death?
12
         A.
                Yes.
                     MR. DAVIS: May I have one moment,
13
14
     Judge?
15
                     THE COURT:
                                Yes, sir.
16
                     (Brief pause)
17
                     MR. DAVIS: May I approach the
18
     witness, Judge?
19
                     THE COURT: Yes, sir.
20
         Q.
                (BY MR. DAVIS) Now, Dr. Doyle, I'm going to
21
     show you a photo that for identification purposes I'm
22
     going to mark as Defendant's 3, just for
23
     identification purposes only. And I want you to take
24
     a look at it.
25
                     Do you recognize that?
```

1 A . Yes. Okay. Is that one of the photos you took 2 Q. as part of the autopsy? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 0. And I want to show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit's 1 and 2. Take them, ma'am. 6 7 Take a look at them. 8 A. (Witness complies.) 9 Q. Do you recognize Defendant's 1 and 2, ma'am? 10 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Are these the same or at least, I guess, 13 closeups of the photographs that I just showed you earlier marked as Defendant's 3? 14 15 A . Yes. 16 Q. Do they fairly and accurately represent a part of the body during that autopsy that you took? 17 Yes. It's the photograph of the trachea 18 A. 19 and larynx from the autopsy. 20 Q. And are they fair and accurate 21 representations of the trachea and larynx from that 22 autopsy? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. 25 MR. DAVIS: And, Your Honor, I offer

```
Defendant's 1 and 2 into evidence. And let the
 1
     record reflect that I'm tendering them to the State.
 2
 3
     Sorry.
                     MS. REYNA:
                                 The State has no
 4
 5
     objection, Judge.
                     THE COURT: 1 and 2 are admitted.
 6
 7
                (BY MR. DAVIS) Dr. Doyle, Defendant's 1 is
         0.
 8
     a photograph of the trachea; is that right?
 9
                Yes, it's the trachea with the larynx
         A .
     attached to it.
10
11
               Can you tell us what the function of the
         Q.
     trachea is?
12
13
         A .
                Yes.
                      It's the airway that brings air from
14
     your nose and mouth when you breathe down into your
15
     lungs.
16
         0.
                And in this photograph, is there -- is this
     trachea how a trachea normally looks like?
17
               No, it is not.
18
         A.
19
                And this shape of the trachea is different;
         0.
20
     is that right?
2.1
         A.
                Yes.
22
         0.
                And in this particular situation, the
     trachea is flattened, true?
23
24
                It's not totally flattened. There is an
     area of indentation --
25
```

Uh-huh (affirmative.) 1 Q. -- that runs along it. 2 A . In the trachea itself, it is kind of more 3 0. flattened on the right side? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And Defendant's Exhibit No. 2 is also a 7 picture of the trachea, right? 8 A. It's actually sort of in the middle that's indented. 9 Q. 10 Right. 11 A. That picture is kind of at an angle. 12 Q. This picture is a little straighter on? 13 A . Yes. Okay. And this one sort of shows it kind 14 0. 15 of more so towards the right side, though? 16 A. The -- well, I mean, grossly, it's net The other picture where it's not still in 17 midline. the body might be before that to show -- I describe 18 19 it in the report as the indentation being in the midline. 20 21 Yes, ma'am. Is it above or below the Q. 22 cricoid? 23 That's below. A. 24 Q. Flattening is below the cricoid? 25 A. Yes.

And could you show us on this photograph 1 Q. where the cricoid is? 2 3 A. (Indicating.) And the cricoid has what function, ma'am? 4 Q. 5 The cricoid is another part of the A. 6 windpipe. It lays high up in the trachea, and it 7 just lends support to the airway. And then in this particular situation, 8 Q. 9 prior to dissecting the body, that cricoid was -sorry. After dissecting the body, you learned that 10 11 the cricoid was split? 12 Well, during the dissection of the neck, I learned that it was fractured. 13 14 0. Now, ma'am, about how far down was the 15 flattening extending towards her chest? 16 I didn't measure how far down it was, just indented in the midline. 17 Was it isolated in an area? 18 0. 19 It -- I describe it as being the trachea. A. 20 So, that usually means the whole trachea. How narrow down is the trachea extending? 21 Q. 22 If you could demonstrate for us and show us in terms

The trachea actually goes all the way into

the chest cavity. I don't -- it's -- I usually see

23

24

25

of a person's body.

A.

