```
1
      and make yourself comfortable.
2
                       THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your
 3
      Honor.
                       THE COURT: Scoot your chair up and
 4
 5
      pull your chair in. Align that microphone with your
 6
     mouth there. Keep your voice up so everyone can
7
     hear what you have to say. Thank you.
                       THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
9
                       THE COURT: Please proceed.
10
                       MS. OMODELE: Thank you, Your
11
      Honor.
12
                       LAWRENCE THOMPSON, JR.,
1.3
      having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
     BY MS. OMODELE:
16
              Q.
                  Good morning, Dr. Thompson.
17
              Α.
                  Good morning.
18
                  Please introduce yourself to the ladies
              Q.
19
      and gentlemen of the jury.
20
                  My name is Dr. Lawrence Thompson, Jr.
              Α.
21
              Ο.
                  Can you tell us how you're employed?
22
                  I am the Director of Therapy and
              Α.
23
      Psychological Services at the Harris County
24
      Children's Assessment Center.
25
              Q. What exactly is the Children's
```

Assessment Center?

2.2

2.3

A. Well, it's a children's advocacy center. It's meant to be a place that kids who make outcries of abuse can come and receive multiple services related to that outcry of abuse.

So to that end, we have a mental health portion of things where -- that I oversee -- where the mental health needs of these families can be met. We have a medical clinic there where the physical health needs of these children and their families can be met. A forensic interviewing department where interviews can be done in instances where there are allegations of child sexual abuse.

Have an outreach portion of things, a development portion of things; but I think the other important thing to say in addition to that is that there are various partner agencies on site that the families may need to come in contact with, Child Protective Services, various entities of law enforcement, things of that nature.

- Q. Now, is there a similar center -- a center similar to the Children's Assessment Center in Fort Bend County?
 - A. There is.
 - Q. Do you usually have these types of

centers in counties all across Texas?

2.3

- A. Yes. I think at this point we have, I think it's safe to say, we have over 60 Children's Advocacy Centers of Texas, accredited children's advocacy centers in the state at this point.
- Q. You said accredited. What does it mean for the center to be accredited?
- A. Well, there are various -- there are a couple of primary accrediting bodies. In Texas I mentioned the Children's Advocacy Centers of Texas, and it's a state organization that takes a look at how well advocacy centers in the state are doing in the way of helping children and their families and gives them feedback about what they might do better, what they might do differently, and upon meeting certain requirements actually accredits them, you know, in terms of the quality of their center.

The other major body is the National Children's Alliance. And that is a national organization and it's someone else who can come in usually about every three or four years and take a look at how things are running and accredit the advocacy centers.

Q. Tell us how long you've been the
Director of Therapy and Psychological Services there

1 at the CAC center.

- A. I think at this point a little over seven years, it's safe to say.
 - Q. And what did you do before you were a director there?
 - A. I was a staff psychologist at the Children's Assessment Center.
 - Q. How long were you a staff psychologist there?
 - A. About three, four years.
 - Q. Tell us a little bit about your duties as the Director of Therapy and Psychological Services.
 - A. Well, my duties are primarily supervisory. We have a team of -- at any given time between 25 and 30 Master's and Doctoral clinicians who work with the children and their family. So I supervise the work of all of those people, psychologists, psychiatrists, Master's level therapists. At some level I'm supervising all of their work because I'm ultimately responsible for it.

But in addition to that, I continue to supervise people, provide services myself. I might do some individual therapy, some group therapy, some

crisis intervention, suicide assessments and things
of that nature on occasion. Do a fair amount of
consultation with some of our other partner agencies
on cases involving trauma and abuse. Do quite a few
presentations in the field related to child sexual

6 abuse and mental health more generally.

2.3

Court testimony is something that I regularly do as part of my job responsibilities.

Other than that, I'd say sort of administrative, fiscal oversight type responsibilities.

- Q. Okay. Is your experience or your therapy sessions, are those mainly related to child victims of sexual abuse?
 - A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- Q. Is your experience mainly dealing with child victims of sexual abuse?
- A. Yes. Yes. My clinical experience is primarily at this point with victims of child sexual abuse and their families.
- Q. Tell us a little bit about your educational background.
- A. Well, I have a Bachelor's degree in

 English and a Bachelor's degree in psychology from

 Schreiner -- I want to call it Scheiner College.

