Nileeya Edmondson - October 15, 2014 Redirect Examination by Ms. Larsen

```
THE COURT: I understand that; but since
 1
 2
     I don't know what she's going to say any more than you
 3
     do, let's get there before we argue about it.
                     (Witness sworn.)
 4
 5
                     THE COURT: Have a seat, Doctor.
 6
                     State, you may proceed.
 7
                     (Hearing outside presence of jury.)
 8
                          DANIELLE MADERA,
 9
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
10
11
     BY MS. LARSEN:
12
         Q
              Dr. Madera, good afternoon. Could you please
     introduce yourself for the court reporter and for the
13
14
     record.
              My name is Dr. Danielle Madera.
15
         Α
16
         Q
              And Dr. Madera, how are you currently employed?
17
              I am staff psychologist at the Harris County
         А
18
     Children's Assessment Center.
19
              And how long have you been working there?
         0
20
         Α
              Since 2006.
              And what do you do at the C.A.C.? As a staff
21
22
     psychologist, what are your day-to-day duties?
23
              I conduct individual and group therapy.
24
     our domestic human trafficking program for young girls
25
     that have been prostituted here in Houston. I conduct
```

psychological evaluations, supervise predoctoral 1 psychology interns, provide community trainings. 2 Ι think that's about it. 3 And Dr. Madera, can you tell us a little bit 4 5 about your educational background? 6 Α Sure. I received my bachelor of science in 7 2000 from the University of Florida in Gainesville, 8 Florida, took a year off and was a child protection 9 worker, went back to the University of Florida for a PhD 10 program and graduated 2007 with my PhD. I was placed 11 with a internship here in Harris County C.A.C. and I did 12 my postdoctoral work here and was subsequently hired on 13 as a staff psychologist. 14 0 And do you belong to any professional organization? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 And what are those? 0 18 The American Psychological Association and the 19 Texas Psychological Association. 20 Q Do you hold any specific licenses or certifications? 21 22 Yes, I'm licensed in Texas as a psychologist, 23 as well as Florida. 24 MS. OLVERA: Judge, we'll stipulate to 25 the doctor's credentials.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's just jump to the 1 opinion. 2 MS. LARSEN: Yes, Judge. 3 (BY MS. LARSEN) Dr. Madera, as a clinical 4 5 psychologist at the Children's Assessment Center, do you have an opportunity to work with small children? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Would that include children who are under the age of 10? 9 10 Α Yes. In what capacity do you currently work with 11 those children? 12 13 Currently I don't have any on my caseload. I 14 have worked many years with younger children, individual therapy. 15 16 And when you talk about individual therapy, 17 what types of -- what type of therapy are you conducting with these children? 18 19 Depending on the age and the communication level of the child, with younger children, we would 20 conduct play therapy; with the child between 7 and 10 21 22 that may be more verbal and more able to talk, we do 23 more cognitive behavior therapy. 24 And as you have had an opportunity to work with 25 children under the age of 10 in a one-on-one

psychological basis, have you had an opportunity to meet with children who have been victims of sexual abuse?

- A Yes.
- Q On many or few occasions?
- A Many.

- Q And as a expert in the field of clinical psychology and as someone who has a lot of experience working with small children under the age of 10 who have been victims of sexual abuse, have you been able to identify certain characteristics associated with those children who've been victims?
  - A Yes.
- Q And can you tell us a little bit about those characteristics?
- A Yes. Generally the characteristics fall into four main categories. You don't need all of them to have been sexually abused, and you could exhibit all of them and not have been sexually abused. The first category would be depression. That can look vastly different depending on the child's gender and their age. It could be behaviors such as aggression, maybe in smaller children, withdrawal, not showing interest in activities, crying all the time.
- The next category would be anxiety. That can look like hypervigilance, problems sleeping at

night, nightmares, flashbacks. 1 The next category would be interpersonal difficulties; so, kids that usually don't get along with 3 other kids, have a hard time making friendships. 4 5 The last category would be sexualized So, with younger children, that could look 6 behaviors. 7 like excessive masturbation, especially in places, 8 public day care, that sort of thing. With older 9 children, it could be promiscuity; or the other end of 10 the spectrum, it could be children not wanting any focus 11 on their sexuality, so maybe wearing baggy clothes or, 12 you know, not wanting any attention at all. 13 0 And Dr. Madera, you told us that with regard to 14 those characteristics, a child could display all of them or none of them? 15 16 Α Yes. Or some of them? 17 0 18 Α Right. 19 Dr. Madera, as a person who has worked with 20 children who have been victims of sexual abuse, have you experienced children who display a range of those 21 22 symptoms? 23 Α Yes. 24 I want to focus your attention on younger Q 25 children, specifically children who are ages 5 and 6.

A Okay.

