MR. BALDASSANO: May I proceed, Your 1 2 Honor? 3 THE COURT: You may proceed. 4 RUBEN HERNANDEZ, 5 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BALDASSANO: 8 Please introduce yourself to the jurors. 9 My name is Ruben Hernandez, Senior Investigator A . 10 for the Houston Arson Bureau. 11 Q. And how long have you been with Houston Arson? 12 A . Houston Arson, I've been six years. 13 And have you been -- were you a firefighter Q. 14 before that? 15 Yes, I was a firefighter for eight years. A . 16 And can you tell us a little bit about that as 17 being a firefighter? Where were you a firefighter? 18 Α. Okay. I was a firefighter at Station 40, 19 southeast part of Houston for most of my career. And 20 then from there I went to Station 6, which is on Washington Avenue. 21 22 Q. All right. And were you an EMT? 23 Yes. Actually, I had a paramedic 24 certification, which is your highest pre-hospital 25 certification you can have.

Q. And how long were you a paramedic?

- A. For eight years. Actually, I'm still a paramedic now, still under certification.
- Q. And so after being a firefighter and, I guess, simultaneously being a paramedic, how do you become an arson investigator?
- A. Deals with promotional exams actually. You graduate from the fire academy. And from there you promote into engineer operator, which is your next promotion. And then you have to promote to your next step, which either it's a captain at the fire station or the equivalent, an investigator. And I chose to be an investigator.
- Q. And so how do you make the jump from being a firefighter captain at the firehouse to being an investigator with the Arson Bureau?
- A. It takes -- you have to read a series of four or five books, policies and procedures; and you're tested among other coworkers ranging up to about 300 guys who want the job. And it's a very small division; so the lists that we're chosen from is a small division, a small list.
  - Q. Just quys?
  - A. Guys and girls.
- Q. Do you have any supervisors that are women?

A. Yes, we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And right now, I think you said, you're a supervisor there?
- A. I'm actually -- now I'm actually promoted senior investigator. I'm one step above your investigator. I'm a supervisor.
  - Q. And how many people do you supervise?
  - A. I supervise five.
- Q. And what's your day-to-day job for you? What do you do on a regular basis?
- A. Okay. Being I'm a southwest -- sorry -- southeast sector of Houston, any fire that comes in the southeast sector, I review the case. I read the report. And dependent on solvability factors, I will assign those out to investigators to follow up on.

Besides that, I also coordinate and assist in fire investigations. I help an investigator in anything he might need. We conduct search warrants, arrest warrants, anything of that factor.

- Q. So are you also a peace officer in Texas?
- A. I'm also a police officer, yes.
  - Q. How long have you been a police officer?
- A. Been a police officer since 2005.
- Q. Back in October of 2007, were you a supervisor back then?

1 In 2007, I was not. Α. 2 And did you have anything to do with the 0. 3 initial investigation of the scene out at 7200 Rampart 4 that's the subject of this trial? 5 No, sir. A . 6 0. And were you working at arson then? 7 Yes, sir. A . 8 Q. And were you in that section? 9 I was assigned to the north sector of Houston Α. 10 at that time. 11 So were you kept up to speed on what was going 12 on in any way in this fire investigation, the initial 13 part? 14 No, sir. A . 15 0. How did you come to get involved in looking at 16 this file? 17 Α. In mid 2008, I moved to the south sector and I 18 was assigned the case. I was -- mid 2008 through early 19 2009, I conducted a series of fire investigations that 20 continued through that period. Through that investigation, I learned of the defendant. In March, I 21 22 was instructed by my chief investigator to --23 MR. BARROW: Your Honor, I'm going to 24 object to any hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

25

1 THE WITNESS: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. (By Mr. Baldassano) Well, how -- did you take it on your own, or did somebody assign you to the case?
  - A. It was assigned to me.
  - Q. And who assigned you the case?
  - A. Chef Investigator Gonzales.
- Q. And so, what date was that again that you started to first sort of look at this case?
  - A. In March, 2009.
- Q. And to follow through with the assignment given by your supervisor, what's the first thing you did?
- A. I reviewed the case. I looked at all the photos. I spoke to Investigator Garcia, who was the original assigned investigator. I spoke to Investigator Hicks.
- Q. All right. Did you read their offense reports and supplements?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. All right. So once you took -- you talked to the two investigators and you read their material and looked at the photographs, what's the next step you took to further investigate this?
- A. Well, I asked Investigator Garcia to join me and go back to 7203 Rampart so we can re-interview some of the guys, maybe some new information we can obtain

