## 1 KATHRYN HADEN-PINNERI, 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. MAGNESS: 5 Ο. Good morning. 6 Α. Good morning. 7 Please introduce yourself to our jury. Q. 8 Α. My name is Dr. Kathryn Haden-Pinneri. 9 And, Dr. Pinneri, who do you work for? Q. 10 Α. I work for the Harris County Institute 11 of Forensic Sciences or the Medical Examiner's 12 Office. 13 Do you need a moment to set up your Q. 14 files? 15 No, I'm good. Α. 16 All right. How long have you worked for Q. 17 the Medical Examiner's Office? I have been with them since June of 18 19 2004. 20 Tell the jury --Q. 2005, sorry. 21 Α. 22 Tell the jury about your educational Q. 23 background. 24 I went to college in Tennessee -- I'm 25 sorry, in Louisiana. I went to medical school at

- 1 the University of Texas Southwestern Medical School
- 2 in Dallas. I did my pathology residency in
- 3 Tennessee and then I did a year of fellowship
- 4 training at the Dallas County Medical Examiner's
- 5 Office.

- Q. Are you board certified?
- 7 A. Yes, I am.
  - Q. And in what area are you board
- 9 certified?
  - A. Anatomic and forensic pathology.
- 11 Q. Can you explain to the jury the
- 12 difference between clinical, anatomic and forensic
- 13 pathology?
- 14 A. Sure. Pathology encompasses all of
- 15 those sciences. Anatomical pathology is the branch
- of pathology that deals more with anatomic
- 17 | structures. Anytime someone undergoes a surgical
- 18 procedure where their gallbladder is removed, that
- 19 goes to an anatomic pathologist. So it's someone
- 20 who has experience dealing with diseases of the
- 21 body.
- 22 Clinical pathologist does more with
- 23 laboratory tests, blood banking, things like that.
- A forensic pathologist is a specialized
- 25 | branch of the field of pathology that applies the

basic work of pathology to individuals that have

died. So we look at disease and disease processes

that have occurred in someone to cause their death.

We also find traumatic events that may be the reason

the person died as well.

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. Can you walk them through the various places where you have been employed where you have utilized your forensic pathology expertise?
- Sure. I started doing forensic 9 Α. 10 pathology when I was doing my residency in 11 Tennessee. I worked as a medical examiner during my 12 Then I did my fellowship year in residency. 13 forensic pathology in Dallas County. And then I worked for two years as a medical examiner in 14 15 Northern Virginia and then I came down here to 16 Houston to work here.
  - Q. And what brought you to Houston?
  - A. The job pretty much.
  - Q. You have had a few unique experiences that I'd like for you to share with the jury because I find it very interesting. It's out of the ordinary.
  - My understanding is that you were part of the disaster mortuary response team?
    - A. Yes, I am a member of what's called

- DMORT, which is Disaster Mortuary Operational
  Response Team; and it's a Federal team of volunteers
  that get deployed whenever there is a mass fatality
  - Q. And what are some of the operations that you have assisted in in connection with that team?
  - A. Well, I joined in August of 2001. So September 11th, New York City, was my first deployment. After that I was deployed to an event in Georgia, a crematorium disaster where the person was not cremating the decedents. I've been to Haiti after the earthquake. Seems like I'm missing one but --
    - Q. Okay.

situation.

- A. -- that's the bulk of it.
- Q. Over the course of your professional career, any estimate as to how many autopsies you have performed?
- A. Oh, gosh, well over 1,500. That was the last time I counted, and that was a couple of years ago. So it's probably a couple thousand by now.
- Q. Explain to the jury what are the determining factors as to whether or not an autopsy is performed on a deceased in Harris County?
- A. Well, all medical examiners in the State

- of Texas are given their duties by Texas statute and that defines what types of cases that we have authorization over. And in the State of Texas anyone that dies of an unusual, unnatural,
- suspicious death, that falls under medical examiner jurisdiction.