Q When we're dealing with a 5 or a 6-year-old with regard to their expression of sexual abuse, how does that differ from older children?

A Again, it depends on the child's cognitive ability, their expressive language ability, and if they're an active disclosure at the time they're actually interviewed. But, you know, children of that age usually don't have a high attention span, their memory may be more fragmented than an older child of that age.

- Q And what do you mean by fragmentation?
- A A child that young having been traumatized may recall salient incidents that really stick out to them, whether it's a person or a place, it may be the first time or the last time, but it's not always necessarily every time that they remember.
- Q And has it been your experience when you were talking about -- when, I believe, you said something about whether they're in a timing of disclosure. What do you mean by that?

A I mean a lot of times younger children especially will come to the C.A.C. for a forensic interview after there's been some sort of S.T.D. or some sort of medical findings or maybe a caregiver walks in

on a child versus a child that's actually been in active disclosure and has sought out an adult and disclosed the sexual abuse. So, those can look vastly different if the child's not ready to disclose yet.

Q And tell us: In your experience as a professional working with children who've been victims of sexual abuse, do children always disclose in the same manner?

A No.

Q Can you tell us a little bit about what we call maybe "testing the waters"?

A Oftentimes there is a delay in disclosure. So, that means any amount of time between when the sexual abuse first occurred and when the child actually discloses. And oftentimes, you'll hear children talk about trying to tell a parent, trying to tell a teacher, thinking that they were communicating but the parent not hearing it. So, children oftentimes will test the waters with partial disclosure where they're disclosing a small amount of what happened to them to gauge if the parent's going to believe them and what the repercussions are going to be about disclosure.

Q When we talk about partial disclosure, does that just apply to a parent?

A I'm sorry?

- Q Would that concept only apply to a child who's testing the waters or partially disclosing to a parent or a loved one?
  - A No, it could be anyone.
- Q Could that also apply to somebody who's giving them counseling services?
  - A Yes.

- Q As somebody who's worked as a counselor and a psychologist with small children, has it been your experience that that partial disclosure concept could apply to your therapy sessions?
  - A Yes.
- Q So, it is possible -- is it possible for a child hypothetically, let's say a 6-year-old, comes to you on the first meeting and you ask questions and they tell you X, Y, and Z, but then the next time you meet with them, they add W.
  - A That oftentimes happens.
- Q Is it possible by time you're finished interviewing a child, potentially over the course of several years, that you could have the whole alphabet, A to Z, of what happened?
- A Right. We do a clinical interview at the beginning of therapy; but then over the course of therapy, it's oftentimes that more disclosures will come

1 out.

- Q Would that same concept apply, in your professional opinion, Dr. Madera, to more than one person speaking with a child over a period of time? Would they maybe disclose one thing to one person, maybe disclose something different to the next, and maybe something a little different to the next person?
  - A That could happen.
- Q Is it also possible that they disclose all of it at one time and then later on choose not to ever share it again?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Is that specific to any age group, Dr. Madera?
- 14 A No.
  - Q Can you tell us, Dr. Madera, with a child who was ages maybe 5 or 6 at the time of the initial outcry and that child has grown, have you noticed in your professional experience a change as a child, female specifically, grows, their reluctancy or willingness to speak about their sexual abuse?
  - A I find that it often depends on the amount of therapy they receive, the amount of communication in the family unit. So if a child -- years have gone by and they've never talked about the incident since the initial disclose, oftentimes children won't remember the

details because it hasn't been talked about or processed in a therapeutic environment.

Q So, Dr. Madera, in a hypothetical situation where a 5-year-old or a 6-year-old outcries and receives some initial counseling but doesn't speak about it for several years and then is asked several years later to speak about it again, would it be consistent with your experience that that child may have difficulty remembering what they initially spoke about?

A Yes.

Q Now, Dr. Madera, I want to ask you specifically about this case. Have you had an opportunity to review that initial forensic interview of Nileeya Edmondson?

A Yes.

Q And have you also had an opportunity to observe her testimony in this trial?

A Yes.

Q So, Dr. Madera, having had spoken just a few minutes ago about some of those differences that you might see between a child's initial disclosure and what they would maybe disclose several years later when somebody different is asking them the questions, did you see that those observations of specifically Nileeya Edmondson was consistent with your experience dealing with children who have been victims of sexual abuse?