## RUBEN HERNANDEZ - October 5, 2011 Direct Examination by Mr. Baldassano

```
1
   for the case.
2
           Has that ever happened before, where you go
3
   back to try to re-interview someone to try to get more
4
   information?
5
       A. Absolutely.
6
       0.
            So when was that?
7
            An example?
       Α.
8
       Q.
            No. I'm sorry. When did you go back? I think
9
   you mentioned it already.
            In I believe March 30th, 2009.
10
       A .
11
            So you and Juan Garcia go back to 7200 Rampart
       Q.
12
   to go and see what you can learn?
13
       Α.
            Correct.
            And where do you go, and who do you talk to?
14
15
            I spoke to Mohamed Sonny. He's the owner of
16
   7209 Rampart. And I also spoke to Efrain Mendoza at
17
   7203 Rampart.
18
       Q.
            Is that the same Efrain Mendoza who testified
19
   in this case?
20
       Α.
           Yes, sir.
21
            When you talk to witnesses, do they always know
       0.
22
   what's important in a case?
23
                 MR. BARROW: Your Honor, I'm going to
24
   object. Calls for speculation as to what a witness
25
   knows.
```

## RUBEN HERNANDEZ - October 5, 2011 Direct Examination by Mr. Baldassano

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 (By Mr. Baldassano) Well, how many people have 0. 3 you interviewed in cases over the past since you've been 4 an arson investigator? A. Over hundreds. 5 6 0. Has it ever come to pass in your experience in 7 those hundreds of people that sometimes people tell you 8 new and different things when you talk to them another 9 time? 10 A. Absolutely. 11 Is that uncommon or common? 0. 12 A . That's common. 13 Q. When you -- you talked to Efrain and Mohamed. 14 Anybody else out there? 15 On that particular day it was just them two. A. 16 Okay. And without going into what they said, 17 did you learn any more new details about the case or 18 anything new about the case? 19 I learned that there might have been some envy A. 20 with the defendant and Mr. Mendoza. 21 And at that time, did Efrain Mendoza tell you 0. 22 anything about what the defendant had said? 23 MR. BARROW: Your Honor, I'm going to 24 object to hearsay. 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

## RUBEN HERNANDEZ - October 5, 2011 Direct Examination by Mr. Baldassano

```
1
                 MR. BALDASSANO: Well, I wasn't really
2
   asking for what he said, Judge. I was asking if he said
3
   it. But I'll move on, and I'll let -- I'll move on.
4
           (By Mr. Baldassano) Okay. So you talked to
5
   those two. Did you talk to anybody else on March 30th
6
   of 2009?
7
            Yes, I did.
       A .
8
       0.
            All right. And who else did you talk to?
9
            I spoke to Martin at Martin's Body Shop. Can I
       A .
10
   look at my report?
11
       Q.
            Sure.
12
       Α.
            I spoke with Filipe at a body shop on Alder
13
   Street.
14
            At that point, did you tape-record anybody,
       0.
15
   take any written statements, anything like that?
16
       A .
            At that point, no.
17
            So now we're talking about March 30th of '09?
       0.
18
       Α.
            Correct.
19
            What did you do after March 30th of 2009, in
       0.
20
   respect to the investigation?
21
            On July 19, 2010, I went back 72 -- to the
22
   Rampart address; and I spoke with Mr. Mendoza, Efrain
23
   Mendoza.
24
       Q. Let me stop you there. Based on the reports
25
   that you read, the interviews that you conducted up to
```

that point, the examination of the photos, did you see any red flags in the case, anything that made you suspicious of anything?

Yes, I did. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And what was that? 0.
- Okay. The original offense report, there were several indicators that might raise concern. One thing is that the defendant made a phone call. He notified someone about the fire. That was one. The other was that in the offense report, it stated that the doctor said --

MR. BARROW: Your Honor, I'm going to object to what he's going to quote out of the offense report. That's not in evidence, and that's hearsay.

MR. BALDASSANO: Judge, he's telling the jury what the red flags, as an investigator, that he saw in the case up until this point of his investigation.

THE COURT: Is he reading from the report? MR. BALDASSANO: He doesn't even have the report, just the things that made it important to him, as an investigator of the case that he was flushing out.

MR. BARROW: But he's getting that information from a report that's not in evidence that's written by somebody else; and that's hearsay, Your Honor.