 It's Schreiner University now, a little, small

- liberal arts college really in Kerrville, Texas. I

 also have a Master's degree in clinical psychology

 and a Doctorate in clinical psychology from the
 - Q. Would you say that you have counseled victims, specifically child victims of sexual abuse, on few or many occasions?
 - A. Many times.

University of Michigan.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

- Q. In your work, do you also have the opportunity to, I guess, do work related to sex offenders?
 - A. I do on occasion.
- Q. I just want to make it clear. Did you actually counsel a victim by the name of Lashonda Irving?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Do you know Lashonda Irving?
- 18 A. I do not.
- Q. Have you ever met Lashonda Irving?
- A. I have not.
 - Q. Are you here to kind of give us just some general testimony based on your training and experience, things that you may see in sexual assault victims?
- 25 A. I am.

Q. Tell us a little bit about delayed outcry. What is that?

2.3

- A. Delayed outcry just refers to an amount of time passing between an instance of child sexual abuse and that child telling another person what happened to them.
- Q. Okay. Is that something that is common amongst victims of sexual abuse?
- A. It is something that we commonly see.

 In fact, the literature and my clinical experience would indicate that in more than half of all cases of child sexual abuse there is a delay in disclosure.

Now, that delay could be days or weeks; but we do see instances where years, even a lifetime goes by before someone's able to share with another person sexual abuse they suffered as a child.

- Q. Tell us some of the reasons why a child might not immediately tell that they've been either sexually abused or violated or exposed to something.
- A. Shame, fear, guilt, anxiety. Sometimes kids feel responsible for the abuse. They feel like they have done something wrong and that people aren't going to respond favorably if they talk about what they've done.

We know that sometimes kids can even be confused about what's actually happened to them and what they should do. We know that kids sometimes feel mixed feelings toward the perpetrator of the abuse. Say it's a family member that abused them. They may actually want to protect that family member and not get them into trouble even though they may at the same time very much not like certain things that that perpetrator is doing to them.

We also know that perpetrators of abuse can sometimes coerce kids.

MS. ROE: Judge, I'm going to object to nonresponsive at this point.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (By Ms. Omodele) Well, let me ask you this. What about situations where the victim and the perpetrator have some type of relationship, what are some -- some reasons that a victim in that situation might not immediately tell?
- A. Well, the ambivalence that I've already referenced can certainly be at play. There's a relationship there. The victim of abuse may feel some positive and negative feelings and may even want to protect the perpetrator --

MS. ROE: Judge, I would object to

```
1
      speculation at this point.
                       THE COURT: Let's get -- let's get
 2
 3
     this down to question and answer.
                       MS. OMODELE: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
             Ο.
                  (By Ms. Omodele) Let's talk about a
      situation where the victim and the perpetrator have
7
     a relationship or some sort of relationship and the
8
     victim may continue to be in that perpetrator's
9
     company. Have you seen those types of situations?
10
                  I have.
             Α.
11
                  Why or what are some reasons why a
             0.
12
     victim would continue to go around this perpetrator?
13
                       MS. ROE: Judge, I would again
14
     object to speculation at this point.
15
                       MS. OMODELE: Judge, I think he's
16
     been established --
                       (Bench conference:)
17
18
                       THE COURT: There's no question
19
     he's been established as an expert, but where are we
20
     going with this? He -- he -- he didn't -- he didn't
     talk to her. He doesn't know her.
21
22
                       MS. OMODELE:
                                     Judge --
2.3
                       THE COURT: And we can be here all
24
     day long with regard to him giving one example after
     another.
25
```

1 MS. OMODELE: -- the law certainly 2 allows in -- the case law allows for him to testify 3 to some commonalities that you would expect to see 4 amongst sexual assault victims. 5 THE COURT: All right. But here's 6 the situation. You're getting close to trying to 7 pinpoint exactly what this case is. Common 8 situations are fine. Stick to that. 9 All right. Let's go. 10 MS. OMODELE: Judge, the law allows 11 some hypotheticals in terms of our situation. 12 THE COURT: I understand, but the 13 situation is we need to get to it. I don't want him 14 to sit here and give me a treatise on his whole 15 experience and everything he knows or we'll be here 16 all day. 17 All right. To that extent, it's 18 overruled. Go ahead. 19 MS. OMODELE: Am I allowed to ask 20 him hypotheticals just like specific situations with 21 regards -- I just want to make sure I'm clear on 2.2 that. 2.3 MS. ROE: Judge, we would just 24 object to her going into hypotheticals that mirror 25 the situation before this Court and that allowing