1 Α Yes. 2 MS. OLVERA: Object to that as leading. THE COURT: Overruled. 3 (BY MS. LARSEN) Dr. Madera, what is your 4 0 5 opinion with regard to this -- with regard to Nileeya 6 Edmondson and how she compares to your experience working with children who suffer from sexual abuse? 7 What I heard her disclose on the forensic 8 Α interview for a child of her age was extremely detailed. 9 She provided a lot of sensory details of incidents that 10 11 happened and was able to correct the interviewer when 12 she needed to. It was a very long interview compared to 13 children her age and her attention span. So, it 14 compared in that way to other children her age. And Dr. Madera, with the regard to her ability 15 16 to testify in court, have you watched children testify in court before? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 And do all children react the same way to 20 testifying in the courtroom? 21 Α No. 22 When you observed Nileeya Edmondson's testimony 23 in court, what observations did you make as a 24 professional in this category? 25 Α I would say that she had very flat emotion or

affect, so she wasn't as emotional as maybe a person would expect her to be discussing incidents of this nature. Children react very differently to feeling anxiety and the effects of trauma over time.

Q So, when you speak of a "flat affect" or someone who doesn't show emotion, is that inconsistent with a child who's been abused?

A No.

Q Have you seen that previously with children who have been victims of sexual abuse?

A Yes.

Q Does that go back to when you were speaking about characteristics of children who've been victims of child abuse that they can kind of react in many different ways?

A Yes.

Q So, Dr. Madera, I want to ask you about grooming. Can you tell us just briefly what the concept of grooming means in the context of sexual abuse of children.

A Grooming is any behavior with the later intent to sexually abuse a child. It often is a gradual increase in touching a child, normalizing sexual behaviors, whether that's through pornography, walking in on a child in the restroom, boundary violations, it

could be special attention, special gifts, anything that's done with, again, the later intent to sexually abuse that child.

Q Would it be uncommon in a grooming situation for a child who's of the ages maybe 5 or 6 to actually appreciate the attention or like being near their perpetrator prior to the sexual abuse?

A It's not uncommon.

Q Is that part and parcel of the grooming process that the child would return some of that affection?

A Yes, especially considering 90 percent of offenders are loved ones or family members. So, children are often conflicted because they still love that family member and want them to be a part of their life. They just wish the sexual abuse would stop.

Q When dealing with a small child, and specifically when we're talking about a loved one, let's say hypothetically, it were a parent's significant other, with regard to a child wanting to not talk about sexual abuse, how does that factor in, that special relationship within the family and disclosure?

A Depending on the actual circumstance, there could be threats, the child could understand the dynamics of the family and maybe this is mom's first substantial relationship, maybe they don't want mom to

be upset or lose her loved one. Children are very 1 receptive to the dynamics in the home, whether it's 2 financial or otherwise and scared of the ramifications 3 if they do disclose. 4 5 MS. LARSEN: Judge, I'll pass the 6 witness. 7 THE COURT: For the limited purpose of 8 voir dire conducted under Rule 705, does the defense 9 have any questions? 10 MS. OLVERA: Just specifically. CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MS. OLVERA: 12 13 0 I really -- Dr. Madera, I was just wondering 14 exactly what testimony the State was going to offer for you to render an opinion about any witness in this case. 15 16 So I think the only question based on what the 17 prosecutor has asked you is did you have an opinion 18 based on your observations of the child testifying today 19 on her flat -- what did you call that? 20 Α Flat affect. Flat affect. And what is your opinion on that? 21 0 22 Α She had flat affect on the stand. Okay. What other opinion are you going to 23 24 testify to specifically to this case? 25 MS. LARSEN: Judge, again, this witness

```
is only going to answer questions that I ask.
 1
                    THE COURT: I understand but.
 2
                    MS. LARSEN: And just so I'm clear,
 3
     because my understanding of the purpose of this was that
 4
 5
     they did not wish for me to elicit any specific
 6
     questions from this witness with regard to credibility
 7
     of the victim. So I narrowly tailored my questions to
 8
     broad categories, but I don't intend to ask her any
 9
     other opinion questions with regard to this child victim
10
     unless I'm given the leeway to do so.
11
                    MS. OLVERA:
                                 That is just confirming
12
     exactly what I'm trying to confirm and that's it.
13
     That's the only opinion.
14
                    THE COURT: So as long as she testifies
     as to what she's testified to here --
15
16
                    MS. OLVERA: If the only opinion is going
17
     to be to her flat affect as you watched her testimony,
     then that's --
18
19
                    MS. MEADOR: And that wasn't her only
20
     opinion. The video and the testimony were consistent
     with children who have been abused.
21
22
                    THE COURT: That's her opinion.
23
                    MS. LARSEN: I believe she did testify to
24
     the level of detail that she observed and didn't observe
25
     and everything that she said here on the stand today,
```

```
Judge, is what I intend to put in front of the jury.
 1
                    THE COURT: Based on her observations and
 2
 3
     training, the complainant's testimony and demeanor is
     consistent with that of a child victim of sexual
 4
 5
     assault. That's going to be her opinion.
 6
                    Right? I don't want to put words in your
 7
     mouth.
 8
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   Right.
 9
                    THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury.
10
                    (Jury present.)
11
                    THE COURT: You may be seated.
12
                    Ladies and gentlemen, I want to extend my
13
     apologies. They are mine and mine alone. Sometimes
14
     things arise in the course of a trial and we have to
15
     deal with them as they arise. Know that while we had
16
     you waiting, we were all in here diligently working to
17
     resolve it and it is my hope that I don't have to keep
     you waiting like that in the future.
18
19
                    State, call your next witness.
20
                    MS. LARSEN: Judge, the State calls
     Dr. Danielle Madera.
21
22
                    THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this
23
     witness has already been sworn.
24
                    You may proceed.
25
```

