Sheridan Rowe Langford - January 10, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

1 THE COURT: Be seated, please. And please 2 call your next witness. MS. FULLER: State calls Juli Rehfuss. 3 THE COURT: Right up here, please, ma'am. 4 5 before you have your seat, if you'll raise your right hand. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 THE COURT: If you would, have your seat. And 8 if you would, please state and spell your name for my court 9 reporter. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. It's Juli Rehfuss. 10 11 J-U-L-I R-E-H-F-U-S-S. 12 THE COURT: You may proceed. 13 MS. FULLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 JULI REHFUSS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 0. (BY MS. FULLER) Good afternoon, Ms. Rehfuss. 18 Α. Hi. 19 Who are you employed by? 0. 20 Α. I'm employed with the Houston Police Department crime laboratory. 21 22 Q. And what is your position with the HPD crime lab? 23 I'm a serologist. Α. 24 What does that mean? What is a serologist? Q. 25 Α. I basically screen evidence for the presence of

bodily fluid such as blood and semen.

- Q. Okay. And once you screen a piece of evidence and find a bodily fluid, what would you do with that piece of evidence next?
- A. Well, first when I receive the evidence, I want to make sure that all of the unique identifiers match up, make sure the incident number's correct, the item number, et cetera. And then once I receive the evidence, I'll put the unique identifier on there again, I usually handwrite it and then I put the item number as well as my initials and the date that I receive it.
- Q. Okay. Let me back up a little bit. Can you tell the jury a little bit about your educational background?
- A. Yes. I have a bachelor of science degree in biology from North Carolina State University.
- Q. And are you a member of any organizations or groups in terms -- professional groups and organizations?
- A. Yes, ma'am. I am a member of the Southwestern
 Association of Forensic Scientists as well as the
 Association of Forensic DNA Analysts and Administrators.
 - Q. How long have you been a serologist with HPD?
 - A. I've been with HPD since 2000.
- Q. Before that what did you do?
 - A. Before that I worked at the Houston Zoo.
- 25 Q. How long were you at the Houston Zoo for?

Juli Rehfuss - January 10, 2012 Direct Examination by Ms. Fuller

1 Approximately two years. A. 2 What were you doing with them? 0. I was a zookeeper. 3 Α. You've been with HPD, you said, since 2000? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes, ma'am. 6 0. And as a serologist, do you continue your legal --7 not your legal -- your education in terms of keeping up to 8 date with changes in serology or the science behind that? 9 Α. Yes, ma'am. We are expected to keep up with the 10 continuing education at least once a year by attending 11 different training seminars and classes. 12 0. Have you testified in the courts in Harris County? 13 A . Yes, ma'am. 14 Regarding serology? 0. 15 Yes, ma'am. Α. 16 0. Would you say that would be on few or many occasions? 17 A. 18 Few. 19 And have those courts deemed you to be an expert in 0. 20 the area of serology? 2.1 Yes, ma'am. Α. 22 Q. Okay. Now I want to turn your attention back to --23 let's talk first about the process of extracting or 24 looking -- not extracting but looking for whether or not a

piece of evidence has a bodily fluid or some type of fluid

1 on it, okay?

- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. When a piece of evidence first comes in, what are 4 you going to do with it?
 - A. The first thing after I double-check to make sure it is the correct piece of evidence for that case, I do a visual examination.
 - Q. Okay. What are looking for?
 - A. Basically I'm looking for blood or semen, any dark staining, any, like, white crusty stains, pretty much anything on that level.
 - Q. Okay. And after your visual inspection, what do you do?
 - A. After the visual inspection, I'll go ahead and do some presumptive testing such as the alternate light source, which is basically the UV light. I'm sure you've seen it on TV, with the goggles. We take most of our evidence under the alternate light source to see if anything may or may not fluoresce.
 - Q. What type of fluids generally fluoresce?
 - A. Semen generally fluoresces. We use the light source more as a tool, an investigative tool for us. It's not very specific, if you will; so, it just gives an indication that a bodily fluid may or may not be present.
 - Q. Okay. Now, let's say that you've got a piece of

evidence and you visually inspect it and you don't see anything that looks to you like it could be blood or semen. Would you still do some of those presumptive tests to see if there is a fluid there?