- it internally. So, it's probably to about here 1 (indicating.) And then it splits into two airways 2 3 that go into each lung. And in this particular situation, the 4 5 trachea indentation was isolated primarily in the 6 neck area? 7 Yes. I did not -- actually, I don't recall A . 8 if I saw it on the part that's in the chest or not. 9 Are you familiar with the concept of vagal Q. 10 reflex? Vagal reflex?
- 11 A. Yes. It is vagal reflex.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Thank you. Could you describe for us what that is, ma'am?
- A. Yes. It's basically a fainting reflection that can occur for different reasons. There can be a number of reasons that it occurs.
- Q. Is one of the reasons that it occurs a force to the neck?
- A. Yes, it can occur from a force to the neck and can occur in an abrupt force to the neck, yes.
- Q. And, in fact, it's -- vagal reflex is something that can cause death, true?
  - A. It can in certain circumstances, yes.
- Q. And, in fact, it actually presents very similar to strangulation in terms of what you see as

the cause of death; isn't that right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I would disagree with that in that people who are thought to be dying from vagal reflex alone tends not to have injuries of their neck.
- Q. Well, if -- if the abrupt impact to the neck that would cause the vagal reflex would leave bruises and injuries, that would be somewhat consistent with the presentation of strangulation, correct?
- A. If you have both, if you have compression or a force to the neck that causes injuries to the neck, that can actually look like strangulation, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, you're saying now not only because of the injuries presented in the neck, right?
  - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. You're saying that it can look like strangulation only because of the injuries to the neck that you would see during strangulation?
- A. You -- what I said was a blow to the neck can cause injuries that you see in strangulations.
- Q. And vagal reflex is something that can cause death instantaneous, pretty quick?
- A. I don't think instantaneously; but it can cause death quickly, yes.
  - Q. In fact, the presentation is almost

- identical to strangulation if there is some -- some 1 compression? 2 I would disagree with that because in cases 3 of vagal reflex, in -- you tend not to see if someone 4 5 is dying from an isolated vagal reflex from 6 compression on the nerves in their neck. You don't 7 see the other injuries in the neck. 8 Q. Well, you actually see some thyroid cartilage with vagal reflex, right? 9 That has -- vagal reflex is a separate 10 A. No. 11 thing from injuries of the neck itself. It's a 12 separate entity. Can they occur together? Yes. 13 they are two separate things. 14 0. Now, in the textbook with Spitz and Fisher, 15 they talk about vagal reflex, right?

There is a portion that talks about

- Q. If you saw it, would that refresh your recollection whether there's discussion of vagal reflex?
  - A. Yes.

A.

16

19

20

2.1

22

25

Q. Do you need to see it?

Yes.

- 23 A. If you're going to ask me what's in the textbook, I probably do need to see it; but --
  - Q. Yes, ma'am.

MR. DAVIS: May I approach the witness 1 2 again? 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. (BY MR. DAVIS) I'm going to show you this 4 Q. 5 and this page, page 8. And this is -- and this is a 6 textbook that you sent me, right? The chapter you 7 sent me? 8 A. Yes. I think the administration person 9 sent it to you; but that's the one they pointed out 10 to you, yes. 11 And I'm directing your attention to Page 0. 12 810 of the chapter on asphyxiation, which is chapter 14, written by Dr. Spitz? 13 14 A . Yes. 15 If you could just read that paragraph to Q. 16 yourself. 17 A. A karate chop to the neck --18 Q. To yourself, ma'am. Sorry. Oh, okay. 19 A. 20 Okay. 21 So, vagal reflex is something that can be Q. 22 present with fractures and injuries; isn't that 23 right? 24 A. It can be, but that scenario was describing a karate chop to the neck with extensive destruction 25

1 of the structures.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. And in this particular situation, there are some destruction of the structures, would you agree, in the autopsy that you did of Michelle Warner?
- A. That is fracture of her cricoid cartilage and her hyoid bone.
- Q. Right. So, you have that present in this case, right?
- A. Yes, you have that; but you don't have what they are describing in that.
- Q. Well, now, if a person was to have their hands extended and fall with their weight on someone's neck, that could be the equivalent force of a karate chop, right?
  - A. I don't know how to measure that force.
- Q. Yes, ma'am. But it could be the equivalent force of a karate chop described in vagal reflex?
  - A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. Yes, ma'am. But vagal reflex force with one blow can cause death?
- 21 A. That's correct. Yes.
  - Q. And there is no way to differentiate or distinguish it from strangulation; isn't that correct?
  - A. Petechiae can distinguish it.