DANIELLE MADERA, 1 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LARSEN: 4 5 Dr. Madera, you and I know each other. Could 6 you please introduce yourself to the ladies and 7 gentlemen of the jury? 8 Α Yes. My name is Dr. Danielle Madera. 9 And Dr. Madera, how are you currently employed? Q 10 I'm a staff psychologist at the Harris County Children's Assessment Center. 11 12 0 And how long have you been a staff psychologist with the Children's Assessment Center? 13 14 Α I've been a staff psychologist since 2009. I previously did my internship at the Children's 15 16 Assessment Center from 2006 to 2007 and then came back in 2008 for my postdoctoral fellowship and they hired me 17 18 on as a staff psychologist. 19 Dr. Madera, can you tell us a little bit about 20 what you do day to day at the C.A.C. now? Yes. I conduct individual and group therapy 21 Α for children as well as adults that have been victims of 22 23 sexual abuse. I run four groups. I also run the human 24 trafficking program here for teenagers who have been 25 prostituted here in Harris County. I also do

psychological evaluations, supervise predoctoral 1 interns, provide community trainings on the dynamics of sexual abuse. 3 And Dr. Madera, can you tell us a little bit 4 5 about your educational background before you got to the C.A.C.? 6 7 Yes. All my education was done at the Α 8 University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida. I graduated in 2000 with a bachelor of science in 9 10 psychology, then went on to get my PhD in 2007 from UF. 11 And do you hold any licenses or certifications? 12 Yes. I'm a licensed psychologist in the state 13 of Texas as well as Florida. 14 0 Do you belong to any professional organizations? 15 16 Yes. A.P.A., the American Psychological Association, and T.P.A., the Texas Psychological 17 Association. 18 19 Now, Dr. Madera, I want to focus our attention 20 on your experience working with small children who have been victims of sexual abuse. 21 22 Α Okay. 23 Can you tell us briefly about your experience 24 and training with regard to speaking with children under the age of 10 who have been victims of sexual abuse? 25

A Yes. I've seen many children under the age of 10 for individual therapy at the Children's Assessment Center. So after there's been a validated case of child sexual abuse, we'll then see them for therapy. I also work in the forensics division conducting extended assessments previously, and they are usually children under the age of 9.

- Q And Dr. Madera, have you had opportunities to counsel children under the age of 10 on many or few occasions?
  - A Many occasions.
- Q Now, I want to ask you specifically,
  Dr. Madera, about some of the characteristics that
  you've observed as a professional with the experience
  that you have with children who have been victims of
  sexual abuse.
  - A Okay.

- Q Can you tell us some of the characteristics that one might see in a child who's been a victim of sexual abuse.
- A There are many different characteristics. They are very dependent on the child's gender as well as age. They usually fall into four categories. The first one would be depression. So, that can look like anger in small children, it can look like withdrawing from

activities, not wanting to participate in things that you once found enjoyment in. Crying, self-harm with our teenagers, so cutting or suicidal attempts.

The next category would be anxiety. So, these kids are usually very hypervigilant. They may have flashbacks or nightmares, have trouble sleeping, or just calming down and regulating themselves.

The next one would be interpersonal difficulties. These kids usually have a hard time making friendships or getting along with other children.

And the last one would be sexualized behaviors. So, in young children that can look like excessive masturbation, especially in inappropriate places, be it day care or school. In older kids, it can look like promiscuity or the opposite end of the spectrum where children don't want any attention. So, they're wearing baggy clothes or putting on weight or that sort of thing.

Q And Dr. Madera, how have you in your field and your peers determined that these are the symptoms and signs that we commonly are seeing in children who have been victims of sexual abuse?

A Relying on the literature base in the field, as well as my extensive experience with children and families dealing with child sexual abuse.

To be fair, Dr. Madera, are the characteristics 1 Q that you listed also attributes that could really be 2 3 found in people who haven't been victims of sexual abuse? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 0 But Dr. Madera, is it fair also to say not 7 every person who's been a victim of sexual abuse would 8 display all of those symptoms? Correct. 9 Α Is it possible, Dr. Madera, for a person who's 10 11 been -- and specifically a child who's been a victim of 12 sexual abuse display some of those but not all of those characteristics? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Is it possible that they display none of those characteristics? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Q Dr. Madera, can you tell us what a flat affect is? 19 20 Α A flat affect would be described as a child that's very nonemotional. Maybe they're describing 21 22 something that would be emotional to most of us, but 23 they're not expressing any emotion. It's very flat. 24 Is that a possibility when you're speaking with 25 a child who's been a victim of sexual abuse in your

experience that although they are telling you about their abuse, they may not have an emotional response to that?