1 him to speculate about what motivations people would 2 have as to why they would act certain ways when --3 THE COURT: I'm going to give you a little bit of leeway, but we're not going to spend 4 5 the rest of the day on this. You understand? 6 MS. OMODELE: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 (Proceedings continued:) 9 0. (By Ms. Omodele) Dr. Thompson, I'll ask 10 you the question again. What are some of the 11 reasons why a victim of sexual abuse would continue 12 to be around a perpetrator? 13 The victim could be a child. A child 14 might not have much say in who they're around and 15 where they are. The ambivalence toward the 16 perpetrator could also be at play. The victim of

might not have much say in who they're around and where they are. The ambivalence toward the perpetrator could also be at play. The victim of abuse could actually want to spend time with someone they know and have a close relationship but not want to be sexually abused by that person.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- Q. You talked a little bit about delayed outcry. Let's talk about when a child finally decides to disclose. What are some of, I guess, the stages of disclosure that you see sometimes?
- A. Well, it's not uncommon for a child to make a partial disclosure, meaning they say a bit

about what happened to them and depending on the response that they get and how they feel and what things happen around the disclosure, they may feel more comfortable saying more about what happened to them as time progresses.

2.2

2.3

- Q. In your experience and also based on the literature and your research, have you seen or have you experienced situations where a child has been sexually abused and they initially deny it?
 - A. I have seen instances of that.
 - Q. Would you say that's common on uncommon?
- A. I wouldn't say it's common, but we do see instances of kids denying abuse that has actually happened.
- Q. What are some of the reasons why a child may initially deny something?
- A. The same reasons we've talked about in terms of the delayed disclosure. The child's scared. The child's ashamed. The child wants to avoid it and not talk about it. The child may want to protect somebody. Those same factors can be at play in terms of a child who actually denies something that actually happened to them.
- Q. In terms of disclosure, in your experience have you seen a situation where there may

be a certain event that will trigger the disclosure?

A. There can be.

1.3

2.3

- Q. Can you tell us, I guess, briefly some of the reasons why a certain event may trigger that disclosure?
- A. Kids can be very uncomfortable talking about something like child sexual abuse. So something that makes them talk about it could be an event like trying to protect a sibling or feeling comfortable enough talking to -- with a certain person to come out talking about it.

Sometimes a perpetrator not being in the home anymore and then gaining distance from a perpetrator can be an event that makes them feel safe enough to talk about it. Those types of things can certainly play a role.

- Q. Can you tell us what grooming is? What does that mean?
- A. Grooming is any behavior that a perpetrator of child sexual abuse engages in to win over the trust of a child and use that trust to sexually abuse them.
- Q. Can you tell us, I guess, some of the consequences of grooming?

THE COURT: Counsel, let me see

```
1
      both sides here a minute.
 2
                       (Bench conference:)
 3
                       THE COURT: That's what's -- here's
      the situation.
                      Where is the evidence? Was there
 4
 5
      grooming in this case? We can't do -- I'm not going
 6
      to allow you to go through his entire psychological
 7
      living.
 8
                       MS. OMODELE: Do you want me to
 9
      spit it out? The complainant testified that this
10
      all started when she started developing and then he
11
      would make little comments to her and then it
12
      progresses. That's all I'm touching on.
                                                 That's --
1.3
                       MR. NEWMAN:
                                    That's not grooming.
14
                       MS. OMODELE: Judge --
15
                       MR. NEWMAN: Grooming is gift
16
      giving and --
17
                       THE COURT:
                                  That --
18
                       MS. OMODELE: Grooming is many
19
      things.
20
                       MR. NEWMAN: Grooming is when
21
      someone is giving them gifts.
22
                       THE COURT: I know what grooming
2.3
      is.
24
                       MS. OMODELE: Grooming is many
25
      things --
```

```
1
                      MS. ROE: We don't have the --
2
                      THE COURT:
                                  Hold on now. Y'all
 3
     can't talk at the same time.
                      MS. ROE: We only have one instance
 4
 5
     of that that's been before this Court that we might
 6
     be using to get towards something that would be
7
     referred to as grooming.
8
                      MS. OMODELE:
                                    Judge, he will
9
     explain -- Defense counsel knows that there are many
10
     ways someone can groom a child.
11
                      THE COURT: I'm aware of that, too;
12
     but I'm telling you we're not going to go through an
13
     entire psychological textbook. Do you understand?
14
                      MS. OMODELE: Judge, I just have a
15
     couple of other questions and they're all relevant
16
     to --
17
                      THE COURT: I'm having a little
18
     problem with the relevancy in this case, but I'm
19
     going to give you one little -- I'm giving you
20
     leeway on this issue only. Keep going and we'll see
21
     where we are on the next one. Okay?
22
                      MS. OMODELE:
                                     I have a couple of
23
     other issues -- a couple of other questions --
24
                      THE COURT: We'll see. We'll see.
25
                       (Proceedings continued:)
```