- A. Yes, yes, I would take it under the alternate light source.
- Q. Okay. In addition to the alternate light source, are there other presumptive tests you can do at this stage?
- A. Yes. If I visually see a stain, I can test it for acid phosphatase, which is our presumptive test for semen.

 Acid phosphatase is actually a protein found in seminal fluid. If I see reddish-brown staining and I'm looking for blood, I'll go ahead and do a presumptive blood test that we call phenolphthalein.
- Q. After you do those presumptive tests, what would your next step be?
- A. Well, the presumptive tests really just give you an indication something may or may not be there. They're very sensitive but they're not specific. So, if a presumptive test comes back positive, I'll take that on to a confirmatory test.
 - Q. Can you tell us about the confirmatory test?
- A. Yes. The confirmatory tests are more specific. For the blood test, it's called a Hematrace and that's specific for blood of human origin, and then the acid

phosphatase test. If that comes back positive, we'll go ahead and search for sperm.

- Q. Okay. After you finish that testing, what would you do next?
- A. If those come back positive for human origin, I'll go ahead and take a sample of that, be it swab or clothing, anything to that nature, and then I would package it and process it for DNA.
- Q. Okay. So, you yourself wouldn't actually do the DNA testing?
- A. No, ma'am. I would just actually put it into the tube and preserve it for the DNA analyst.
- Q. Okay. Now, let's say you've got a piece of evidence and you take it through all the presumptive tests that you have and you come up with nothing. What would you do next?
- A. With the presumptive tests, if we don't get any positive results, we stop.
- Q. Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit also about the state at which the evidence comes to you. The evidence is coming to you -- where do you get the evidence from?
- A. Well, it comes from the property room. Our evidence technician will go and actually pick up the evidence and bring it to us.

- Q. Okay. So, when you get a bag from the property room and you open it up, generally has anyone else at that point been into that bag?
- A. When we receive it from the property room, it is properly sealed. It's usually in a box or a bag and it's got the seal and the initials of the person who actually placed it into that box or bag.
- Q. Okay. And when you are looking at a piece of evidence to determine whether or not you've got any fluids on it, can you tell me what kind of environmental factors will affect whether you can find the presence of semen or whether there is the presence of semen or blood on a piece of evidence?
- A. Yes, the environmental factors can affect DNA. DNA is very robust; however, it can be affected by heat, humidity, sunlight, bacteria, anything like that.
 - O. Rain, wind?

- A. Yes, yes, many environmental factors.
- Q. Okay. And what would those factors do to that DNA or to those fluids?
- A. Well, it can break down and degrade the DNA almost to the point where we are unable to detect it.
- Q. Okay. I want to talk to you about contact DNA.

 Can you tell the jury what contact DNA is?
- A. Yeah. Contact DNA is usually probably your skin

cells, your saliva, your sweat, stuff of that nature.

- Q. Would it also include hair fibers or hairs?
- A. Hairs. It depends on the hair that you would have. If we're going to do DNA on a hair, it's usually from the root area of the hair or any follicular tissue that was attached to the root of the hair. There's another DNA testing you can do on the hair called mitochondrial testing; however, we do not perform that.
- Q. Okay. So, if it was just a piece of hair that fell out of somebody's head, is that something you could test for DNA?
- A. We could examine it to see if there was a root attached to the hair and/or any follicular tissue, but if there's not, it would have to go to the second testing, the mitochondrial testing.
- Q. Okay. Now, how easy is it for contact DNA and hair fibers to be preserved when you're talking about some of those same environmental factors you just talked about, the humidity, the rain, the heat?
- A. Well, the chances of getting any contact DNA are kind of slim, just depending on how the evidence was stored. If it was affected by the heat, humidity, rain, et cetera, if it was left out in the elements, then the DNA could just possibly degrade to the point where we cannot detect it.