A Yes.

Q Is that something that you see commonly or incommonly [sic]?

A Commonly.

Q Now, Dr. Madera, I want to talk to you specifically about disclosure and children who disclose. Can you tell us about how children -- and I want to specifically narrow our focus on children of the ages maybe 5 or 6 years old, how do they disclose sexual abuse? Is it always the same?

A No, it's not always the same. Usually with younger children we'll see them disclose to a parent or a parental figure, just due to the fact that they don't have a lot of outside friendships at that age. They're usually just at home or maybe going to school, you know, pre-k or whatnot. So usually children at that age will tell a parent and disclosure will usually come in steps. So, there's a delay in disclosure most times. So, that's any amount of time between when the abuse actually first occurred and when the child is comfortable telling an adult.

Q So, Dr. Madera, you said that usually it's

going to be somebody close to the child? 1 2 Α Yes. Could that include a sibling? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 And Dr. Madera, when we're talking about 6 disclosure, I want to ask you about how that information 7 actually comes out. First of all, can you tell us what 8 it means to, first of all, delay your disclosure, delayed outcry? 9 10 Yes. That just means any amount of time between when the first incident of sexual abuse occurred 11 and when the child first discloses it. 12 13 O Could that be a period of many days? 14 Α Yes. Could it be a period of many years? 15 Q 16 Α Yes. 17 Is there anything that's normal in your field 18 and based off your experience how long it should take for a kid to tell? 19 20 Α There's no normal except the fact that there's usually a delay in disclosure. 21 22 So, it's not common for a child to tell right 23 away? 24 Α Correct. 25 Now, Dr. Madera, I want to ask you about Q

partial disclosure. Can you tell us what that means?

A That just means when a child does initially disclose, it usually is not every single detail of the abuse. A lot of times children are testing the waters to gauge that parent or sibling's reaction to the abuse to see if they're comfortable disclosing the full amount of abuse.

Q And as a professional with the experience meeting with children who have been victims of sexual abuse, has it been your experience that even in the psychological realm, not just with a parent but with a counselor or a therapist, that a child may still do that testing of the waters?

A Yes.

Q And can you tell us about that?

A Sure. Oftentimes when children come in for the first clinical intake, when we first meet with them, they will disclose a small amount. They're not comfortable yet, they have to build rapport with you. And after they can trust you or you build that rapport over time children often disclose way more incidents of sexual abuse that they weren't previously comfortable talking about.

Q And Dr. Madera, could that also depend on the actual questions asked of the child?

1 Α Yes. Has it been your experience, Dr. Madera, having 2 interviewed and met with children who've been victims of 3 sexual abuse, that they volunteer information when not 4 5 asked? 6 Α That's not often that they're volunteering 7 information. 8 Are they generally responding to the questions that are being asked? 9 10 Α Yes. Would it be unusual for a child to need to be 11 12 asked a specific question in order to get that detail? It would not be unusual. 13 A 14 Dr. Madera, I want to ask you about forensic interviews just briefly. Are you familiar with forensic 15 16 interviews at the Children's Assessment Center? 17 A Yes. Have you worked as a forensic interviewer 18 0 before? 19 20 Α Yes. Where was that? 21 Q 22 Α In Florida at the Child Protection Team. 23 And Dr. Madera, when a forensic interviewer is 24 asking questions, are those leading or open questions? 25 Α It's a funnel approach usually where you start

out with very open-ended questions and depending on the child's age, start narrowing it down with maybe choice questions or yes-or-no questions after you've already funneled that down.

Q Have you had an opportunity to observe a forensic interview for a child that you've also met with as a psychologist?

A Yes.

Q In your experience and your background meeting with these children, is it possible that you are asking questions that maybe they haven't been asked before in a forensic interview?

A Yes.

Q When a child -- let's say hypothetically a child were asked is there anything else and that was just the question, "Is there anything else you want to tell me," would it be uncommon for a child to not think of anything else that they want to say at that time?

A Yes, definitely. It would also be common for a child not to want to tell you other things that have happened because you're asking it in a way that they enjoy telling or they want to tell you about it.

Q Now, Dr. Madera, let me ask you about grooming. When we're talking about grooming in the context of the sexual abuse, what are we talking about?