So our office will be notified of those deaths and then we'll decide whether or not an autopsy needs to be performed based on the information that's given. If it's a traumatic death, such as a homicide or a suicide or even a motor vehicle accident, we do autopsies on those cases almost all the time. Unless it's a motor vehicle accident where they went to the hospital and injuries are documented and we may not do them then.

- Q. And I do want to make sure that the record is clear. My understanding is that you have published extensively in the field of pathology and forensic pathology?
  - A. Yes, I have.

2.3

- Q. Okay. And you have held faculty appointments in your field, correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Where were those appointments?
- A. I am a Clinical Associate Professor, I

- think is the term, at Baylor College of Medicine and the University of Texas Health Science Center.
  - Q. Do you also speak on -- speak professionally on your topic in your field?
    - A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. And any professional memberships or organizations that you are part of?
    - A. Yes.

2.3

- Q. Can you tell them?
- A. I'm a fellow member of the National Association of Medical Examiners. I'm also on several committees with that organization. And I am a fellow member of the American Academy of Forensic Sciences, which is one of our biggest organizations across the country. And I'm currently the Program Chair and Continuing Education Committee Member for the Pathology/Biology Section.
- Q. Now, you have given us an indication of the number of autopsies that you've performed over the course of your career. Specifically have you been recognized as an expert in your field within Harris County?
  - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. You described for us the various circumstances under which an autopsy would be

- performed. So I'd like to talk a little bit about the process. When -- when a deceased is brought in to the Institute, what mechanism of tracking is used to identify and follow that body through the autopsy process?
- A. Whenever we are notified of a death and we determine that it falls within the medical examiner jurisdiction, it is assigned a unique identifying case number which stays with them throughout the entire process as a decedent in our office as well as any associated paperwork.
- Q. And that unique number, that is never used again to identify another body?
  - A. That's correct.

1.3

2.3

- Q. And is the number in sequential order?
- A. Yes, it is starting with No. 1 for the first case of the year until 4,000 and something at the end of the year.
- Q. Okay. Let's go through the process, just the autopsy process itself. Once a body is brought into the Institute and is documented, what is the first step of the autopsy process?
- A. The first thing that we do when we have a decedent is take photographs and document the external appearance of the decedent.

1 MS. MAGNESS: May I approach the 2 witness, Judge? 3 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. (By Ms. Magness) I'm going to show you 4 Ο. 5 what's been marked for identification purposes as 6 State's Exhibits No. 86 through 106, and also 7 State's Exhibit 55. 8 Α. (Witness reviewing exhibits.) 9 Ο. Beginning with State's Exhibit 86 10 through State's Exhibit 106, are these photographs 11 of the autopsy performed on the body of Phillip 12 Anthony Little, Medical/Legal No. 113269? 1.3 Yes, they are. Α. 14 0. And are these photographs photographs 15 that you reviewed in evaluating this case and 16 forming your opinion as to the cause and manner of 17 Phillip Little's death? 18 Α. Yes. 19 State's Exhibit 55, would you examine 0. 20 that item, please? 21 Α. (Witness complies.) 22 Could you compare State's Exhibit 55 to Q. 23 the original autopsy report in this case? 24 Α. Yes, I have a copy.

Can you compare it to your copy?

25

0.

1 Α. Sure. 2 I just want to make sure there aren't Q. 3 any alterations or deletions and that it's an exact 4 duplicate. 5 Α. (Witness complies.) State's Exhibit 55 is an exact copy of 6 7 the original autopsy report. 8 Q. And where is the original report kept? 9 It's in our office, currently in my 10 office. 11 Ο. The autopsy report, first of all, is 12 that kept in the regular course of business of the 1.3 Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Are the entries that are made on the 16 autopsy report, are they made by a person who has personal knowledge of what's recorded? 17 18 And they're documented by someone with 19 personal knowledge, yes. 20 Ο. The information that's contained within 21 the report, is it documented at or near the time 22 that the autopsy is performed? 2.3 Yes, it is. Α. 24 Q. Okay.