MS. FULLER: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may. 1 2 (BY MS. FULLER) I'm going to hand you these and I 0. would like to show you what has already been entered into 3 evidence. State's Exhibit 92, state's Exhibit 93 and 4 State's Exhibit 94 and the contents therein. Do these look 5 6 familiar to you? You can look inside the bag, too, if you 7 need to. 8 Α. Okay. I do not recognize this bag only because it does not have my initials or date or unique identifier on 9 it. 10 11 0. Okay. These two bags, however, do have the identifier, my 12 Α. initials, as well as the item numbers. 13 14 Okay. Now, what is this number that we're looking 0. 15 at right there? 16 This is the incident number, the unique identifiers -- identifiers specific to this case. 17 18 Q. Okay. And can you tell me the name associated with 19 this unique identifier? 20 Α. I'm sorry. To the case. Was there a name attached to this 21 0. 22 case? 23 Let me check my file, please. Α.

According to my paperwork, the complainant is

24

25

0.

Α.

Please.

1 listed as unknown.

- Q. Okay. Do you have any other information, other names listed with the report?
- A. On an additional report, the complainant name has changed to Linda Hartsough.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell us what you tested in State's Exhibits 92, 93 and 94. And if -- actually, if you would, refresh -- look at your report first and tell me what items were submitted to you for testing.
- A. Yes. According to my report, the items that were submitted for testing consisted of a box containing panties, a left sandal, right sandal, another box containing a T-shirt, a second T-shirt, a pair of pants and a pair of jeans.
- Q. Okay. Can you look at these State's Exhibits and tell me what corresponds to what you were asked to test?
- A. Yes, ma'am. Item 2.1, which is State's Exhibit 93, is a pair of panties.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to take State's Exhibit 2 -- 92 and put it down here. State's Exhibit 93, could you please look at that and tell me what else you noticed about the bag and any seals?
- A. The bag does have a broken seal here with my initials and the date.
 - Q. Okay. And can you open that bag and confirm that

- 1 | what you tested was, in fact, in there?
 - A. Take it out?
 - Q. Please.

- A. Yes. This is a butcher fold with the seal, my initials and date as well as the unique identifier, my initials and the item number.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell us what you did when you received this piece of evidence?
- A. Yes. When I first received the pair of panties, it was in a box with the sandals and it was in its own paper bag, a sealed paper bag contained in that box. And when I opened it, I observed trace evidence which we listed as apparent dirt, debris, leaf litter, and that's why I retained it in the butcher paper, just to preserve any of that trace evidence.
- Q. Okay. So, when you took the contents of State's 93 out, the panties, you put them on this butcher paper in order to keep any of the debris that was located on that piece of evidence?
- A. Yes. After I was finished with my examination of the panties, I just folded up the butcher paper and returned it to its original packaging.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me if you were able to -- did you -- tell me the results of your testing on State's Exhibit 93, which would be the panties; is that correct?

- A. Yes, ma'am. When I first opened the panties, I noticed that they were covered in apparent leaf litter, dirt, debris, they were very odorous, they were crusty and they had several areas of brown staining.
- Q. Okay. What was the first thing that you did when you observed those things?
- A. The first thing I did, I used the alternate light source to see if I could see any areas that could possibly fluoresce and I found approximately four areas that fluoresced. However, when I did more presumptive testing, the acid phosphatase test, all the results came back negative.
 - Q. Okay. That was testing for semen, correct?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. After those presumptive tests came back negative, did you do any further testing?
- A. No, ma'am.

- Q. At that point were you able to extract anything you could preserve and then send on to the DNA analyst?
- A. No, ma'am. There was nothing positive on the panties to send to DNA.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you stated that there was a lot of debris. Can you tell me what kind of debris you saw?
- A. I'm not an expert with the debris, but it just looked like a bunch of dirt, sand, a lot of leaf litter and,

- 1 yeah, they were odorous.
- Q. Okay. Now, State's Exhibit 94 -- so -- excuse me.
- 3 Let me back up. State's Exhibit 93, and I'll let you put
- 4 that back in the back. State's Exhibit 93, you were not
- 5 | able to extract any fluids that could be sent off for DNA?
 - A. No, ma'am, it was negative.
 - Q. What do you do with the piece of evidence after that?
- 9 A. Well, after I placed it in the butcher paper and 10 sealed it, I returned it to its original envelope and then
- 11 back into the box.