- Q. (By Ms. Omodele) What are some of the consequences of grooming?
 - A. Well, I think the primary consequence of grooming can be the sexual abuse of a child.
 - Q. When you talk about grooming, are there different ways that someone can -- or a perpetrator can groom a child?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Briefly tell us some of the ways that a child can be groomed.
- A. It's anything that the perpetrator used to make the child feel special and manipulate the child. So it could be gift giving or things of that nature. It could be exposing themselves to the child or saying inappropriate things to the child sexually to lay a foundation for future more prominent acts of sexual abuse. Those things can be part of the grooming process.
 - O. What about looks?
- A. Looking at a child in an inappropriate kind of provocative way can be something that a perpetrator of abuse might do in the way of grooming. It could be.
- Q. Let's -- let's talk about, I guess, sexual type of offenses and secrecy. Are those

usually crimes -- sexual type offenses, are they
usually crimes that a perpetrator will do in front
of other people?

A. No.

- Q. Can you briefly tell us a little bit about that?
- A. Obviously if the perpetrator of abuse is abusing that child in front of other people, there's a presumption that somebody might do something to help the child. So by that very fact sexual abuse tends to occur in secret and many times there is coercion on the part of perpetrator to get the child to keep the abuse a secret so that, you know, the abuse can continue and so the perpetrator doesn't get in trouble.
- Q. And have you seen situations based on your experience where the perpetrator doesn't have to coerce the child for the child to keep it a secret?
 - A. I have.
- Q. Okay. Have you seen situations, again based on your training and experience, where a perpetrator may commit an act with other people in and around the area?
 - A. I have.

```
1
             Q.
                 Have you seen situations where a
 2
     perpetrator --
 3
                       MS. ROE: Judge, I'm going to
 4
     object to leading at this time.
                       THE COURT: Sustained.
 5
 6
             Ο.
                  (By Ms. Omodele) Well, let's take a
 7
     hypothetical. Okay? Would it be likely or would it
 8
     be plausible for a perpetrator --
 9
                       MS. ROE: Judge, I'm going to
10
     object to speculation.
11
                       THE COURT: Let's wait.
12
                       MS. OMODELE: It's a hypothetical.
13
                       THE COURT: Let her finish what
14
      she's going to say first and then we'll see.
15
                       MS. ROE: Yes, Judge.
16
             Q.
                  (By Ms. Omodele) Would it be plausible
17
      for a perpetrator to commit some sort of act with
18
      someone, let's say, in another room but in the same
19
      house, for example?
20
                       MS. ROE: Judge I would object to
21
      speculation.
22
                       MS. OMODELE: It's a hypothetical.
23
                       THE COURT: On that objection --
24
     that's overruled on that objection.
25
                       MS. ROE: Judge, I would also
```

```
1
      object to relevance.
 2
                       MS. OMODELE: It's a hypothetical,
 3
      Judge.
                       MS. ROE: It's a hypothetical
 4
 5
      that --
 6
                       MS. OMODELE: It's highly relevant
 7
      to our case.
 8
                       MS. ROE: Judge --
 9
                       THE COURT: Approach.
10
                       (Bench conference:)
11
                       MS. OMODELE: Judge, the case
12
      law --
13
                       THE COURT: You need -- I know what
14
      the case law is, Counsel. Don't tell me what the
15
     case law is.
16
                       Now, the situation is this. I need
17
      for you to get to where you're going. This business
18
     that's asking something that's not relevant is going
19
     to stop.
20
                       Thank you. You may be seated.
21
                       MS. ROE: Thank you, Judge.
22
                       MS. OMODELE: May I ask the
23
     question? I just want to be clear.
24
                       THE COURT: Yes, that question and
     then let's move on.
25
```

1 (Proceedings continued:)