MS. MAGNESS: Tendering State's

```
1
     Exhibit 55 and State's Exhibits 86 through 106 to
2
     opposing counsel and offering them into evidence.
 3
                       (State's Exhibits No. 55 and 86
 4
      through 106, Autopsy Report and Autopsy photographs,
     offered.)
 5
 6
                       MR. CORNELIUS: No objection to 55,
7
     Judge.
8
                       THE COURT: All right. 55 is
9
      admitted. You may publish.
10
                       (State's Exhibit No. 55 admitted.)
11
                       MR. CORNELIUS: I have no objection
12
     to the photographs either, Judge.
13
                       THE COURT: All right. They are
14
      admitted.
15
                       (State's Exhibits No. 86 through
16
     106 admitted.)
17
                       MS. MAGNESS: May I publish, Judge?
18
                       THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
19
             Ο.
                  (By Ms. Magness) I just want to begin
20
     with the autopsy report itself, State's Exhibit 55.
21
     The autopsy report, again, has the unique
     Medical/Legal No. 113269. November 3rd, 2011, what
22
23
     is that date indicative of?
24
             Α.
                 That is the date that the autopsy was
25
     performed.
```

Q. And it identifies the autopsy as performed on the body of Phillip Anthony Little.

Now, down at the bottom -- just to be clear, you were not the physician who performed this autopsy, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.3

- Q. When it shows here on the autopsy report that the autopsy was performed by a forensic pathology fellow, what exactly does that mean?
- A. That is someone that's in training in our office to become a forensic pathologist, so we're actually training them in the skills of forensic pathology.
- Q. And when it indicates "reviewed by Dr. Stephen Wilson," does that indicate that Dr. Stephen Wilson was there to, I guess, observe and assist the fellow as the fellow performed the autopsy?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And there is a second -- actually a second review by the Deputy Chief Medical Examiner, Dr. Dwayne Wolf. Is that indicative of him looking at the fellow's work and then Dr. Wilson's work and signing off and agreeing with the findings?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Now, in -- and I understand that neither the fellow or Dr. Wilson was available for trial today?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So were you asked to review both the autopsy reports and the photographs so that you could render an opinion in this case?
  - A. Yes, I was.
  - Q. And did you do that?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. When you say that the body comes in and is photographed documenting the condition of the body, let me start here with State's Exhibit No. 86. One of the first things that I wanted to point out here is that there is a placard that is depicted in these photographs and, in fact, in many of these photographs. What -- what is that -- what is that documenting?
- A. The placard is a number board with the case number, the date that the examination is being performed, as well as the pathologist that performed the examination. And we use that for these overall pictures because it's big and you can see it. In the smaller more close-up pictures, we use a smaller number because that would be too big for some of our

other photos. But that is the way that we designate
the case number so you could see it in photographs.

- Q. Now, obviously here we're documenting that there's evidence of medical intervention. Can you explain to the jury what the purpose of this bag over Phillip's hands are?
- A. Sure. We place paper bags over a decedent's hands when there's a suspicion that there was some foul play or inflicted trauma to the decedent such as a gunshot wound or something -- stab wounds or something like that in order to preserve any evidence that may be on that person's hands.
- Q. And then State's Exhibit No. 87 is just a photograph documenting the back of Phillip.

State's Exhibit No. 88 is the first photograph or one of the first photographs that we have depicting an actual injury. Tell the jury what they're looking at there.

- A. So on this photograph right above the smaller number, which I was describing earlier, there's a wound which is a gunshot wound, an entrance wound, which is right here that I circled in green (indicating).
  - Q. Once the photographs are taken to

document the condition that the body comes into the Institute in, is there any sort of cleaning process before the actual autopsy itself begins?