You testified petechiae can be caused by a 1 Q. number of different things, true? 2 That's correct. 3 A. Yes. And petechiae by itself isn't something 4 Q. 5 that you relied on for strangulation; isn't that 6 right? 7 A. That's correct, not by itself. And oftentimes some other disorders present 8 Q. themselves as petechiae, true? 9 10 A. Yes. They can, yes. 11 In this case, you didn't find a lot of 0. 12 petechiae. Would you agree with me? That's correct. Yes. 13 A. 14 0. You find a lot of petechiae -- sometimes 15 you can find petechiae in places other than the mouth 16 and the eyes in cases of strangulation; is that 17 right? That's correct. 18 Α. Yes. 19 You can actually find it around areas where 0. 20 the person is alleged to have been strangled, true? 2.1 They usually occur above the area where the A. 22 compression occurs. 23 Yes, ma'am. But it can actually occur like 24 in the skin, above the area where the compression

2.5

occurred?

- A. That's correct. Yes.
- Q. And in this case, you saw no discoloration that would lead you to believe it was petechiae, right?
  - A. I did not see any petechiae on her skin.
- Q. And, in fact, you saw decomposition in her mouth, right?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And even though you saw decomposition on her mouth area, you still were able to identify what you believed may have potentially been petechiae in her mouth, correct?
  - A. Yes. There were petechiae in her mouth.
- Q. And even though there was discoloration due to decomposition on the skin outside the body, you still were able to note certain types of bruises that were there; isn't that right?
- A. That's incorrect. I just noted that some of the areas appeared darker than others.
- Q. Under her chin, you noticed some bruising, true?
- A. Again, it was discoloration. Could it have been bruising? Yes. Could it just be an artifact of decomposition? Possibly.
- Q. In terms of her neck, there was nothing

Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Cross-Examination by Mr. Davis

```
that you noted that looked like it might be
 1
     petechiae; is that right?
 2
                On her neck I could not see any petechiae.
 3
     That's correct.
 4
 5
                                 I pass the witness, Judge.
                     MR. DAVIS:
 6
                     THE COURT:
                                 Thank you.
 7
                     State, redirect?
 8
                     MS. REYNA: Briefly, Judge.
                      REDIRECT EXAMINATION
 9
                (BY MS. REYNA) Doctor, I want to talk to
10
         Q.
     you about vagal --
11
12
         A.
                Yes.
13
         Q.
                -- vagal reflex.
                Uh-huh (affirmative.)
14
         A .
15
                Can you rule out vagal reflex in the case
         Q.
16
     of Michelle Warner?
                It would be highly unlikely in somebody
17
18
     like her to die instantaneous from a vagal reflex.
19
     And you can never 100 percent with certainty rule out
20
     something like that. But the fact that she has
     petechiae don't fit with that. And the fact that she
21
22
     is a young person without any other types of
23
     cardiovascular disease. Normally people who are
24
     going to succumb to a vagal reflex are going to have
2.5
     cardiovascular disease or types of cardiovascular
```

disease like a bad heart that would make them more susceptible.

- Q. And we don't see that in Michelle Warner's case, do we?
  - A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And you said something about neck injuries helping to rule out vagal reflex. How do they help in this case?
- A. What I meant by that is that whether -- as a medical examiner, you're trying to decide whether to call something a strangulation or not, the fact that they have evidence, the fact that the person has evidence of forceful neck compression like fractures wouldn't indicate some sort of accidental stimulation of, you know, a nerve that someone didn't mean to do. And sometimes that comes up in cases in which two people are sexually involved and there may be some compression of the neck and then, basically, accidental strangulation is a thought to -- is thought to be a possibility.

If someone's got forceful injuries to their neck, you know, it's not just some stimulation of this area of the carotid sinus that causes a vagal reflex, because there is an injury to the neck.

Q. Okay. And you saw the video

- reconstruction, video reenactment, and the
  defendant's statement of what he claims happened with
  Michelle Warner, correct?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And there was no karate chop in that reenactment, was there?
  - $\boldsymbol{A}$ . No.
- Q. And, in fact, you heard him say he held her for a minute and a half -- or you remember a minute up to two minutes, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And is that consistent with strangulation or vagal reflex?
  - A. It's consistent with a death due to strangulation.
  - Q. And we talked about the petechiae. Were there hints of petechiae in the complainant's eyes?
  - A. There were areas of irregularity of the discoloration; but because I couldn't definitely say that they were petechiae, I don't call things unless I'm sure about it. And could there have been petechiae there? Yes. But because of the decomposition, I could not tell.