Q. (By Ms. Omodele) Is it plausible, again, for a person who -- for a perpetrator to commit some act of sexual assault or exposure with another individual maybe in the same house but in another room?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Let's touch briefly on emotional responses. Okay. Do you expect to see the same type of emotional response amongst all child victims?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Can you briefly tell us why?
- A. Because different children, and adults for that matter, respond differently to trauma.
- Q. All right. Again, based on your training and experience, have you observed children who are somewhat, I guess, stoic, for lack of a better word?
- A. Yes. There are some kids who upon being abused are very unemotional. Internally they may be very upset, but their external presentation could be the opposite because they're trying to avoid what's going on on the inside.
 - Q. And on the other hand, based on your

```
1
      training and experience, have you observed children
2
      who are extremely emotional?
 3
                  Absolutely.
              Α.
                       MS. OMODELE: I'll pass the
 4
 5
     witness, Your Honor.
 6
                       THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
                       MS. ROE: May I proceed, Judge?
                       THE COURT: Yes.
9
                       MS. ROE: Thank you.
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
10
11
      BY MS. ROE:
12
                  Dr. Thompson, you said that you testify
              Q.
13
      regularly. How many times have you testified in
14
      court, just an estimate?
15
                  Hundreds.
              Α.
16
                  Okay. And having testified hundreds of
              Q.
17
      times, you're primarily an expert witness for the
18
      State of Texas or the Prosecution in most cases
19
      right?
20
              Α.
                  That's correct.
21
                  And we've gone through a lot of
22
      different things about your credentials. In this
23
     particular case, you said that you've never
24
      interviewed Lashonda Irving, the complainant in this
25
      case?
```

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. You've never met Lashonda Irving, the complainant in this case?
 - A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Okay. And you've never met Mr. Davis?
- A. No, I have not.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

- Q. Okay. So you cannot comment here today about anything having to do with her psychology or different patterns of behavior that she may exhibit?
- A. No, I can't say anything specifically about her.
- Q. And you've never reviewed her medical records?
- A. No, I have not.
 - Q. Psychological records?
- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. And you don't know anything about her background or where she comes from?
- 19 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. So you don't have any factual information about this case at all?
- A. I have a little bit of information that
 the Assistant District Attorney shared with me; but
 in general to your question, I don't know the facts
 of this case.

1 Ο. And a lot of the information that you're 2 providing to this jury, then, is just speculation 3 about children that you've met with in the past in your experience, right? 4 5 Α. Well, I wouldn't call it speculation. It's actual --7 Well, about the facts of this case. Ο. 8 mean, you've never met Ms. Irving? 9 No, I haven't met anybody in this case. Α. 10 Or Mr. Davis? Ο. 11 No, I have not. Α. 12 So you wouldn't be able speak to her Q. 13 being a victim in this case? 14 Α. No, nor am I here to do that. 15 Okay. And you're not here to do that? 0. 16 No, I'm not. Α. 17 You're also not here to comment about Ο. 18 the truthfulness of her statements here today? 19 No, I am not. Α. 20 And you have no idea whether or not 21 this -- this allegation occurred? 22 No, I do not. Α. 23 Or whether Lashonda Irving is telling Ο. 24 the truth about this allegation? 25 Α. No, I do not.

Q. And, in fact, all the information that you've provided to this jury on direct examination was based on cases where sexual assault was already determined to have occurred, right?

2.3

A. That, I can't say. You know, the information I'm sharing, some of it is from the literature related to child sexual abuse. Some of it is from my clinical experience are related to child sexual abuse. And so, you know, clinically, you know, we're seeing the case, we're working with mental health issues related to an allegation of abuse.

I wouldn't want to say that in all of those clinical cases for sure, you know, it was necessarily sexual abuse that it happened.

- Q. And that's because you're a clinical psychologist. So your job is not to decide whether or not the statements are true but to treat the individual, right?
- A. Yes, to treat the mental issues related from the allegations of the abuse and, of course, in many cases abuse that a person has suffered.
- Q. And you don't do any analytical or critical thinking to determine whether abuse occurred. You take the complainant's word for it to

```
1
      treat them, correct?
2
                  That's correct. Just working on
              Α.
 3
      clinical issues related to the allegation of abuse.
 4
                  And, again, you don't make any
      determinations about whether the child is telling
 5
 6
      the truth or not?
7
                       MS. OMODELE: Judge, I'm going to
8
      object. That's asked and answered.
9
                       THE COURT: Sustained.
10
                       MS. ROE: I'll move on, Judge.
11
              Q.
                   (By Ms. Roe) Because all children do
12
      lie sometimes, don't they?
13
                  Yes, they do.
              Α.
                  And they could lie to get somebody else
14
              Ο.
15
      in trouble?
16
              Α.
                  They could.
17
              Q.
                  They could lie to get themselves out of
18
      trouble?
19
              Α.
                  They could.
20
              Ο.
                  They could lie for attention?
21
                  They could.
              Α.
22
                  Sympathy?
              Q.
2.3
                  Sure.
              Α.
24
              Q.
                  And you're not here to tell us that
25
      Lashonda Irving's allegations are true?
```