A. Yes.

- Q. And can you describe that for the jury, please?
- A. Sure. After we first examine the decedent, on gunshot wounds we tend to take a photograph of the wound. We call it dirty. So before it's been cleaned, in case there's any evidence of residue, firearm residue around the wound, then we will take the clothes off, take photographs of the clothing and clean the body so that we can see if there's any other wounds or any other scars, tattoos, things like that that we might -- that will be noted on our autopsy report.
- Q. And what substance is used to clean them?
  - A. Water.
- Q. You indicated that you document the condition of the clothing. Let me show you State's Exhibit No. 97. Is that what that photograph is doing is just showing the various items of clothing that Phillip was wearing when he came into the Institute?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there any point where the body is x-rayed?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And what is the purpose of x-raying the body?
  - A. The x-rays help us localize any bullets that may still be in the body.
  - Q. Once the body is cleaned and the next step of the autopsy process has begun -- which is I'm assuming the secondary external examination?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And what -- what is it that you're looking for during this portion of the examination?
  - A. So once we have the decedent cleaned, we will again look for trauma that may have been obscured by overlying blood. We'll document it, we'll measure it, and again photograph it in the clean state.
  - Q. State's Exhibit No. 92 is Phillip in a cleaned state and is the first indication that we have here of an injury. I'm going to show State's Exhibit No. 95. It's a little more -- a more close-up view of that injury, and then, finally, State's Exhibit No. 96 is a close-up of that wound.

1 What kind of injury is that? 2 This is a gunshot wound of entrance. Α. 3 So, it's the entry point for the bullet. And was there any corresponding exit 4 Ο. wound on Phillip's body? 5 No. 6 Α. 7 That gunshot wound, when Phillip was Ο. 8 x-rayed, did the x-rays indicate any projectile that 9 had remained in his body? 10 Yes, it did. Α. 11 Can you tell the jury approximately Ο. 12 where that injury, that wound was located? 13 The entrance wound is located on the 14 left lower back still overlying the rib area on the 15 back. 16 Q. Okay. Other than that gunshot wound, 17 did you -- I'm sorry. Is there any indication 18 during the autopsy that there were any other 19 external injuries that were observed that would have 20 contributed to his death? 21 Α. No, none that would have contributed to 22 his death. 23 After the external examination, is there Ο. 24 then an internal examination of the body?

25

Α.

Yes.

Q. Now, in a case like this when we're dealing with a gunshot wound, it seems relatively obvious as to what caused the death. Why is it necessary to then go one step further and do an internal examination?

- A. Well, the internal examination is very important in these cases so that we can see exactly what was injured. When we do that, it will give us an idea of how immediately incapacitating the injury may have been. If it did, in fact, cause the death of the individual. So it's very important that we track the bullet path as it goes through the body.
- Q. And explain to the jury the process of conducting the internal examination.
- A. When we do the internal examination, we reflect the skin through what's called a Y incision and we remove the front part of the chest plate.

  And by doing that we can pretty much look at every organ in the internal body cavity with the exception of the head.
- So once we take that and expose the organs, then we can look for any trauma to those organs and follow the bullet path if there are those.
  - Q. During the -- when you reviewed the

findings of the internal examination, does the
autopsy report indicate the path that the bullet or
projectile traveled through Phillip's body?

A. Yes.

2.3

- Q. And will you describe that for the jury, please?
  - A. Sure.

So the bullet enters the left mid-back area, mid to lower back, and after going through the skin, it goes through the muscle that's between or just below the ninth rib. It goes through the diaphragm, which is the muscle that enables us to breathe. It goes through the left kidney, the stomach, the liver. It goes back into the -- through the diaphragm, goes through the heart and then it strikes the inner surface of the sternum or the breast bone, which is where part of the bullet was recovered.

- Q. Now, in describing the path of the bullet, you've indicated multiple organs that that bullet traveled through. As a result of that trauma, is there going to be significant blood loss?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. The projectile came to rest underneath the sternum. Is there an indication in the autopsy

report that that projectile was recovered from Phillip's body?