- 24 Q. So, you rule on the conservative side and say no petechiae in the eyes, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. And it was the decomposition of Michelle's body that keeps you from being able to determine if there was petechiae anywhere else, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you don't -- your pathological findings don't mention the indentation of trachea as far as your pathological findings. Why not?
- A. Because I was not sure that it was actually an injury and that it could have been from decomposition alone, because the cartilaginous tissue also gets softer.
- Q. So, the decomposition sort of gets in the way of being able to determine what's injury and what's not, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And, Doctor, with the totality of the circumstances in this case -- the injuries to the neck, the two different fractures, the petechiae you did see in the oral cavity, the fact that this is a young female who is dumped out in an oil field and potentially buried, had no other health issues -- these are all -- and the fact that we know some of what the defendant said happened, all these circumstances are what led you to believe this is a

strangulation, correct? 1 Yes. That's correct. 2 A. I pass the witness, Judge. 3 MS. REYNA: THE COURT: Thank you. 4 5 Any recross? 6 MR. DAVIS: Very briefly, Judge. 7 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 8 Q. (BY MR. DAVIS) Now, Dr. Doyle, you talked about her not having any cardiovascular issues or any 9 other health problems. Now, were you given medical 10 11 records from Michelle Warner? 12 A. No, I wasn't. 13 Q. Were you given any -- any physical 14 examinations or records of any physical examinations 15 she underwent prior to being present in front of you? 16 Α. No. 17 Are you aware of the fact that certain drug abuse that it has on the cardiovascular system? 18 19 Yes. A. 20 Q. People who have a history of abusing cocaine actually have -- and I would say a hindered 21 22 cardiovascular system. Would you agree? 23 A. They could have cardiovascular problems, 24 yes. 25 Someone who has abused prescription drugs Q.

over a period of time could also have that, as well; 1 is that right? 2 3 A. Yes. And the focal point of your autopsy and 4 Q. 5 your examination wasn't looking at a cardiovascular 6 system; isn't that right? 7 A . The -- that is part of the examination, 8 yes. The cardiovascular system is also examined. In terms of focal point of your 9 Q. examination, that isn't, is it? 10 11 There is really no focal point when you're 12 doing an autopsy. You have to look at all the 13 organs. 14 0. When you are doing an autopsy, you're 15 looking for damage to organs, true? 16 Α. You're also looking for diseases --You're looking for --17 Q. -- to the --18 A . 19 You're looking for trauma to the organs, as Q. 20 well, right? 2.1 You're looking for both, yes. A. 22 0. In your autopsy report, you don't make 23 notes of findings about those other organs; isn't 24 that right?

There are findings, yes. They are all

2.5

A.

described in the autopsy report.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. And in terms of describing the state of her trachea and the state of her neck area, you go into great detail describing the things you have seen, right?
- A. So, more detail is needed in the areas where you find abnormalities than in the areas where you don't. And then, otherwise, you state what the normal appearance of the organ is.
  - Q. You sent certain organs out for testing?
- A. I didn't -- I consulted an anthropologist to look in the neck structures.
  - Q. Yes, ma'am. And he actually did further investigation. That was part of your opinion, right?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. So, in other words, just from a visual viewpoint of the neck area, that alone wasn't sufficient for you to be able to make that determination of strangulation?
- A. It probably would have been alone, but the anthropologist can do a better job documenting. They use a special chemical processing to clean the structures better and can get better photographs and do a good job documenting it.
  - Q. That's right. And so, you had some

additional microscopic analysis done of those 1 particular structures? 2 I can't remember if the anthropologist did 3 microscopic analysis or just a gross analysis, but 4 5 they can do both. 6 Q. Right. And you have that available to test 7 certain organs, as well? 8 A. We -- no. The anthropologist only looks at bone structures. 9 All right. But you have the ability to be 10 11 able to do a microscopic analysis of certain organs, as well; is that right? 12 13 A . Yes. 14 0. And that wasn't done with any of the other 15 organs; is that right? 16 A . Yes, it was. 17 Which organs were looked at Q. 18 microscopically, ma'am, in the report? 19 A. The heart, lungs, kidneys, liver, brain, 20 and the cricothyroid muscle. 21 And where is that referenced in the report, Q. 22 Dr. Doyle? 23 It's page 9. A . 24 This examination was done by Kristen 0.

25

Alvarenga?