A Grooming is any sort of behavior that a perpetrator is using with a child to gain their trust with a later intent to sexually abuse that child. It often is gradual and will increase in severity. So, things such as normalizing sexual behavior, whether it's through pornography or walking in on a child or exposing yourself to a child, tickling, wrestling, special attention or favors that are -- the purpose is to gain that child's trust to later then sexually abuse them.

Q Now, Dr. Madera, speaking of grooming and in that context, we're talking about a 5 or a 6-year-old child, would it be uncommon or abnormal for a child to respond to that grooming behavior with affection?

A It would not be uncommon.

Q Would it be rare for a child to maybe even enjoy the attention?

A No.

Q Dr. Madera, is there any reason that a child at age 5 or 6, based off of your experience and working with children in that age group, would be alarmed by someone being nice to them?

- A No. They shouldn't be at that age.
- O Or wanting to be close to them?
- 24 A No.
- Q Would it make a difference if that were someone

that's in their family or somebody that's close to someone in their family?

A It wouldn't make a difference in the fact that you're kind of brought up to trust that person and trust your mother. If that's someone in your home that's part of your family, a child usually trusts that person more.

Q Now, Dr. Madera, I want to focus our attention specifically on the case in question; and we're talking about a child named Nileeya Edmondson. And have you had a chance to review her forensic interview in this case?

A Yes.

Q And as somebody who has conducted forensic interviews previously and as someone who works as a clinical psychologist dealing with people in that age group with victims of sexual abuse, what observations did you make about her forensic interview?

A In my experience and education, the child was very young at the time of the forensic interview but very detailed for a child of her age. She was able to provide a lot of sensory details and descriptions, and the interview was longer than I would expect for a child of that age.

Q And when you say longer than you would expect, how long -- for a child of that age, what is the average length that you normally see?

About 20 minutes. 1 Α How long was the interview in this case, if you 2 remember? 3 It was over 40 minutes. 4 А 5 Now, Dr. Madera, did you also have an 6 opportunity to observe Nileeya Edmondson testify here in 7 court? 8 A Yes. And what observations did you make about how 9 10 she testified here in court with regard to her demeanor 11 and your experience dealing with those psychological 12 symptoms of abuse? 13 She appeared with a very flat affect, so there 14 wasn't a lot of emotion when she was discussing, you 15 know, the sexual abuse details. 16 Have you had an opportunity before, Dr. Madera, to observe children who testify in court? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Do all children respond the same way when 20 they're testifying? 21 Α No. 22 Q They all handle that the same way emotionally? 23 Α No. Have you seen children with a flat affect 24 Q 25 testify?

A Yes.

Q And so, based off of your training and experience and background, having observed Nileeya Edmondson testify, was there anything that you saw that was inconsistent with a victim of sexual abuse?

A No.

Q Dr. Madera, with regard to the questions that are being asked of a child, if a child at the age of 5 or 6 is asked questions at the time of outcry by one individual and then maybe is asked some questions by somebody, let's say in, you know, a couple years later when they're 8 years old and then another few years later when they're 10 years old, is it possible based on your training and experience that that child will respond to those questions differently?

A Yes.

Q Why is that?

A Many reasons. The child has aged since that point, their cognitive abilities are different, and you're asking a child of that age to remember not only the sexual abuse that happened, but the two interviews in between that she's provided, which is very hard for a child to remember.

Q And for a child to respond to a question with "I don't remember" or "I don't know," based off of your

training and experience at a young age, does that necessarily mean that they don't remember or they don't know?

A No.

- Q What could it mean?
- A That they won't stop talking.
- Q And Dr. Madera, with regard to that grooming behavior, we were talking about relationships. With a child at the age of 5 or 6 and even a child that's maybe 8 or 10, how can their perception of the relationship of that offender with their family influence their ability to discuss the abuse?
- A Usually if it's a close family relationship, somebody that not only the child loves but the family loves as well, it can be very hard to gauge your mother's reaction if they're in a close, intimate relationship with the perpetrator.
- Q And Dr. Madera, with regard to therapy or counseling for a child, if a child were to receive counseling at the time of the incident maybe when they're 5 or 6 but they weren't to continue that therapy, would that make a difference in their ability to discuss what happened many years later?
  - A Yes.
    - Q And how would that happen?

If a child hasn't been in therapy long enough 1 Α to process the details of the abuse, so it's not --2 therapy's focus is not to help a child remember; it's to 3 help a child tolerate remembering what happened to them. 4 5 If you go years without having talked about it, it can 6 be really hard to discuss it in open court on the stand 7 at such a young age. 8 MS. LARSEN: I pass the witness, Judge. THE COURT: Defense. 9 10 MS. OLVERA: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. OLVERA: 12 13 0 Dr. Madera, I believe you stated that you're 14 currently working at the C.A.C. center? 15 Yes. Α 16 Q And you have your own therapy patients? 17 А Yes. 18 Q And do you do forensic interviews anymore? 19 Α No. 20 Q When's the last time you did a forensic interview? 21 22 Α If I had to guess, I would say 2007. 23 But you're familiar enough with the forensic 24 interview process at the C.A.C. currently? 25 Α Yes.