A. Yes.

- Q. And I'm going to show you specifically State's Exhibit No. 103 and then a little bit closer view, State's Exhibit 104. Is that the projectile that was recovered?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, there was an indication in the autopsy report that there was also a fragment that was recovered from Phillip's shirt. And I'm going to show you State's Exhibit No. 105. Is that the fragment that the autopsy report is referencing?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And I think that's further documented in State's Exhibit 101 and State's Exhibit No. 102.

I'm going to show you what's been introduced as State's Exhibit -- that's State's Exhibit 98; and this originally, I guess, was a white T-shirt. There is also a red shirt that Phillip was wearing. Let me show you State's Exhibit No. 100.

In looking at these defects in his clothing, is that consistent with the gunshot wound that you have previously described?

1 Α. Yes.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

- 2 When you reviewed the findings from the 3 internal examination, did you find any other sign of disease or defect that would have contributed to his death? 5
  - Α. No, I did not.
    - As part of the autopsy procedure, is Q. there -- are there samples taken, blood samples taken from the deceased in order to determine if they have any drugs or alcohol in their system at the time of death?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 1.3 And is that toxicology report included Q. 14 as part of the official autopsy report?
  - Yes, it is. Α.
  - Ο. And State's Exhibit 55, the autopsy report, also has a toxicology report. Did you review this report?
- 19 Α. Yes, I did.
- 20 Ο. Just in terms of the findings, it says 21 that the specimen that was used here was femoral 22 blood?
- 2.3 Yes. Α.
- 24 Ο. Are there -- are there different 25 specimens that you can use and how is it that you

come to decide what sample, representative sample to use?

2.3

A. Well, in the course of the autopsy we do collect blood in addition to urine, if there is any, bile from the gallbladder, we take some liver, any stomach contents; but typically the best sample to use is blood. We like to get it from a peripheral source, meaning away from the heart and lungs because the closer you get to those organs the more -- or the less reliable your results are because there might be some diffusion contamination from the heart to the lungs as the drugs are going through those organs.

So peripheral femoral blood is our preferable source for toxicology testing.

- Q. And when the toxicology testing was performed on the sample taken from Phillip, it appears that he was not under the influence of any drugs or alcohol, prescription drugs or street drugs for that matter?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. So he sustains this gunshot wound and associated with the injury there is a significant amount of blood loss. Do you have any opinion one way or the other as to the amount of

time that he would have been able to maintain consciousness?

- A. I can't put an exact minute to it, but I can tell you that the injuries that he sustained were not immediately incapacitating. There would be a period of time where he could still do something such as walk, talk, run, you know, drive short distance with these injuries because as the blood is being pumped through the body he's still getting oxygen to his brain because the way the defects are, the blood is pooling inside the body and so it takes time for that to build up. So there would be a period of time for him to be able to function.
- Q. So, in other words, his death was not instantaneous?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And there was nothing in terms of drug or alcohol within his system that would have numbed or dulled his ability to be aware of his surroundings or to feel the sensations of pain?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Having reviewed the autopsy report and the photographs that were taken during the autopsy report, do you have an opinion as to the cause of Phillip Little's death?