- Yes -- yes. But I also looked at the 1 A . slides with her. 2 Yes, ma'am. And in terms of these slides, 3 she talks a lot about petrification; is that right? 4 Right. Petrifactive changes. 5 Α. 6 Q. And that's mostly a function of 7 decomposition? 8 Α. Yes. That's correct. 9 So, for the most part, she wasn't able to Q. 10 really make any findings as to the heart, as to the liver, as to the lungs, or the kidney because of the 11 decomposition? 12 13 A . Basically, decomposition is going to limit 14 everything that you look at. 15 Yes, ma'am. Q. 16 A . Not only the gross and microscopic findings. You can still see some things even with 17 decomposition. If there is scarring in the heart, 18 19 you can still see that; but it does limit your 20 ability to --2.1 Q. So --
  - Q. So, in terms of being able to tell whether or not she had any issues with her cardiovascular system. Because of the decomposition, you weren't

22

23

24

25

A .

-- to --

```
able to find that out; is that right?
 1
         Α.
                I don't agree with that statement, no.
 2
                Now, most of her findings -- every finding
 3
         0.
     she lists says there were no findings in reference of
 4
     the section; and she talked about petechial changes
 5
 6
     manifested by a loss of nuclear and cellular detail
 7
     and bacterial growth. So, she wasn't able to make
 8
     any findings.
                The -- what she says is there are no
 9
         A.
     significant histologic findings. In cases in which
10
11
     people are decomposed that have significant heart
     disease, you can still see that.
12
13
         Q.
                Significantly?
14
         A .
                In those people, yes.
15
                Significant heart disease --
         Q.
16
         A.
                Yes.
17
                -- right?
         Q.
                     In terms of vagal reflex, it doesn't
18
19
     require significant heart disease; is that right?
20
         A.
                People would disagree with that.
2.1
                There are some people --
         Q.
22
         A .
                With the --
23
                     THE REPORTER:
                                     Excuse me.
24
                     THE COURT: Excuse me.
25
         Q.
                (BY MR. DAVIS) There are some pathologists
```

```
who would agree with that?
 1
               Possibly, but not that I know of.
 2
         A .
               The literature you provided me doesn't
 3
         0.
     state that it requires that there be some
 4
 5
     cardiovascular injury or some decompressed
 6
     cardiovascular system; is that right?
 7
                It doesn't state that it requires that, but
         A .
 8
     it's -- it does make statements that it's highly
 9
     unlikely in a person that's a young, healthy
10
     person --
11
               Right.
         Q.
12
         A .
               -- that doesn't have a history of some
     problem --
13
               Right.
14
         0.
               -- with their --
15
         A .
16
                     THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. Davis, let
17
     her finish her answer before you start the next
     question, please. It's late. The court reporter has
18
19
     had a hard day, and you are making it harder.
20
     you.
2.1
                                 I have been trying to go
                     MR. DAVIS:
22
     slower, Judge. And I'm sorry.
23
                     THE COURT: Can I tell you, I
     appreciate how much you have slowed down; but you
24
25
     just are so eager to get the next question out that
```

you talk while she is still talking. 1 2 MR. DAVIS: Right. THE COURT: So, if you can just work 3 on that part a little more, I think we will have it. 4 5 Thank you. 6 0. (BY MR. DAVIS) Well, ma'am, it doesn't also 7 assume the person has a history of abusing drugs; isn't that right? 8 I don't understand -- I can't answer that 9 question accurately. That textbook doesn't talk 10 11 about drug abuse and vagal reaction at all together, that I know of. 12 And the textbook doesn't talk about 13 Q. 14 cardiovascular system being impaired in vagal reflex, either? 15 16 A. Yes, it does. In a different place other than where it 17 Q. 18 talks to asphyxiation, ma'am? 19 No. It's in that chapter. A. 20 Q. All right. Let me ask you this: It would have been better to be able to have some medical 21 22 information, medical history of Ms. Warner, in making

that determination. Would you agree or not agree?

would have definitely looked at it, yes.

If medical history had been available, I

23

24

- Q. Yes, ma'am. And having medical history might have helped you either rule out vagal reflex or determine that wasn't the cause, true?
- A. I don't think we considered vagal reflex as a cause of death in Ms. Warner.
  - Q. Because of petechiae?

- A. Because of the whole case, because of her neck injuries, her petechiae, the way she was found,
  I would not have considered that as a cause of death.
- Q. You would agree with me that you aren't an accident reconstructionist, right?
  - A. Yes, I agree with that.
- Q. And you can't look at the injuries that were sustained and try to extrapolate and figure out what may have happened, true?
