Ahateva Barker - July 24, 2013 Direct Examination by Ms. Palmer

been sworn in, Judge. 1 THE COURT: Deputy, would you raise 2 your right hand for me, please. 3 (Witness Duly Sworn) 4 5 THE COURT: You may have a seat up 6 there. Thank you. 7 State, you may proceed. 8 MS. PALMER: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 LINDA HALEY, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 10 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. PALMER: 12 Deputy Haley, could you please introduce 13 Q. 14 yourself to the jury? 15 My name is Deputy Linda Haley. Α. 16 Q. And how are you employed? I am a deputy with the Harris County 17 Sheriff's Department. I'm assigned to the crime 18 19 scene unit as a latent print examiner. 20 Q. So, are you a certified peace officer in the state of Texas. 21 22 Α. Yes, ma'am, I am. 23 0. How long have you been a certified peace 24 officer? 25 Α. I have been in law enforcement for 24 years and I have been certified for right at 20.

- Q. So, you said that you work in the latent print and you do prints examination.
 - What -- tell the jury what all your duties are in that position.
 - A. In my position, what I am doing is doing a comparison of unknown fingerprints to known prints for identification purposes. I do that for the courts and for the different agencies and then for the M.E.'s office as well. I also operate AFIS, which is a fingerprint computerized fingerprint system.
- Q. And when you say a known print, what is that?
- A. A known print is when we know the source of who the print came from.
- Q. And when you say "unknown print," what does that mean?
- A. We don't know who the print was made by, basically. You have two different kinds. You can have inked prints that we know the source or most of time, we're dealing with latents, which are hidden or unseen fingerprints that are developed by chemicals and so forth.
 - Q. And we have all heard about fingerprints,

but can you tell us a little bit about what a fingerprint is?

- A. Basically, on your fingers or on your palms, fingers and your feet, you have friction ridge skin, which is like furrows and ridges that gives you the ability to my mostly is what their purpose is.

 And they are very unique. No two people have the same fingerprint. Your -- all ten of your fingerprints are different, every one of them.
- Q. So what's your training and background that enables you to compare unknown and known prints?
- A. Well, I have the normal training as a peace officer and over and above the normal training there, I have probably about 500, 600 hours of specialized training, specifically in fingerprints, comparison of, the taking of. Those are the taught by the Department of Public Safety. Federal Bureau of Investigation and then professionals in my field.

MS. PALMER: May I approach the
witness?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

- Q. (BY MS. PALMER) I'm showing you what's been premarked as State's Exhibit 53. Do you recognize that?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I do.

What is that? 1 Q. These are, like I was speaking, inked or 2 Α. known prints. 3 0. And whose prints are those? 4 5 Α. I took these from the defendant today. 6 0. When did you do that? 7 Just a few minutes ago here in this Α. 8 courtroom. When you say "the defendant," are you 9 Q. identifying the defendant as Cordero Jarreal 10 11 Stevenson? Yes, the male sitting at the desk with the 12 13 black jacket. MS. PALMER: Your Honor, I'd ask the 14 record reflect this witness has identified the 15 defendant. 16 THE COURT: It will. 17 18 0. (BY MS. PALMER) And when you took his 19 prints this morning, can you describe to the jury how you did that? 20 Yeah. What we do is we take and we roll 2.1 Α. 22 the finger onto an inked pad. It's a specialized ink 23 that won't smeared. And what we're doing is rolling 24 them like that and then we get the ink on the pad,

then we roll it onto the card. And that gives us the

2.5

```
print. And it is like a map of the ridges on his
 1
 2
     fingers.
                    MS. PALMER: At this time I am going
 3
     to offer State's 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and
 4
     34.
 5
 6
                    MR. HAYNES: No objections, Your
 7
     Honor.
 8
                     THE COURT: State's 25 through 32
     inclusive and State's 34 are admitted.
 9
                     THE COURT: And I offer State's 53 as
10
11
     well.
12
                     THE DEFENDANT:
                                     What was 53?
13
                    MS. PALMER: Fifty-three is the known
14
     prints.
15
                    MR. HAYNES: No objection.
16
                     THE COURT: Fifty-three is admitted.
17
         0.
               (BY MS. PALMER) Now, Deputy Haley, could
18
     you tell us about how you compared -- and we're going
19
     to go one by one if that's okay.
20
                     State's Exhibit 25 is a judgment of
21
     conviction by the Court in Cause No. 1729352 for
22
     possession of a controlled substance in County
23
     Criminal Court at Law No. 3. And the date the
24
     judgment was entered was 1/4/2011.
25
                     So, how did you compare the
```

defendant's known prints to State's Exhibit 25?

A. On State's Exhibit 25 and these documents, they take prints at the time of the conviction. And those prints are from the person who was convicted. And then I take the known print and I'm actually laying them side by side under a magnifying loop so that I can compare the -- what's called dots and details, the breaks in the ridges.