1 Α. Yes, I do. 2 And what is that opinion? Q. 3 The cause of death is penetrating Α. gunshot wound of the torso. 4 5 Ο. And did you form an opinion as to the 6 manner of death of Phillip Little's death? 7 Α. Yes, I did. Q. And what is your opinion? 9 The manner of death is homicide. Α. 10 MS. MAGNESS: I'll pass this 11 witness. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius? 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CORNELIUS: 14 Dr. Haden-Pinneri, we have met before. 15 Ο. 16 My name is Skip Cornelius, but we've never talked 17 about this case before, right? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Q. Just a couple questions for you. 20 The fragment that was recovered from the 21 shirt, was there any attempt to determine if that 22 was a copper jacket or a lead fragment from the 23 projectile itself? 24 From the photos it did not look like 25 there was any sort of jacketing on it but all I know

```
1
      is that it was described as a metal fragment but I
      don't know if they did any further testing or
 2
 3
      anything on it.
             Ο.
                  And the projectile that was found in the
 4
 5
     body, was that lead or do you know?
                  It looks like it's just a lead fragment.
 6
7
                  Okay. The path of the projectile,
             0.
8
     particularly whether it went up, down or on a plane,
      what was discovered?
9
10
                  The wound path goes from the left side
             Α.
11
      of the body to the right side. It goes from the
12
     back of the body towards the front, and it goes
1.3
      upward. And it does mention that the jacket from
14
      the -- under the sternum was not jacketed.
15
                 Okay. So the bullet was traveling --
             0.
16
      and this is from head to toe --
17
             Α.
                  Yes.
18
             Q.
                 -- up?
19
             Α.
                  Correct.
20
                       MR. CORNELIUS: Okay. I don't have
21
     any other questions, Judge.
22
                       THE COURT: Ms. Magness?
23
                       MS. MAGNESS: Nothing further, Your
24
      Honor.
```

THE COURT: May this witness be

```
1
      excused?
2
                      MS. MAGNESS: Yes, sir.
 3
                      MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, sir.
                      THE COURT: All right. Doctor,
 4
 5
      you're free to go. Thank you.
 6
                      THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7
                      THE COURT: All right. We're going
8
     to go ahead and take our lunch break, folks. And so
9
      I think probably one of you has a hurt foot or
10
     something and is going to hang back. But we'll go
11
     ahead and we're going to take you out to eat. I
12
     think we're going to take you across the street and
13
     bring your lunch back so it won't take too long to
14
     eat lunch today. So we'll go ahead and take our
15
     lunch break. Take your time eating and we'll be
16
     back after that.
17
                      THE BAILIFF: All rise for the
18
      jury.
19
                       (Jury retired.)
20
                       (Lunch recess.)
21
                      THE COURT: Both sides have been
22
     provided a copy of the Jury Charge. Included in the
2.3
     Jury Charge is capital murder, the lesser of felony
24
     murder. There is an instruction on the Defendant's
25
     right not to testify. Obviously the State has not
```

```
1
      rested yet. If the Defense puts on a case and the
 2
      Defendant testifies, we will remove that page.
 3
                       In addition, there's a page on
      extraneouses since there was -- there is testimony
 4
 5
     and I anticipate there will be testimony from the
 6
      next witness that the statement was taken -- there's
7
     already some testimony anyway, but that the
8
      Defendant was in custody. What he was in custody
9
      for, the jury does not know; but they do know that
10
     he was in the Harris County Jail. I believe one of
     the witnesses this morning mentioned he had
11
12
     warrants. So, the extraneous charge is in there as
1.3
     well. The other language in here seems to be the
14
      standard jury instructions.
15
                       So, is there any additions or
16
      subtractions by the State?
17
                       MS. MAGNESS: No, Your Honor.
18
                       THE COURT: All right. And,
19
     Mr. Cornelius, from the Defense?
20
                       MR. CORNELIUS: Judge, we're
21
     requesting a charge on manslaughter, which as the
22
     Court well knows, is essentially recklessly causing
2.3
     the death of an individual. We're basing that
24
      charge on -- even though Cisneros hasn't testified
```