1 Α. No, I'm not. 2 Pass the witness, Judge. MS. ROE: 3 MS. OMODELE: May I briefly? THE COURT: You sure can. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. OMODELE: 7 Defense counsel just asked you whether Ο. 8 or not all children lie. Based on your experience 9 10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- in terms of sexual abuse and assault, when a child makes these allegations -- and, again, this is just based on your experience -- how common is it for children to lie?

 A. It's uncommon for kids to fabricate
- allegations of child sexual because. On that said,
 I have seen instances where a kid has made a false
 allegation of abuse. In terms of my clinical
 experience, I can safely say that's been in less
 than 3 percent of the thousands of cases I've been
 involved in.
- Q. You were also asked in terms of your testimony and in testifying if you usually testify for the State. If you are subpoenaed by the Defense, would you also testify for the Defense?
- A. Well, I would talk to them about what they're -- you know, I would talk to them just like

I talked to the Assistant District Attorney and 1 2 establish my expertise --3 MS. ROE: Excuse me. I would 4 object to speculation. THE COURT: It's overruled. 5 6 Α. I would try to establish my expertise 7 and identify what the Defense was wanting to 8 question me about, but I would certainly talk to 9 them and explore the possibility of testifying. I 10 have no problem testifying for anybody. 11 Exactly. In terms of victims of sexual Ο. 12 abuse, are they all able to seek therapy? 13 Α. No. 14 Ο. What about a situation where you have a 15 child, a young child, and their family doesn't seek out therapy for them --16 17 MS. ROE: Judge -- excuse me. would object to relevance. 18 19 THE COURT: Let me hear what the 20 question is. 21 Ο. (By Ms. Omodele) And their family 22 doesn't seek out the therapy for them. Would you 23 expect -- and let's say hypothetically a 12- or a

13-year-old child, to be able to go out and seek

24

25

therapy themselves?

```
1
              Α.
                  No.
 2
                       THE COURT: I'll let him answer
 3
      that.
 4
                       Go ahead answer it.
 5
              Α.
                  No. No.
 6
                       THE COURT: All right.
 7
                       MS. OMODELE: I'll pass the
 8
      witness.
9
                       THE COURT:
                                   Thank you.
10
                       MS. ROE: We have nothing further,
11
      Judge.
12
                       THE COURT: Thank you.
13
                       May the doctor step down and be
14
      excused?
15
                       MS. OMODELE: He may from the
16
      State.
17
                       MS. ROE: Yes.
18
                       THE COURT: He's not subject to
19
      recall; is that right?
20
                       MR. NEWMAN: Yes, Judge.
21
                       THE COURT: Thank you for your time
22
      and your testimony.
23
                       Is this the situation we need to
24
      discuss something or do you have another witness?
25
                       MS. OMODELE: Judge, I believe this
```

```
1
      is when we want to approach.
2
                       THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 3
                       Ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
     we need to take up something outside the presence of
 4
 5
     the jury. We'll try to get back to you as soon as
 6
     humanly possible. Go with the deputy.
 7
                       THE BAILIFF: All rise.
8
                       (Jury retired.)
9
                       THE COURT: Is this the CPS -- be
10
     seated.
11
                       This is a CPS person?
12
                       MS. OMODELE:
                                     It is.
13
                       THE COURT: All right. Now, she
14
      interviewed who?
15
                      MS. OMODELE: Judge, per the
16
     records, she -- let me get -- she was the CPS
17
     caseworker back in 2005.
18
                       THE COURT: Okay.
19
                       MS. OMODELE: In terms of the
20
     complainant, Lashonda Irving, she doesn't interview
21
     her. She takes the synopsis -- or she writes down a
22
     synopsis from the CAC interview.
23
                       And then we get to Laconya Davis
24
     next. It actually says that a Harris County worker
25
      interviews Laconya, Parnell, Phariece, Donna, Jamie
```