The ridges flow in certain patterns and we check for that pattern. And then we're checking for the minute details that are there, ending ridges and bifurcations where a ridge splits, becomes a bifurcation or it just ends. And that's what gives us the unique patterns on there in the reference -- relation of those to each other. That's how we tell if it's an identification or not.

- Q. Were you able to conclude whether the defendant's known prints matched the print in State's Exhibit 25?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, I was.
 - Q. And what was the conclusion?
- A. On State's Exhibit 25, his right thumb was placed and it is his print, his thumbprint.
- Q. And I am going to go through each one of these just as we did State's 25.

On State's Exhibit 26, this is Cause 1 No. 1552851, The State of Texas vs. Cordero 2 Stevenson, evading detention in Criminal County 3 Criminal Court at Law No. 7 and 40 days in the Harris 4 5 County jail. The date of the judgment, September 30, 6 2008. 7 Were you able to compare the 8 defendant's known prints to State's Exhibit 27? This is State's Exhibit? 9 Α. 10 0. Twenty-six. I'm sorry. 11 On State's Exhibit 26, the fingerprint was 12 made by the defendant. 13 Q. And on State's Exhibit No. 27, this is in Case No. 1093432, The State of Texas vs. Cordero 14 Stevenson in the 228th District Court of Harris 15 County, Texas. The offense for which the defendant 16 was convicted: Possession of a controlled substance 17 with intent to deliver cocaine, more than one gram 18 19 and less than four grams. And it's a second degree 20 felony, two years in the Texas Department of Criminal 21 Justice, Institutional Division. And the date the

Were you able to compare the defendant's known prints to State's 27?

A. Yes, ma'am, I was.

22

23

24

25

judgment was entered was 11/20/2006.

O. And what was the conclusion?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Division.

- A. Fingerprints in State's Exhibit 27 were made by the defendant.
- Q. And State's Exhibit No. 28, Cause
 No. 1001801, The State of Texas vs. Cordero Jarreal
 Stevenson in the 248th District Court. Date of
 judgment: September 27th, 2004. Offense convicted
 of: Burglary of a habitation with intent to commit
 theft. And he received two years in the Texas
 Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional
- Were you able to compare his known print to State's Exhibit 28?
- A. Yes, ma'am, I was.
- Q. What was your conclusion?
- A. Thumb print on State's Exhibit 28 was made by the defendant.
- Q. And State's Exhibit 29, Case No. 1001482,
 The State of Texas vs. Cordero Jarreal Stevenson in
 the 338th District Court. Convicted of evading
 arrest, 14 months' state jail was the terms of the
 plea bargain and the date of the judgment,
 September 22, 2004.
- Were you able to compare his known print to State's 29?

A. Yes, ma'am, I was.

- Q. And what was the conclusion?
- A. Fingerprint on State's Exhibit 29 was made by the defendant.
 - Q. And State's Exhibit 30, Case No. 1001483, The State of Texas vs. Cordero Jarreal Stevenson, unauthorized use of a vehicle. The offense convicted of on September 22nd, 2004. Fourteen months' state jail.

Were you able to determine whether the known print matched State's 30?

- A. Yes, ma'am, I was. The fingerprint on State's Exhibit 30 was made by the defendant.
- Q. And State's 31, Cause No. 971994, The State of Texas vs. Cordero Jarreal Stevenson, in the 208th District Court of Harris County, Texas. Offense convicted of: Unauthorized use of a motor vehicle. Date of judgment: February 4th, 2004. Received nine months' state jail.

Were you able to determine if his known prints matched State's 31?

- A. Yes, ma'am, I was. The fingerprint on State's Exhibit 31 was made by the defendant.
- Q. And State's 32. Cause No. 966175, in the 25 209th District Court, Cordero Jarreal Stevenson,

	unauthorized use of a motor vehicle.
2	Were you able to determine whether his
3	prints matched State's 32?
4	A. Yes, ma'am. State's Exhibit 32, the
5	fingerprint was made by the defendant.
6	Q. Cause No. 1198533, State's Exhibit 34. The
7	State of Texas vs. Cordero Stevenson, County Criminal
8	Court at Law No. 3. Possession of marijuana.
9	Were you able to determine whether his
10	known prints matched State's 34?
11	A. Yes, ma'am, I was. On State's Exhibit 34,
12	the fingerprint was made by the defendant.
13	Let me explain real quickly. You see
14	me opening these? When I do my comparison, I make my
15	initials and my date so that I know that that is the
16	exhibit that I compared.
17	MR. HAYNES: Objection, nonresponsive.
18	THE COURT: Overruled.
19	Q. (BY MS. PALMER) So, on each one of these
20	items, you have made notes about your comparison?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	MS. PALMER: I pass the witness.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Haynes?
24	MR. HAYNES: Nothing from the witness.
25	THE COURT: May the witness be