And when Nileeya gave her forensic interview in 1 0 2 2010, you were familiar with their procedures, right? 3 Α Yes. Is it important, Dr. Madera, for a child abused 4 5 by a family member to tell, to outcry as soon as 6 possible? 7 Α Yes. 8 If the outcry is made closer to the abuse, there's more things that a family member can do to try 9 10 and aid the child through that process, right? There's less abuse that would happen to that 11 child if the child were to tell earlier. 12 13 0 And so, in the cases where a child, there's a 14 delayed outcry before they get some help or they disclose, isn't it possible that during that period 15 16 there can be some suggestive questioning done of the child? 17 That's a possibility. 18 Α 19 And the dangers of the -- can you tell the jury 20 what the danger is about suggestive interviewing or talking to a child? 21 22 Could you be more specific about who is doing 23 the suggestive interviewing? 24 Well, let's start from the outcry, say, usually Q 25 the first person who's going to be a parent maybe?

1 A Yes.

Q Okay. So, if the parent and the child wait, like in this case they waited from -- almost two months before or a month before the forensic interview; and so, if the child is talking with the mother, isn't it possible that there could be some suggestive interviewing done by the mother?

A There could be; but the way the forensic interviewers are trained, it's an objective forensically sound interviewing technique to ward against any suggestibility that may have happened prior to the interview.

Q But if the child has discussed the abuse with a family member or anyone prior to getting to the forensic interview, that could affect the manner in which she's going to answer the questions once she gets to the forensic interview, correct?

A It could.

Q And in this case, again, the child was not interviewed, the outcry was in June of 19 of 2010, correct?

A I'm not aware of dates.

Q And the forensic interview was in August 16th, so almost a month and a half passed by before she was interviewed. Do you know who the interviewer was?

I believe Claudia Mullins. 1 Α So, by the time the child gets to the forensic 2 3 interview and all this time has passed, do you know how many times she had been questioned by anyone about the 4 5 abuse? 6 Α No. 7 So, you don't know how many people she had 8 talked about what happened, if anything, before she got to the forensic interview? 9 10 Α No. Okay. And you're agreeing that that would 11 affect her interview at the forensic center? 12 13 I'm agreeing that it could affect her interview. 14 Now, once she gets to the forensic center and 15 16 she's interviewed -- and you said you watched that interview? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 And are you aware of -- after that interview, 20 was she sent to a counselor? I don't know that. 21 Α 22 Do you know if she was sent to get any therapy? Q 23 I don't know that. Α 24 Did you review -- since you're familiar with 25 the child, with that case, did you review anything about

```
any therapy or counseling of the child?
 1
 2
         Α
              No.
              So, when you're here and you watch the child
 3
     testify, is that the first time that you had seen this
 4
 5
     child?
 6
         Α
              Other than the forensic interview, this
 7
     morning, yes.
 8
         Q
              You never provided her therapy yourself?
 9
         Α
              No.
10
              You never gave her any psychological testing
11
     yourself?
12
         Α
              No.
13
         0
              So, based on your observations of the child
14
     today in this case and based on the forensic interview,
     your opinion is that she has characteristics common of a
15
16
     abused child?
17
         A
              Yes.
18
              And apart from what you observed in the
19
     forensic interview and this testimony, you don't know
20
     how much counseling she's received, right?
21
              Correct.
         Α
22
         0
              Before the testimony today?
23
              Correct.
         Α
24
              You don't know about the -- any type of
25
     counseling or therapy that she might be receiving since
```

the last proceeding in 2010? 1 2 Α No. Is it important how much therapy someone gets? 3 It doesn't have to be important how much; it 4 5 has to be important about the amount of abuse that's 6 actually been processed in therapy. You can have 7 therapists that never actually talk about the sexual 8 abuse in therapy. So, it really wouldn't be the amount 9 of time they're in therapy but the quality of therapy 10 they're getting. 11 Again, you don't know who the therapist or the 12 counselors that this child has seen a couple of times 13 is? 14 Α No. Obviously, as talking about memory, memory is 15 16 very subjective, correct? 17 A Yes. 18 It depends on the individual, either an adult 19 or a child, correct? 20 Α Yes. And isn't it also important, the environment of 21 22 the child? If we're talking about a child's memory, 23 does a family environment have any play? 24 Α I suppose it could. 25 And so, a lapse of time in getting any Q

counseling could affect any child's improvement in memory, correct?

A Yes, it could.