7

- 12 Q. Okay. That would be this evidence seal right there?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Okay. State's Exhibit 94, did you do any testing 16 on State's Exhibit 94?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am. That would be Items 2.2 and 2.3, the pair of sandals.
- 19 *O.* Uh-huh.
- 20 A. Take it out?
- 21 *Q.* Sure.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Just to confirm that they were --
- A. My unique identifier, the item numbers, my initials and the seal with my date and initials are on the items.

- Q. Okay. Now, when you received State's Exhibit 94, what did you first do for testing purposes?
- A. When I first took them out, I did a visual inspection just to see what the sandals looked like. They appeared to be a pair of sandals. They were brown, size 6, and I did not see any visible bloodstains on the sandals. I took them under the alternate light source and I didn't see any areas that fluoresced.
- Q. Okay. So, from there, were there any other presumptive tests you could do?
 - A. No, ma'am, it was just presumptively negative.
- Q. Okay. So, again on State's Exhibit 94, there was nothing you could extract -- semen or blood that you could then send on to DNA for further testing?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Now, if you learned that these pieces of evidence had been out -- had been outside in Houston from May 15th until June 25th, 2010, what conclusions could you base on whether or not you could get any type of serology from those pieces of evidence?
- A. Well, the chances of any biological fluid still being on the item would be pretty slim if they were left out in the elements for that period of time, and any possible blood and/or semen could be degraded to the point where I could just not detect it.

0. 1 Okay. 2 MS. FULLER: Pass the witness, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Cornelius. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 0. (BY MR. CORNELIUS) Ms. Rehfuss, my name is Skip 6 Cornelius. We've talked on this case, I think, but it's 7 been awhile. 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Q. Is it -- tell me if I'm correct. It appears that 10 you were trying to get or attempt to get something that 11 might develop a DNA profile on someone other than the person 12 that was deceased; is that right? Well, sir, I was just screening the items of 13 A. 14 evidence for any possible blood or semen. 0. Okay. Because -- did you know that a body 15 16 completely decomposed, allegedly, on the shorts and one of 17 these shirts and on these panties? I mean, the entire body fluid passed through them, basically. Did you know that? 18 19 No, sir, I was not made aware. Α. 20 Q. Okay. Because, I mean, body fluid like that, you can develop -- well, you can at least attempt to develop DNA 21 22 from that, couldn't you? 23 Α. It could be possible. 24 0. What about bones? I mean, you weren't asked to

look at the bones or try to develop DNA for the bones, were

```
1
     you?
 2
              No, sir.
         A .
              Do you know if anybody -- do you know if anybody
 3
     was trying to develop the DNA of the person who actually
 4
     died?
 5
 6
         A.
               No, sir, I was not instructed to test for anything
 7
     other than blood and semen.
 8
         Q.
               Okay.
                    MR. CORNELIUS: Pass the witness.
 9
                    THE COURT: Anything further?
10
11
                    MS. FULLER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
                    THE COURT: And may this witness be excused?
12
                    MS. FULLER: Yes, Your Honor.
13
14
                    MR. CORNELIUS: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                    THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You're free to
16
     go.
17
                    THE WITNESS: You want me to put that back in
18
     the bag?
19
                    THE COURT: Please. And please don't discuss
20
     your testimony with any of the other witnesses.
2.1
                    THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
22
                    THE COURT: Call your next witness, please.
23
                    MS. FULLER: State calls Officer Brooks.
24
                    THE COURT: Come on up, Officer. Raise your
25
     right hand.
```

Juli Rehfuss - January 10, 2012 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cornelius

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	THE COURT: Have your seat, please. And if
3	you would, please state and spell your name for my court
4	reporter.
5	THE WITNESS: William Brooks, W-I-L-L-I-A-M,
6	B-R-O-O-K-S.
7	THE COURT: And you may proceed.
8	WILLIAM BROOKS,
9	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	Q. (BY MS. FULLER) Good afternoon, Officer Brooks.
12	Who are you employed by?
13	A. Houston Police Department.
14	Q. How long have you been with the Houston Police
15	Department?
16	A. About four years.
17	Q. And before joining HPD, what did you do before
18	that?
19	A. I was also a police officer prior to joining
20	Houston Police Department also.
21	Q. And what jurisdiction was that?
22	A. Jersey Village Police Department, Harris County,
23	Texas.
24	Q. And how long were you employed with Jersey Village
25	Police Department?