and the confession hasn't come in but I know you've

```
1
      heard it already -- on the Defendant saying in his
 2
      statement he did not intend to kill the person,
 3
      shooting at the tires, shooting at the car,
      something like that. We think that creates enough
 4
 5
     evidence in the case to request a charge on
 6
     manslaughter.
7
                       THE COURT: Based on the testimony
8
     that I've heard -- and, yes, I've already heard
9
      Sergeant Cisneros testify in the suppression hearing
10
     and I've heard his statement -- I don't believe that
11
     the evidence, at least at this point -- if after he
12
      testifies something changes, we can revisit this;
1.3
     but at this time I do not believe that the lesser of
14
     manslaughter is appropriate but rather the lesser of
15
     felony murder is more appropriate based on the way
16
     the testimony has gone and I think that both sides
17
     anticipate it will go.
18
                       MR. CORNELIUS: I understand that,
19
     but we are requesting the lesser of felony murder.
20
                       THE COURT: Okay. Yes, and that's
21
      in there. Yeah.
22
                       MR. CORNELIUS: In addition to
23
     that, though, just for the record -- I know you
24
     understand, but just for the record --
```

THE COURT:

Yes.

```
1
                       MR. CORNELIUS: -- I'm requesting
2
     the manslaughter charge.
 3
                       And a note to appellate counsel:
 4
      Court reporters generally don't record the statement
     as it's being played to the jury and I'm sure that's
 5
 6
      not going to happen in this case and I'm not
7
      requesting that it happen, but appellate counsel is
8
      responsible to make sure that that recording of that
9
      statement, which should go with the record, but to
10
     make sure that it goes with the record so that the
11
     briefing attorneys that work for the Court of
12
     Appeals will actually hear it. Because that's the
13
     whole basis for requesting the charge of
14
     manslaughter is what's said by the Defendant in his
15
      interview.
16
                       THE COURT: Anything from the
17
      State?
18
                       MS. MAGNESS: No, Judge, I don't
19
     believe the Defendant's entitled to the lesser of
20
     manslaughter.
21
                       THE COURT: Okay. All right.
22
                       MS. MAGNESS:
                                     There is one other
23
     thing that I do want the record to reflect, though.
24
     Prior to beginning trial or testimony, we did have a
25
     hearing outside the presence of the jury as to the
```

admissibility of the Defendant's statement. The Court has ruled that the Defendant's statement is admissible. The State is going to introduce the Defendant's recorded statement through this next witness, Sergeant Cisneros.

2.3

In discussing off the record the Jury Charge and the special instructions that are requested by each side, Counsel and I did discuss whether or not the Charge should include a 38.22 or 38.23 charge as to the voluntariness of the Defendant's statement, that being a fact issue that the jury could consider in their deliberations.

It's my understanding that

Mr. Cornelius has made the strategic decision not to

include that within the Jury Charge and that he just

plans to go forward with the Charge as it currently

stands.

THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius?

MR. CORNELIUS: I'll speak to that on the record. Everything that she just said is true. I think I probably could persuade the Judge to put a 38.22 and 23 instruction, not that I think that I've raised it. There's not a scintilla of evidence in here that the statement wasn't voluntarily given. So if you didn't give it to me,

```
1
      it probably wouldn't be error. I'm -- I don't think
2
      I've raised it, and it's not our issue in the case.
 3
      We're -- strategically we're banking on the fact
 4
      that he cooperated with the police and hoping in
 5
      hopes that that helps us.
 6
                       THE COURT: It's my recollection
7
      from the hearing that we had yesterday that it's
8
      very -- it's fairly clear and unambiguous that this
9
      was a voluntary statement. And while it was in the
10
      jail and in custody, that the Defendant was very
11
      cooperative; and some of those questions were made
12
     very clear by Cisneros, I think, at the beginning
13
      and the end of the statement.
14
                       Unless there's anything else either
15
      side needs or would like to put on the record, we'll
16
     bring in the jury.
17
                       MS. MAGNESS: No, Your Honor.
18
                       MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, sir.
19
                       THE COURT: All right.
20
                       (Brief pause.)
                       THE BAILIFF: All rise for the
21
22
      jury.
2.3
                       (Jury seated.)
24
                       THE COURT: All right. Y'all may
25
     be seated.
```