- Q And is it possible that if the child has been given suggestive information, that from that point on, that information is going to be in the child's memory, correct?
  - A It could happen.
- Q And so, when that happens, it's very hard for experts like yourself to differentiate between a true factual memory -- correct -- and a memory that is created as a result of any suggestion?
- A The forensics department at the C.A.C. is highly trained in looking at characteristics of a consistent disclosure. Usually they're looking for sensory details. If a child is rehearsed in remembering something, they won't have true memory of it. So, asking a child what it smelled like or what it tasted like or what it felt like, they wouldn't have an answer to that because it would be a rehearsed memory and not a true memory. So, that could be one facet of trying to distinguish if it's a true outcry or not.
- Q So, if that is never corrected though or addressed in therapy or counseling and nobody differentiates between the memories, I mean, that child

could just wind up believing based on a false memory --1 That could happen. 2 Α -- not a false memory, but a memory based on 3 suggestiveness? 4 5 Α That's a possibility. 6 As far as the grooming, Doctor, the grooming is 7 any person trying to do good things for a child? 8 A If their later intent is to sexually abuse that 9 child, then yes. 10 So, the examples -- can you give us some 11 examples? 12 Α Showing a child pornography; wrestling or tickling a child, getting them used to having their 13 14 hands on them; walking in when a child's in the restroom; dropping your towel when you're coming out of 15 16 the restroom as a perpetrator; anything that is 17 increasing the intensity of boundary violations with that child. 18 19 So, is grooming more -- I guess it could be 20 specific to whatever conduct that a perpetrator wants? 21 Α Could you ask that in a different way? 22 Q Grooming can also be innocent type things, 23 right? 24 Grooming would be innocent if they did not have 25 the intent to sexually abuse that child.

```
So like taking to the store, taking children to
 1
         Q
     the store or buying them gifts and things like that,
 2
 3
     that could be a form of grooming for almost anyone,
 4
     right?
 5
         Α
              That could just be a nice behavior if you don't
 6
     have any intent to sexually abuse a child.
 7
                    MS. OLVERA: Pass the witness, Judge.
 8
                    THE COURT: State?
                    MS. LARSEN: Nothing further for this
 9
     witness, Judge.
10
11
                    THE COURT: May this witness step down?
12
                    MS. LARSEN: Yes, Judge.
                    THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you,
13
14
     Doctor.
15
                    State, call your next.
16
                    MS. LARSEN: Judge, may we approach?
17
                    THE COURT: Yes.
18
                    (At the Bench.)
19
                    MS. LARSEN: Judge, the officer is on his
20
     way, he lives out in Katy. He's coming from there, he's
     going to hit some traffic. That will be our last
21
22
     witness, Judge.
23
                    THE COURT: Do you have a general A.T.A.
24
     so that I can tell them?
25
                    MS. LARSEN: He e-mailed me a few minutes
```

```
ago and said he's trying to be here by 3:00.
 1
 2
                    THE COURT: Oh. I realize that you don't
 3
     want to, but can you put the little girl on and say
     don't you remember doing this tape. Isn't this your
 4
 5
     tape?
 6
                    MS. LARSEN:
                                 Oh, I certainly could ask
 7
     her if that's her on the tape, Judge, if the defense is
 8
     willing --
 9
                    THE COURT: Is that going to draw
10
     objection?
11
                    MS. MEADOR: I understand we're trying to
12
     get to properly authenticate it to get it in the record.
13
     Is there any way we can authenticate it outside the
14
     presence of the jury?
15
                    THE COURT:
                                Sure.
                                        I don't have a problem
16
     with that. Can you do that?
17
                    MS. LARSEN: Doesn't bother me, Judge.
18
     Yeah, I can do that. If we do that, Judge, then can she
     be dismissed?
19
20
                    THE COURT:
                               Yes.
21
                    (End bench discussion.)
22
                    THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm
23
     going to send you back into the jury room.
24
     anticipate it to be more than about ten minutes. We'll
25
     get back to you as soon as we are able.
```

```
1
                     (Jury out.)
                     (Brief break.)
 2
                     THE COURT: It's my understanding that
 3
     the State is going to rest; is that true?
 4
 5
                    MS. LARSEN: That's correct, Judge.
 6
                     THE COURT: So we don't have to usher the
 7
     jury in, does the defense have anything you want me to
 8
     take up outside the presence of the jury?
 9
                    MS. MEADOR: No, Judge.
10
                     THE COURT: Okay. Let's bring in the
11
     jury.
12
                     (Jury present.)
                     THE COURT: You may be seated.
13
14
                     State, call your next witness.
15
                     MS. LARSEN: The State rests.
16
                     THE COURT: The State having rested, what
17
     says the defense?
                    MS. OLVERA: We would call Theresa Ross.
18
19
                     (Witness sworn.)
20
                    MS. OLVERA: May I proceed, Judge?
21
                     THE COURT: You may.
22
                           THERESA ROSS,
23
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
24
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
```