- A. I -- I disagree. I think that I can look at injuries that were sustained and give possibilities on how they were sustained. To be able to say exactly how they were sustained, no, I can't do that.
- Q. In terms of giving likelihood about pressure and in terms of actions, you can't -- that's outside your expertise, as well?
- A. To say exactly how much pressure is used, I cannot say that, yes.

And when you watched the video -- the 1 Q. portion of the video from Mr. Castellano's statement, 2 he shows that he is falling with force on Michelle 3 Warner; isn't that right? 4 5 Α. I don't recall if they are falling with 6 force. He shows a fall. 7 And he is falling on top of her, right? 0. 8 A. I think he was demonstrating falling on top of the police officer. 9 And the injuries that you saw, at least the 10 11 discoloration that you saw, that could be attributed 12 to decomposition or could be attributed to hemorrhage 13 in the areas where he is saying he was falling; isn't that true? 14 15 They are in areas in front of her neck 16 where he is saying his hands were present, yes. 17 All right. And the areas around her neck, in the areas on the side and in the back, you didn't 18 19 see the discoloration that could be decomposition or 20 could be some hemorrhage? 2.1 MS. REYNA: Objection, Your Honor, 22 asked and answered. 23 MR. DAVIS: Clarifying on 24 recross-examination, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

That's overruled.

But then let's -- ask it again, and then let's go on 1 to something else. 2 3 MR. DAVIS: Yes, ma'am. Did you say "yes, ma'am" 4 THE COURT: 5 before I finished? Then let's go on to something 6 else. Thank you. 7 Yes, ma'am. MR. DAVIS: 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 (BY MR. DAVIS) Do you need me to repeat the Q. 10 question? 11 A . Yes, please. Sorry. THE COURT: And I think that had a 12 negative in it. If it did, let's try and ask it 13 without a negative. 14 15 (BY MR. DAVIS) Isn't it true that you did 16 not find any discoloration that could have been a result of decomposition or hemorrhage in the area 17 towards the side and back of Michelle Warner's neck? 18 19 A. The side part is not true. Because part of the discoloration on the sides of the thyroid 20 21 cartilage, I would consider the side of her, the 22 sides of her neck. But there was no relative darker 23 discoloration in the back of her neck. 24 0. And isn't it true that death could have 25 been caused from a person with the hands around

someone's neck and falling with the full force of 1 their weight forward on that person's neck? Isn't 2 that true? 3 It's highly unlikely. 4 A. 5 0. We talked about that earlier; and you said 6 yes, that that was possible. And I asked you that 7 same question again, and you're saying it's highly 8 unlikely. That's correct. 9 A. 10 0. Now, every time I have cross-examined you 11 or talked, you have always testified for the State; is that right? 12 13 A. That's correct. 14 0. Have you ever testified for the Defense? 15 No. A. 16 Q. You generally work closely with law enforcement, true? 17 That's correct. 18 A. 19 The police always contact you, even before Q. 20 they make a case, right? 2.1 Before -- I don't think they contact me. A. 22 It depends on the case. They don't always contact me before they press charges against someone or --23

Your office responds to the scene and

investigates along with police officers, true?

24

25

0.

1 A . In some cases, yes. And, in fact, before you even do an autopsy 2 Q. report, you are talking to police officers and 3 getting additional information to help you make your 4 5 findings, right? 6 A . Can you repeat that? I'm sorry. 7 Before you complete your autopsy report, 0. you're talking to police officers and gathering 8 additional information to help you make your 9 findings? 10 11 A. In some cases, yes. 12 MR. DAVIS: I don't have any other 13 questions. 14 THE COURT: Redirect? 15 Nothing further, Judge. MS. REYNA: 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Is Dr. Doyle excused for all purposes, 18 or do you need her on call? Subject to recall, Judge. 19 MS. REYNA: 20 THE COURT: Okay. So, you're free to 21 go today subject to recall. Is that a problem? 22 THE WITNESS: No, that's fine. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 24 you so much. 25 (Witness released)

## Sarah Doyle - May 30, 2014 Recross-Examination by Mr. Davis

1	THE COURT: So, members of the jury,
2	we're going to quit for the weekend. I hope you get
3	some nice weather in there somewhere, although the
4	rain sure is nice, isn't it?
5	Please remember the instructions I
6	have given you before. Don't communicate at all
7	about the case. And, of course, don't read, listen,
8	or watch any news. Sorry. And we will see you
9	Monday morning at 10:00. Thank you.
10	All rise, please, for the jury.
11	(Jury released)
12	(END OF TODAY'S PROCEEDINGS)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	